

Randomized Algorithms 2019A – Lecture 8

Coresets via Uniform and Importance Sampling*

Robert Krauthgamer

1 Concentration bounds

Chernoff-Hoeffding bound: Let $X = \sum_{i \in [n]} X_i$ where $X_i \in [0, 1]$ for $i \in [n]$ are independently distributed random variables. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \forall t > 0, & \quad \Pr[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]| \geq t] \leq 2e^{-2t^2/n}. \\ \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, & \quad \Pr[X \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[X]/2}. \\ \forall 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1, & \quad \Pr[X \geq (1 + \varepsilon) \mathbb{E}[X]] \leq e^{-\varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[X]/3}. \\ \forall t \geq 2e \mathbb{E}[X], & \quad \Pr[X \geq t] \leq 2^{-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Exer: Let X be binomial $B(n, 1/3)$. What is the probability that X deviates from its expectation additively by $r > 1$ standard deviations? Think of r being 10, $\log n$, \sqrt{n} .

Exer: Let a_1, \dots, a_n be an array of numbers in the range $[0, 1]$. Design a randomized algorithm that estimates their average within $\pm \varepsilon$ (i.e., additive error ε) by reading only $O(1/\varepsilon^2)$ elements. The algorithm should succeed with probability at least 90%.

Exer: Let S_1, \dots, S_n be subsets of $[n]$. Design an algorithm for 2-coloring the elements $[n]$, such that in every set S_i the balance, defined as $|\#\text{black} - \#\text{white}|$, is at most $O(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

2 Weak Coresets via Uniform Sampling

We study henceforth the case $k = 1$, for which uniform sampling works (although it is rare).

Geometric median: The *geometric median* of n data points $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is

$$m_X := \operatorname{argmin}_{m \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(X, \{m\}) = \operatorname{argmin}_m \sum_{x \in X} \|x - m\|.$$

*These notes summarize the material covered in class, usually skipping proofs, details, examples and so forth, and possibly adding some remarks, or pointers. The exercises are for self-practice and need not be handed in. In the interest of brevity, most references and credits were omitted.

Remark: It is easy to see that the minimum is not unique (although it is anyway not important for us).

Theorem 6 (weak coresets): Let X be a set of n points in \mathbb{R}^d and let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$. Consider a multiset S constructed by sampling independently $|S| \geq Ld\varepsilon^{-2} \log \frac{d}{\varepsilon}$ points, each point is chosen uniformly from X , where $L > 0$ is a suitable constant. Then with (constant) high probability,

$$\sum_{x \in X} \|x - m_S\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \sum_{x \in X} \|x - m_X\|.$$

Remark: The other direction $\sum_{x \in X} \|x - m_S\| \geq \sum_{x \in X} \|x - m_X\|$ is obvious.

We will need the lemma below, which intuitively shows that if a potential center point b is “not good” for X (compared to the optimum m_X), then most likely it will be “not good” also for a sample S .

Lemma 7: Let X , $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon/5$, and S be as above, and denote $\text{OPT} := \sum_{x \in X} \|x - m_X\|$. If $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ satisfies

$$\sum_{x \in X} \|x - b\| \geq (1 + 4\varepsilon') \text{OPT},$$

then

$$\Pr \left[\sum_{x \in S} \|x - b\| \leq \sum_{x \in S} \|x - m_X\| + \varepsilon' |S| \cdot \text{OPT}/n \right] \leq e^{-\varepsilon'^2 |S|/6}.$$

Proof of Theorem 6: Was seen in class, using the ball-cover lemma to discretize $B(m_X, 4|S| \cdot \text{OPT}/n)$, and applying Lemma 7 to the resulting set of points.

Proof of Lemma 7 (sketch): A sketch Was seen in class, using Chernoff bounds.

Exer: Show that uniform sampling does not produce (with high probability) a strong coresets for 1-median.

Hint: place two “extreme” points

3 Strong Coresets via Importance Sampling

Definition: The *sensitivity* of a point $x \in X$ is

$$s(x) := \sup_{c \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\|x - c\|}{\sum_{z \in X} \|z - c\|},$$

and the *total sensitivity* of X is $S(X) = \sum_{x \in X} s(x)$.

Observe that for a given $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (i.e., without the supremum) the above ratio is the “desired” sampling probability in Importance Sampling.

Importance Sampling approach: Suppose we sample one point, where each $x \in X$ is picked with probability $q(x) := \frac{s(x)}{S(X)}$. We then give it weight $\frac{1}{q(x)}$. Of course, we should repeat a few times to reduce variance.

Lemma 8: $S(X) \leq 6$.

Lemma 9: Let Y be a multiset of $m \geq 24/\varepsilon^2$ points, each sampled iid from X according to $q(\cdot)$. Then

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \Pr \left[\frac{1}{m} \sum_{y \in Y} \frac{\|y - c\|}{q(y)} \in (1 \pm \varepsilon) \sum_{x \in X} \|x - c\| \right] \geq 3/4.$$

This does not give a strong coresets, but it is an important step in that direction.

Proof of Lemma 8: Was seen in class by bounding each $s(x) \leq \frac{4}{n} + \frac{\|x - c^*\|}{\text{OPT}/2}$.

Proof of Lemma 9: Was seen in class by applying the Importance Sampling Theorem seen in the previous class for each $y \in Y$.

Amplifying the probability: We would like to improve the success probability in Lemma 9 to $1 - \delta$. Using Chebyshev's inequality, this would require increasing m by a factor of $\frac{1}{\delta}$.

Using Chernoff-Hoeffding concentration bounds would be better and require increasing m only by a factor of $O(\log \frac{1}{\delta})$. But for this, we need that no one sample $y \in Y$ ever contributes too much, which indeed holds in our setting.

Lemma 10: $\hat{Z} \leq S(X) \cdot \mathbb{E} \hat{Z}$ with probability 1.

Proof of Lemma 10: Was seen in class.

Lemma 11: The success probability in Lemma 9 can be improved $1 - \delta$ by using $m \geq L\varepsilon^{-2} \log \frac{1}{\delta}$ for a suitable constant $L > 0$.

Exer: Prove this lemma.

Strong Coresets: To obtain a strong coresets, we must consider any $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$. If there were only a few potential centers, then we could apply Lemma 11 to each of them together with a union bound.

The idea is then to discretize the space of potential centers using the ε -ball cover lemma, and show that it suffices to consider only these centers. Then it would suffice to apply Lemma 4 and a union bound.

Theorem 12: Let Y be a multiset of $m \geq L'd\varepsilon^{-2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ points from X , each sampled iid according to distribution $q(\cdot)$ and reweighted by $w(x) = \frac{1}{mq(x)}$, for a suitable constant $L' > 0$. Then with high probability, Y is a strong coresets for the geometric median of X .

Due to time constraints, we saw in class only an outline of the proof, which is based on the lemmas below.

One potential obstacle is the total weight of Y . It need not be n , but with high probability should be close.

Lemma 13: Under the conditions of Lemma 11, i.e., $m \geq L\varepsilon^{-2} \log \frac{1}{\delta}$,

$$\Pr[w(Y) \in (1 \pm \varepsilon)n] \geq 1 - \delta.$$

Exer: Prove this lemma using concentration bounds.

Hint: Write $w(Y) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{y \in Y} \frac{1}{q(y)}$, show a bound $\frac{1}{q(x)} \leq O(n)$ (with probability 1), and then use concentration bound.