

Vanishing and Eulerianity of Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms.

Dmitry Gourevitch

Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel

<http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~dimagur>

Representation theory seminar, BGU, June 2020

j.w. R. Gomez, H. P. A. Gustafsson, A. Kleinschmidt, D. Persson, and
S. Sahi

Following Piatetski-Shapiro–Shalika, Jian-Shu Li,
Ginzburg–Rallis–Soudry, Moeglin–Waldspurger, Jiang–Liu–Savin,
Gomez, Ahlen, Hundley–Sayag, Green–Miller–Vanhove,
Kazhdan–Polishchuk, Bossard–Pioline

Definitions

- \mathbb{K} : number field, $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$, \mathbf{G} : reductive group over \mathbb{K} , $\Gamma := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K})$,
 $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\Gamma)$.

Definitions

- \mathbb{K} : number field, $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$, \mathbf{G} : reductive group over \mathbb{K} , $\Gamma := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K})$, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\Gamma)$.
- Fix a semisimple $H \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i^H$ denote the eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(H)$. Assume that all the eigenvalues i lie in \mathbb{Q} .

Definitions

- \mathbb{K} : number field, $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$, \mathbf{G} : reductive group over \mathbb{K} , $\Gamma := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K})$, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\Gamma)$.
- Fix a semisimple $H \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i^H$ denote the eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(H)$. Assume that all the eigenvalues i lie in \mathbb{Q} .
- Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Call $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ a *Whittaker pair*.

Definitions

- \mathbb{K} : number field, $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$, \mathbf{G} : reductive group over \mathbb{K} , $\Gamma := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K})$, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\Gamma)$.
- Fix a semisimple $H \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i^H$ denote the eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(H)$. Assume that all the eigenvalues i lie in \mathbb{Q} .
- Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Call $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ a *Whittaker pair*.
- Define $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i$, $N := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A})$.

Definitions

- \mathbb{K} : number field, $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$, \mathbf{G} : reductive group over \mathbb{K} , $\Gamma := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K})$, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\Gamma)$.
- Fix a semisimple $H \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i^H$ denote the eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(H)$. Assume that all the eigenvalues i lie in \mathbb{Q} .
- Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Call $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ a *Whittaker pair*.
- Define $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i$, $N := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A})$.
- Fix a non-trivial unitary additive character $\psi : \mathbb{K} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and define $\chi_f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\chi_f(\text{Exp } X) := \psi(\langle f, X \rangle)$.

- \mathbb{K} : number field, $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}$, \mathbf{G} : reductive group over \mathbb{K} , $\Gamma := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{K})$, $G := \mathbf{G}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(\Gamma)$.
- Fix a semisimple $H \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\mathfrak{g}_i := \mathfrak{g}_i^H$ denote the eigenspaces of $\text{ad}(H)$. Assume that all the eigenvalues i lie in \mathbb{Q} .
- Let $f \in \mathfrak{g}_{-2}$. Call $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ a *Whittaker pair*.
- Define $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i$, $N := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A})$.
- Fix a non-trivial unitary additive character $\psi : \mathbb{K} \backslash \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and define $\chi_f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\chi_f(\text{Exp } X) := \psi(\langle f, X \rangle)$.
- Let $[N] := (\Gamma \cap N) \backslash N$. For automorphic form η on G , define *Fourier coefficient*

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

Two central cases of Fourier coefficients

$$[H, f] = -2f, \mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i, N = \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

Two central cases of Fourier coefficients

$$[H, f] = -2f, \mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i, N = \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

- Neutral Fourier coefficient, coming from \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, H, f) , e.g.:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Two central cases of Fourier coefficients

$$[H, f] = -2f, \mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i, N = \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

- Neutral Fourier coefficient, coming from \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, H, f) , e.g.:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Whittaker coefficient \mathcal{W}_f , with N maximal unipotent, e.g.:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Two central cases of Fourier coefficients

$$[H, f] = -2f, \mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i, N = \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

- Neutral Fourier coefficient, coming from \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, H, f) , e.g.:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Whittaker coefficient \mathcal{W}_f , with N maximal unipotent, e.g.:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Two central cases of Fourier coefficients

$$[H, f] = -2f, \mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i, N = \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A}),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

- Neutral Fourier coefficient, coming from \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, H, f) , e.g.:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Whittaker coefficient \mathcal{W}_f , with N maximal unipotent, e.g.:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \quad f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Coefficients that are both neutral and Whittaker are Eulerian by local uniqueness of Whittaker models.

Examples of Fourier coefficients

$$[H, f] = -2f, \mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i, N = \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

Comparison for $G = \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{A})$:

- Neutral Fourier coefficient:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Examples of Fourier coefficients

$$[H, f] = -2f, \mathfrak{n} = (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \bigoplus_{i>1} \mathfrak{g}_i, N = \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{n})(\mathbb{A})$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) := \int_{[N]} \eta(ng) \chi_f(n)^{-1} dn.$$

Comparison for $G = \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{A})$:

- Neutral Fourier coefficient:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Whittaker coefficient:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathfrak{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fourier-Jacobi coefficients

- $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_1 / (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f)$. $\omega_f(X, Y) := \langle f, [X, Y] \rangle$ - symplectic form.

Fourier-Jacobi coefficients

- $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_1 / (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f)$. $\omega_f(X, Y) := \langle f, [X, Y] \rangle$ - symplectic form.
- \forall isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{u}$, let $I := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{i})(\mathbb{A})$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](g) := \int_{[I]} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](ug) du$$

Fourier-Jacobi coefficients

- $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_1 / (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f)$. $\omega_f(X, Y) := \langle f, [X, Y] \rangle$ - symplectic form.
- \forall isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{u}$, let $I := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{i})(\mathbb{A})$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](g) := \int_{[I]} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](ug) du$$

Fourier-Jacobi coefficients

- $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_1 / (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f)$. $\omega_f(X, Y) := \langle f, [X, Y] \rangle$ - symplectic form.
- \forall isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{u}$, let $I := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{i})(\mathbb{A})$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](g) := \int_{[I]} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](ug) du$$

Lemma (Root exchange, cf. Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry)

- ⓪ $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) = \sum_{\gamma \in (U/I^\perp)(\mathbb{K})} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](\gamma g)$
- ⓪ For any isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ with $\dim \mathfrak{j} = \dim \mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j} \cap \mathfrak{i}^\perp = \{0\}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}^J[\eta](g) = \int_{J(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](ug) du$$

Fourier-Jacobi coefficients

- $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_1 / (\mathfrak{g}_1 \cap \mathfrak{g}^f)$. $\omega_f(X, Y) := \langle f, [X, Y] \rangle$ - symplectic form.
- \forall isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{i} \subset \mathfrak{u}$, let $I := \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{i})(\mathbb{A})$

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](g) := \int_{[I]} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](ug) du$$

Lemma (Root exchange, cf. Ginzburg-Rallis-Soudry)

- ⓪ $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) = \sum_{\gamma \in (U/I^\perp)(\mathbb{K})} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](\gamma g)$
- ⓫ For any isotropic subspace $\mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{u}$ with $\dim \mathfrak{j} = \dim \mathfrak{i}$ and $\mathfrak{j} \cap \mathfrak{i}^\perp = \{0\}$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}^J[\eta](g) = \int_{J(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_{H,f}^I[\eta](ug) du$$

$$\text{For } H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathfrak{i} & \underline{\mathfrak{n}} \\ 0 & 0 & \mathfrak{j} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Cf. θ , Stone-von-Neumann thm, Poisson summation formula.

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.
- Say $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$ if $[H, S] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^f \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{S-H}$.

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.
- Say $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$ if $[H, S] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^f \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{S-H}$.
- f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished if \forall Levi $\mathfrak{l} \ni f$ defined over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}$.
Equivalently: the semi-simple part of the centralizer G_f is anisotropic

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.
- Say $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$ if $[H, S] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^f \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{S-H}$.
- f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished if \forall Levi $\mathfrak{l} \ni f$ defined over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}$.
Equivalently: the semi-simple part of the centralizer G_f is anisotropic
- (S, f) is called Levi-distinguished if \exists parabolic $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \mathfrak{u}$
s.t. f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished in \mathfrak{l} , and $\mathfrak{n}_{S,f} = \mathfrak{l}_{S,f} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$.

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.
- Say $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$ if $[H, S] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^f \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{S-H}$.
- f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished if \forall Levi $\mathfrak{l} \ni f$ defined over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}$.
Equivalently: the semi-simple part of the centralizer G_f is anisotropic
- (S, f) is called Levi-distinguished if \exists parabolic $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \mathfrak{u}$
s.t. f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished in \mathfrak{l} , and $\mathfrak{n}_{S,f} = \mathfrak{l}_{S,f} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$.
- Whittaker coefficients are Levi-distinguished.

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.
- Say $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$ if $[H, S] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^f \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{S-H}$.
- f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished if \forall Levi $\mathfrak{l} \ni f$ defined over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}$.
Equivalently: the semi-simple part of the centralizer G_f is anisotropic
- (S, f) is called Levi-distinguished if \exists parabolic $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \mathfrak{u}$ s.t. f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished in \mathfrak{l} , and $\mathfrak{n}_{S,f} = \mathfrak{l}_{S,f} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$.
- Whittaker coefficients are Levi-distinguished.
- For Whittaker pairs with the same f and commuting H -s, neutral \succ any \succ Levi-distinguished.

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.
- Say $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$ if $[H, S] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^f \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{S-H}$.
- f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished if \forall Levi $\mathfrak{l} \ni f$ defined over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}$.
Equivalently: the semi-simple part of the centralizer G_f is anisotropic
- (S, f) is called Levi-distinguished if \exists parabolic $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} \mathfrak{u}$ s.t. f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished in \mathfrak{l} , and $\mathfrak{n}_{S,f} = \mathfrak{l}_{S,f} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$.
- Whittaker coefficients are Levi-distinguished.
- For Whittaker pairs with the same f and commuting H -s, neutral \succ any \succ Levi-distinguished.

Relating different coefficients

- $\text{WO}(\eta) := \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \forall \text{ neutral } (h, f) \text{ with } f \in \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}_{h,f}(\eta) \neq 0\}$.
- Say $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$ if $[H, S] = 0$ and $\mathfrak{g}^f \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 0}^{S-H}$.
- f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished if \forall Levi $\mathfrak{l} \ni f$ defined over \mathbb{K} , $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}$.
Equivalently: the semi-simple part of the centralizer G_f is anisotropic
- (S, f) is called Levi-distinguished if \exists parabolic $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l}\mathfrak{u}$ s.t. f is \mathbb{K} -distinguished in \mathfrak{l} , and $\mathfrak{n}_{S,f} = \mathfrak{l}_{S,f} \oplus \mathfrak{u}$.
- Whittaker coefficients are Levi-distinguished.
- For Whittaker pairs with the same f and commuting H -s, neutral \succ any \succ Levi-distinguished.

Theorem

Let $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$. Then

- ❶ $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ linearly determines $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}[\eta]$.
- ❷ If $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1^H = \mathfrak{g}_1^S = 0$ let $\mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<1}^S$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) = \int_{V(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_{S,f}[\eta](vg) dv$$

Theorem

Let $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ linearly determines $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}[\eta]$.
- (ii) If $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1^H = \mathfrak{g}_1^S = 0$ let $\mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<1}^S$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) = \int_{V(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_{S,f}[\eta](vg) dv$$

Theorem

Let $(H, f) \succ (S, f)$. Then

- (i) $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ linearly determines $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}[\eta]$.
- (ii) If $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1^H = \mathfrak{g}_1^S = 0$ let $\mathfrak{v} := \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H \cap \mathfrak{g}_{<1}^S$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta](g) = \int_{V(\mathbb{A})} \mathcal{F}_{S,f}[\eta](vg) dv$$

Corollary

- (i) If η is cuspidal then any $\mathcal{O} \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ is \mathbb{K} -distinguished. In particular, \mathcal{O} is totally even for $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}$, totally odd for $G = \text{SO}(V)$, not minimal for $\text{rk}G > 1$, and not next-to-minimal for $\text{rk}G > 2$, $G \neq F_4$.
- (ii) Lower bounds for partitions of $\mathcal{O} \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ with cuspidal η : 2^n for Sp_{2n} , $3^n 1^n$ for $\text{SO}(2n, 2n)$, $53^{n-1} 1^n$ for $\text{SO}(2n+1, 2n+1)$, $3^n 1^{n+1}$ for $\text{SO}(2n+1, 2n)$, and $(3^{n+1}, 1^n)$ for $\text{SO}(2n+2, 2n+1)$.
- (iii) If $f \notin \text{WO}(\eta)$ then $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}(\eta) = 0$ for any H .

Corollary

- (i) *If η is cuspidal then any $\mathcal{O} \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ is \mathbb{K} -distinguished. In particular, \mathcal{O} is totally even for $G = \text{Sp}_{2n}$, totally odd for $G = \text{SO}(V)$, not minimal for $\text{rk}G > 1$, and not next-to-minimal for $\text{rk}G > 2$, $G \neq F_4$.*
- (ii) *Lower bounds for partitions of $\mathcal{O} \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ with cuspidal η : 2^n for Sp_{2n} , $3^n 1^n$ for $\text{SO}(2n, 2n)$, $53^{n-1} 1^n$ for $\text{SO}(2n+1, 2n+1)$, $3^n 1^{n+1}$ for $\text{SO}(2n+1, 2n)$, and $(3^{n+1}, 1^n)$ for $\text{SO}(2n+2, 2n+1)$.*
- (iii) *If $f \notin \text{WO}(\eta)$ then $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}(\eta) = 0$ for any H .*

Proof of (i).

Let $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be Levi subalgebra intersecting \mathcal{O} . Let $(e, h, f) \in \mathfrak{l}$ be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple with $f \in \mathcal{O}$. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a (rational) semi-simple element s.t. $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{g}^Z$. Let $T \gg 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let $H := h + TZ$.

Then $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}(\eta) = \mathcal{F}_{H,f}(c_L(\eta))$, where $c_L(\eta)$ denotes the constant term. Since $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}(\eta) \neq 0$ by the theorem and η is cuspidal, $L = G$. □

Example for the proof of the Theorem

$$G := \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{A}), \quad f := E_{21} + E_{43}, \quad H := \mathrm{diag}(3, 1, -1, -3), \\ h = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1), \quad Z = H - h = \mathrm{diag}(2, 2, -2, -2), \quad H_t := h + tZ.$$

Example for the proof of the Theorem

$G := \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{A})$, $f := E_{21} + E_{43}$, $H := \mathrm{diag}(3, 1, -1, -3)$,
 $h = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1)$, $Z = H - h = \mathrm{diag}(2, 2, -2, -2)$, $H_t := h + tZ$.
Then $\mathfrak{n}_0 \subset \mathfrak{n}_{1/4} \oplus \mathfrak{i} \sim \mathfrak{n}_{1/4} \oplus \mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{n}_{3/4} = \mathfrak{n}_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} &\subset \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & a & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & - & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & - & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\subset \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & - & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Both $*$ and $-$ denote arbitrary elements. $*$ denotes the entries in $\mathfrak{g}_{>1}^{H_t}$ and $-$ those in $\mathfrak{g}_1^{H_t}$. a denotes equal elements in $\mathfrak{g}_1^{H_t} \cap \mathfrak{g}^f$.

Corollary (Hidden symmetry)

Let η be an automorphic form on G , and let (H, f) be a Whittaker pair with $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Then any unipotent element u of the centralizer of the pair (H, f) in G acts trivially on the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ using the left regular action.

Corollary (Hidden symmetry)

Let η be an automorphic form on G , and let (H, f) be a Whittaker pair with $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Then any unipotent element u of the centralizer of the pair (H, f) in G acts trivially on the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ using the left regular action.

Proof.

Want to show that $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$. By the theorem, enough to show $\mathcal{F}_{H+Z,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$ for some Z . Find Z such that $u \in N_{H+Z,f}$. □

Corollary (Hidden symmetry)

Let η be an automorphic form on G , and let (H, f) be a Whittaker pair with $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Then any unipotent element u of the centralizer of the pair (H, f) in G acts trivially on the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ using the left regular action.

Proof.

Want to show that $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$. By the theorem, enough to show $\mathcal{F}_{H+Z,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$ for some Z . Find Z such that $u \in N_{H+Z,f}$. \square

Example: $G = GL_4(\mathbb{A})$, $f = E_{31} + E_{42}$, $H = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$, $u = Id + E_{12} + E_{34}$, $Z = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*: non-zero pairing with f . b : entries of u .

Corollary (Hidden symmetry)

Let η be an automorphic form on G , and let (H, f) be a Whittaker pair with $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Then any unipotent element u of the centralizer of the pair (H, f) in G acts trivially on the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ using the left regular action.

Proof.

Want to show that $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$. By the theorem, enough to show $\mathcal{F}_{H+Z,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$ for some Z . Find Z such that $u \in N_{H+Z,f}$. \square

Example: $G = GL_4(\mathbb{A})$, $f = E_{31} + E_{42}$, $H = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$, $u = Id + E_{12} + E_{34}$, $Z = \text{diag}(1, -1, 1, -1)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*: non-zero pairing with f . b : entries of u .

Corollary: if $\text{WO}^{\max}(\eta) = \{2^n\}$ then $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ is Eulerian (Shalika model).

Applications of hidden symmetry

Corollary (Hidden symmetry)

Let η be an automorphic form on G , and let (H, f) be a Whittaker pair with $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Then any unipotent element u of the centralizer of the pair (H, f) in G acts trivially on the Fourier coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ using the left regular action.

Proof.

Want to show that $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$. By the theorem, enough to show $\mathcal{F}_{H+Z,f}[\eta - \eta^u] = 0$ for some Z . Find Z such that $u \in N_{H+Z,f}$. \square

Corollary

If $G = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ and $\text{WO}^{\max}(\eta) = \{2^n\}$ or $G \in \{SO(n, n), SO(n+1, n)\}$ and $\text{WO}^{\max}(\eta) = \{31 \dots 1\}$ then $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}[\eta]$ is Eulerian.

Follows from uniqueness of Shalika and Bessel models.

Fourier-Jacobi periods and the Weil representation

For a symplectic space V over \mathbb{K} , let $\mathcal{H}(V) := V \oplus \mathbb{K}$ be the Heisenberg group and $\widetilde{J}(V) := \widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}(V(\mathbb{A}))} \ltimes \mathcal{H}(V(\mathbb{A}))$ be the double cover Jacobi group. It has unique irreducible unitarizable representation ω_V with central character χ . It has automorphic realization given by theta functions:

$$\theta_f(g) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{E}(K)} \omega_\chi(g) f(a), \text{ where } g \in \widetilde{J}(V), f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A})), \mathcal{E} \subset V \text{ Lagrangian}$$

Fourier-Jacobi periods and the Weil representation

For a symplectic space V over \mathbb{K} , let $\mathcal{H}(V) := V \oplus \mathbb{K}$ be the Heisenberg group and $\widetilde{J}(V) := \mathrm{Sp}(\widetilde{V(\mathbb{A})}) \ltimes \mathcal{H}(V(\mathbb{A}))$ be the double cover Jacobi group. It has unique irreducible unitarizable representation ω_V with central character χ . It has automorphic realization given by theta functions:

$$\theta_f(g) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{E}(K)} \omega_\chi(g) f(a), \text{ where } g \in \widetilde{J}(V), f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A})), \mathcal{E} \subset V \text{ Lagrangian}$$

For a Whittaker pair (H, f) let $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$ and $V := \mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$, with symplectic form $\omega_f(A, B) := \langle f, [A, B] \rangle$. Then we have a natural map $\ell : U \times \widetilde{G}_{H,f} \rightarrow \widetilde{J}(V)$. Define $FJ : \pi \otimes \omega_V \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{G}_{H,f})$ by

$$f \otimes \eta \mapsto \int_{U(K) \backslash U(\mathbb{A})} f(u\tilde{g}) \theta_\eta(\ell(u, \tilde{g})) du$$

M : split semi-simple part of the centralizer $G_{H,f}$.

Fourier-Jacobi periods and the Weil representation

For a symplectic space V over \mathbb{K} , let $\mathcal{H}(V) := V \oplus \mathbb{K}$ be the Heisenberg group and $\widetilde{J}(V) := \text{Sp}(\widetilde{V(\mathbb{A})}) \ltimes \mathcal{H}(V(\mathbb{A}))$ be the double cover Jacobi group. It has unique irreducible unitarizable representation ω_V with central character χ . It has automorphic realization given by theta functions:

$$\theta_f(g) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{E}(K)} \omega_\chi(g) f(a), \text{ where } g \in \widetilde{J}(V), f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{A})), \mathcal{E} \subset V \text{ Lagrangian}$$

For a Whittaker pair (H, f) let $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$ and $V := \mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$, with symplectic form $\omega_f(A, B) := \langle f, [A, B] \rangle$. Then we have a natural map $\ell : U \rtimes \widetilde{G}_{H,f} \rightarrow \widetilde{J}(V)$. Define $FJ : \pi \otimes \omega_V \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{G}_{H,f})$ by

$$f \otimes \eta \mapsto \int_{U(K) \backslash U(\mathbb{A})} f(u\tilde{g}) \theta_\eta(\ell(u, \tilde{g})) du$$

$M :=$ split semi-simple part of the centralizer $G_{H,f}$.

Theorem

If $\Gamma \cdot f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\pi)$ then \widetilde{M} acts on the image of FJ by ± 1 .

Fourier-Jacobi periods and the Weil representation

For a Whittaker pair (H, f) let $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$ and $V := \mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$, with symplectic form $\omega_\varphi(A, B) := \langle f, [A, B] \rangle$. Then we have a natural map $\ell : U \times \widetilde{G}_\gamma \rightarrow \widetilde{J}(V)$. Define $FJ : \pi \otimes \omega_V \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{G}_{H,f})$ by

$$f \otimes \eta \mapsto \int_{U(K) \backslash U(\mathbb{A})} f(u\tilde{g})\theta_\eta(\ell(u, \tilde{g}))du$$

M : split semi-simple part of the centralizer $G_{H,f}$.

Theorem

If $\Gamma \cdot f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\pi)$ then \widetilde{M} acts on the image of FJ by ± 1 .

Since the Weil representation ω_V is genuine, obtain:

Corollary

If $\Gamma \cdot f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\pi)$ then the cover \widetilde{M} splits.

Fourier-Jacobi periods and the Weil representation

For a Whittaker pair (H, f) let $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$ and $V := \mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$, with symplectic form $\omega_\varphi(A, B) := \langle f, [A, B] \rangle$. Then we have a natural map $\ell : U \times \widetilde{G}_\gamma \rightarrow \widetilde{J}(V)$. Define $FJ : \pi \otimes \omega_V \rightarrow C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{G}_{H,f})$ by

$$f \otimes \eta \mapsto \int_{U(K) \backslash U(\mathbb{A})} f(u\tilde{g}) \theta_\eta(\ell(u, \tilde{g})) du$$

M := split semi-simple part of the centralizer $G_{H,f}$.

Theorem

If $\Gamma \cdot f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\pi)$ then \widetilde{M} acts on the image of FJ by ± 1 .

Since the Weil representation ω_V is genuine, obtain:

Corollary

If $\Gamma \cdot f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\pi)$ then the cover \widetilde{M} splits.

Corollary

If $\Gamma \cdot f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\pi)$ and G is classical then the orbit of f is special.

Lemma

Let (S, f) and (H, f') be two Whittaker pairs such that $\Gamma f = \Gamma f' \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Suppose that a Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}^I[\eta]$ is Eulerian. Then any Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,\psi}^{I'}[\eta]$ is also Eulerian.

Lemma

Let (S, f) and (H, f') be two Whittaker pairs such that $\Gamma f = \Gamma f' \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Suppose that a Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}^I[\eta]$ is Eulerian. Then any Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,\psi}^{I'}[\eta]$ is also Eulerian.

Question

Is any Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}^I[\eta]$ with $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ Eulerian for any spherical η that generates an irreducible representation?

Lemma

Let (S, f) and (H, f') be two Whittaker pairs such that $\Gamma f = \Gamma f' \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$. Suppose that a Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}^I[\eta]$ is Eulerian. Then any Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{H,\psi}^{I'}[\eta]$ is also Eulerian.

Question

Is any Fourier–Jacobi coefficient $\mathcal{F}_{S,f}^I[\eta]$ with $\Gamma f \in \text{WO}^{\max}(\eta)$ Eulerian for any spherical η that generates an irreducible representation?

Verified for:

- 1 Discrete spectrum of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$.
- 2 Minimal representations of most split simply-laced groups
- 3 Next-to-minimal Eisenstein series of most split simply-laced groups

Theorem

Any $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}$ is linearly determined by all Levi-distinguished Fourier coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{S,F}$ with $\Gamma F \geq \Gamma f$.

Theorem

Any $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}$ is linearly determined by all Levi-distinguished Fourier coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{S,F}$ with $\Gamma F \geq \Gamma f$.

Corollary

- ① *Any η is linearly determined by all its Levi-distinguished Fourier coefficients.*

Theorem

Any $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}$ is linearly determined by all Levi-distinguished Fourier coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{S,F}$ with $\Gamma F \geq \Gamma f$.

Corollary

- (i) Any η is linearly determined by all its Levi-distinguished Fourier coefficients.*
- (ii) If all $\mathcal{O} \in \text{WO}(\eta)$ admit Whittaker coefficients then η is linearly determined by its Whittaker coefficients.*

Theorem

Any $\mathcal{F}_{H,f}$ is linearly determined by all Levi-distinguished Fourier coefficients $\mathcal{F}_{S,F}$ with $\Gamma F \geq \Gamma f$.

Corollary

- (i) Any η is linearly determined by all its Levi-distinguished Fourier coefficients.*
- (ii) If all $\mathcal{O} \in \text{WO}(\eta)$ admit Whittaker coefficients then η is linearly determined by its Whittaker coefficients.*
- (iii) If G is split and simply-laced, and η is minimal or next-to-minimal then all Fourier coefficients of η are linearly determined by Whittaker coefficients.*

Explanation for GL_n (PS-Shalika, Ahlen–Gustafsson-Liu-Kleinschmidt-Persson)

Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A}))$. Restrict to the last column and decompose to Fourier series. All non-trivial characters are conjugate by $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{K})$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Explanation for GL_n

Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A}))$. Restrict to the last column and decompose to Fourier series. All non-trivial characters are conjugate by $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{K})$. Conjugate, restrict to the next column and continue

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \dots$$

Explanation for GL_n

Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\Gamma \backslash GL_n(\mathbb{A}))$. Restrict to the last column and decompose to Fourier series. All non-trivial characters are conjugate by $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{K})$. Conjugate, restrict to the next column and continue

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \dots$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{*} & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{*} & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & \underline{*} & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{*} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \dots$$

Example: $\mathrm{Sp}(4)$

$$\mathfrak{sp}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B = B^t \\ C = C^t & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{n} be the Borel nilradical, and $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ be the Siegel nilradical, spanned by B . Characters given by $\bar{u} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{K}^2)$. Restricting η to B and decomposing into Fourier series we obtain $\eta = \sum_{f \in \bar{u}} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta]$.

- 1 Constant term $\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta]$: Restrict to the Siegel Levi $L \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, and decompose to Fourier series on the abelian group $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta].$$

Example: $\mathrm{Sp}(4)$

$$\mathfrak{sp}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B = B^t \\ C = C^t & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let \mathfrak{n} be the Borel nilradical, and $\mathfrak{u} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ be the Siegel nilradical, spanned by B . Characters given by $\bar{u} \cong \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathbb{K}^2)$. Restricting η to B and decomposing into Fourier series we obtain $\eta = \sum_{f \in \bar{u}} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta]$.

- 1 Constant term $\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta]$: Restrict to the Siegel Levi $L \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$, and decompose to Fourier series on the abelian group $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta].$$

- 2 Any f of rank one is conjugate under L to $f_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Decomposing $\mathcal{F}_{u,f_1}[\eta]$ on $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,f_1}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta].$$

$$\mathfrak{sp}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B = B^t \\ C = C^t & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \right\}; \quad \eta = \sum_{f \in \bar{u}} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta].$$

- ① Constant term $\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta]$: Decompose to Fourier series on $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta].$$

$$\mathfrak{sp}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B = B^t \\ C = C^t & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \right\}; \quad \eta = \sum_{f \in \bar{u}} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta].$$

- ① Constant term $\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta]$: Decompose to Fourier series on $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta].$$

- ② Any f of rank one is conjugate under L to $f_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Decomposing $\mathcal{F}_{u,f_1}[\eta]$ on $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,f_1}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta].$$

$$\mathfrak{sp}_4 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B = B^t \\ C = C^t & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \right\}; \quad \eta = \sum_{f \in \bar{u}} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta].$$

- ① Constant term $\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta]$: Decompose to Fourier series on $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,0}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta].$$

- ② Any f of rank one is conjugate under L to $f_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Decomposing $\mathcal{F}_{u,f_1}[\eta]$ on $N \cap L$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,f_1}[\eta] = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta].$$

- ③ Split non-degenerate forms are conjugate to $f_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Using Weyl group conjugation (24) and root exchange, we express \mathcal{F}_{u,f_2} through $\mathcal{F}_{u',e_{21}}$, where $u' = \text{Span}(e_{12} - e_{43}, e_{13}, e_{24}) \subset \mathfrak{n}$.
Fourier expansion by the remaining coordinate of $e_{14} + e_{23} \in \mathfrak{n}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta](g) = \int_{x \in A} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta]((Id + xe_{24})wg).$$

$X :=$ set of anisotropic 2×2 forms. For $f \in X$, we cannot simplify $\mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta]$.
 Summarizing, for any η on $G = \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ we have

$$\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,\varphi}[\eta](g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta](\gamma g) + \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta](g) \right)$$

$X :=$ set of anisotropic 2×2 forms. For $f \in X$, we cannot simplify $\mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta]$.
 Summarizing, for any η on $G = \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ we have

$$\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,\varphi}[\eta](g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta](\gamma g) + \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta](g) \right)$$

If η is cuspidal then $\mathcal{W}_{0,a}[\eta] = \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta] = 0$. If η is non-generic η , then $\mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta] = \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta] = 0$, unless $a = 0$. Thus

① If η is cuspidal then $\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta](g) +$

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}^\times} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta](\gamma g) \right)$$

$X :=$ set of anisotropic 2×2 forms. For $f \in X$, we cannot simplify $\mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta]$.
 Summarizing, for any η on $G = \mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ we have

$$\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,\varphi}[\eta](g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta](\gamma g) + \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta](g) \right)$$

If η is cuspidal then $\mathcal{W}_{0,a}[\eta] = \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta] = 0$. If η is non-generic η , then $\mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta] = \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta] = 0$, unless $a = 0$. Thus

- ① If η is cuspidal then $\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta](g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}^\times} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta](\gamma g) \right)$
- ② If η is non-generic then $\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta](g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,0}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{0,1}[\eta](\gamma g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta](g)$

$X := \text{set of anisotropic } 2 \times 2 \text{ forms. For } f \in X, \text{ we cannot simplify } \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta].$
 Summarizing, for any η on $G = \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ we have

$$\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,\varphi}[\eta](g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta](\gamma g) + \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta](g) \right)$$

If η is cuspidal then $\mathcal{W}_{0,a}[\eta] = \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta] = 0$. If η is non-generic η , then $\mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta] = \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta] = 0$, unless $a = 0$. Thus

- ① If η is cuspidal then $\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta](g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}^\times} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,a}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{a,1}[\eta](\gamma g) \right)$
- ② If η is non-generic then $\eta(g) = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta](g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/O(1,1)} \int_{x \in \mathbb{A}} \mathcal{W}_{1,0}[\eta](v_x w \gamma g) + \sum_{\gamma \in L/(N \cap L)} \mathcal{W}_{0,1}[\eta](\gamma g) + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{K}} \mathcal{W}_{a,0}[\eta](g)$
- ③ If η is cuspidal and non-generic then $\eta = \sum_{f \in X} \mathcal{F}_{u,f}[\eta]$.

- $F :=$ a p -adic local field, $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$,

Local picture

- $F :=$ a p -adic local field, $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$,
- $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ Whittaker pair $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$, $\mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1^H \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H$.

Local picture

- $F :=$ a p -adic local field, $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$,
- $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ Whittaker pair $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$, $\mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1^H \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H$.
- $\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$ is a symplectic space, and its Heisenberg group \mathcal{H} is a quotient of U .

- $F :=$ a p -adic local field, $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$,
- $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ Whittaker pair $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$, $\mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1^H \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H$.
- $\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$ is a symplectic space, and its Heisenberg group \mathcal{H} is a quotient of U .
- $\omega_{H,f} :=$ oscillator representation of \mathcal{H} lifted to \mathfrak{u} . $\mathcal{W}_{H,f} := \text{ind}_U^G \omega_{H,f}$

- $F :=$ a p -adic local field, $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$,
- $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ Whittaker pair $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$, $\mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1^H \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H$.
- $\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$ is a symplectic space, and its Heisenberg group \mathcal{H} is a quotient of U .
- $\omega_{H,f} :=$ oscillator representation of \mathcal{H} lifted to \mathfrak{u} . $\mathcal{W}_{H,f} := \text{ind}_U^G \omega_{H,f}$
- \forall smooth representation π , define its (H, f) -Whittaker quotient by

$$\pi_{H,f} := \mathcal{W}_{H,f} \otimes_G \pi \simeq \pi_{I,\chi}.$$

- $F :=$ a p -adic local field, $G := \mathbf{G}(F)$, $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$,
- $(H, f) \in \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ Whittaker pair $\mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{g}_{\geq 1}^H$, $\mathfrak{n}_{H,f} := (\mathfrak{g}_1^H \cap \mathfrak{g}^f) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{>1}^H$.
- $\mathfrak{u}/\mathfrak{n}_{H,f}$ is a symplectic space, and its Heisenberg group \mathcal{H} is a quotient of U .
- $\omega_{H,f} :=$ oscillator representation of \mathcal{H} lifted to \mathfrak{u} . $\mathcal{W}_{H,f} := \text{ind}_U^G \omega_{H,f}$
- \forall smooth representation π , define its (H, f) -Whittaker quotient by

$$\pi_{H,f} := \mathcal{W}_{H,f} \otimes_G \pi \simeq \pi_{I,\chi}.$$

- All the theorems above have local analogues with similar proofs.

Wave front set and wave-front cycle

Let π be smooth, admissible and finitely generated.

Theorem (Howe, Harish-Chandra 70s)

Near $e \in G$, the character distribution equals to a linear combination of Fourier transforms of Haar measures of nilpotent coadjoint orbits.

$$\exp^*(\chi_\pi) = \sum c_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}(\mu_{\mathcal{O}})$$

Wave front set and wave-front cycle

Let π be smooth, admissible and finitely generated.

Theorem (Howe, Harish-Chandra 70s)

Near $e \in G$, the character distribution equals to a linear combination of Fourier transforms of Haar measures of nilpotent coadjoint orbits.

$$\exp^*(\chi_\pi) = \sum c_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}(\mu_{\mathcal{O}})$$

- Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent cone.

Wave front set and wave-front cycle

Let π be smooth, admissible and finitely generated.

Theorem (Howe, Harish-Chandra 70s)

Near $e \in G$, the character distribution equals to a linear combination of Fourier transforms of Haar measures of nilpotent coadjoint orbits.

$$\exp^*(\chi_\pi) = \sum c_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}(\mu_{\mathcal{O}})$$

- Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent cone.
- $\text{WF}(\pi) := \cup \{\overline{\mathcal{O}} \mid c_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{N}$.

Wave front set and wave-front cycle

Let π be smooth, admissible and finitely generated.

Theorem (Howe, Harish-Chandra 70s)

Near $e \in G$, the character distribution equals to a linear combination of Fourier transforms of Haar measures of nilpotent coadjoint orbits.

$$\exp^*(\chi_\pi) = \sum c_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}(\mu_{\mathcal{O}})$$

- Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent cone.
- $\text{WF}(\pi) := \cup \{\overline{\mathcal{O}} \mid c_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{N}$.
- $\text{WF}^{\max}(\pi) :=$ union of maximal orbits in $\text{WF}(\pi)$.

Wave front set and wave-front cycle

Let π be smooth, admissible and finitely generated.

Theorem (Howe, Harish-Chandra 70s)

Near $e \in G$, the character distribution equals to a linear combination of Fourier transforms of Haar measures of nilpotent coadjoint orbits.

$$\exp^*(\chi_\pi) = \sum c_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}(\mu_{\mathcal{O}})$$

- Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent cone.
- $\text{WF}(\pi) := \cup \{\overline{\mathcal{O}} \mid c_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{N}$.
- $\text{WF}^{\max}(\pi) :=$ union of maximal orbits in $\text{WF}(\pi)$.

Wave front set and wave-front cycle

Let π be smooth, admissible and finitely generated.

Theorem (Howe, Harish-Chandra 70s)

Near $e \in G$, the character distribution equals to a linear combination of Fourier transforms of Haar measures of nilpotent coadjoint orbits.

$$\exp^*(\chi_\pi) = \sum c_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}(\mu_{\mathcal{O}})$$

- Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent cone.
- $\text{WF}(\pi) := \cup \{\overline{\mathcal{O}} \mid c_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{N}$.
- $\text{WF}^{\max}(\pi) :=$ union of maximal orbits in $\text{WF}(\pi)$.

Theorem (Mœglin-Waldspurger, 87')

- *If $\pi_{H,f} \neq 0$ then $f \in \text{WF}(\pi)$.*

Wave front set and wave-front cycle

Let π be smooth, admissible and finitely generated.

Theorem (Howe, Harish-Chandra 70s)

Near $e \in G$, the character distribution equals to a linear combination of Fourier transforms of Haar measures of nilpotent coadjoint orbits.

$$\exp^*(\chi_\pi) = \sum c_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{F}(\mu_{\mathcal{O}})$$

- Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the nilpotent cone.
- $\text{WF}(\pi) := \cup \{\overline{\mathcal{O}} \mid c_{\mathcal{O}} \neq 0\} \subset \mathcal{N}$.
- $\text{WF}^{\max}(\pi) :=$ union of maximal orbits in $\text{WF}(\pi)$.

Theorem (Mœglin-Waldspurger, 87')

- If $\pi_{H,f} \neq 0$ then $f \in \text{WF}(\pi)$.
- If $f \in \text{WF}^{\max}(\pi)$ then $\dim \pi_{H,f} = c_f$.