PCPs and HDX - Homework 1

Due: December 6, 2016

Instructions: You are welcome to work and submit your solutions in pairs. We prefer that you please type your solutions using LaTex.

1 Transformations on constraint systems

Recall that a system of constraints is a triple (V, \mathbf{C}, Σ) where V is a set of vertices, Σ is an alphabet, and **C** is a set of constraints. Each constraint in **C** is a pair (τ, φ) where $\tau = (v_1, \ldots, v_q)$ is a tuple of elements in V and $\varphi : \Sigma^q \to \{0, 1\}$ is a Boolean predicate.

A function $f: V \to \Sigma$ satisfies a constraint (τ, φ) if

$$\varphi(f(v_1),\ldots,f(v_q))=1$$

Warmup: Write the system of constraints corresponding to the 3SAT formula whose clauses are

$$(x_1 \lor \neg x_2 \lor \neg x_3), (x_2 \lor \neg x_3 \lor x_4), (x_1 \lor x_3 \lor x_4)$$

What is Σ ? What is the arity of the constraints (the arity is the number of variables in each constraint)?

Notation: Recall that $SAT(\mathbf{C}) = \{f : V \to \Sigma \mid f \text{ satisfies every constraint in } \mathbf{C}\}$ and $\operatorname{rej}_{\mathbf{C}}(f) = \Pr_{c \in \mathbf{C}}[f \text{ satisfies } c]$. A constraint system is γ -expanding if for every $f : V \to \Sigma$, $\operatorname{rej}_{\mathbf{C}}(f) \geq \gamma \cdot \operatorname{dist}(f, \operatorname{SAT}(\mathbf{C}))$.

2 The agreement constraint system

Let (V, \mathbf{C}, Σ) be a system of q-ary constraints. Assume that each variable appears in at least one constraint. We describe a transformation from \mathbf{C} to a new system $(\widehat{V}, \widehat{\mathbf{C}}, \widehat{\Sigma})$ such that $\widehat{V} = \mathbf{C}$ and each new variable takes values in $\widehat{\Sigma} := \Sigma^q$. The constraints in $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ are 2-ary and described as follows.

Let us refer to V as the old variables and to **C** as the old constraints or the new variables depending on the context. An value $a \in \hat{\Sigma}$ assigned to a new variable c is interpreted as a tuple $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_q)$ of old values assigned to the old variables that participate in c. For each old variable $v \in V$ and every pair of old constraints $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{C}$ that touch this variable, we will have a new constraint in $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$. This constraint will look at the two (new) variables corresponding to c_1 and c_2 , and do the following

• Check that the assignment to c_1 , which is some $(a_1, \ldots, a_q) \in \widehat{\Sigma} = \Sigma^q$ satisfies the old constraint c_1 . Similarly for c_2 .

• Check agreement: verify that the common variable v that occurs in both c_1 and c_2 is assigned the same value, i.e. that the two assignments *agree* on the common variable.

If both checks succeed the constraint will be satisfied, otherwise it will not.

- 1. Prove that there is a bijection from $SAT(\mathbf{C})$ to $SAT(\widehat{\mathbf{C}})$ by describing a mapping Enc: $\Sigma^V \to \widehat{\Sigma}^{\widehat{V}}$ that maps assignments for V to assignments for \widehat{V} .
- Suppose that C is regular, i.e. every variable occurs in the same number D of constraints. How many constraints does C have? Is C regular?
 Standard C and C and

Given any $\hat{f} : \hat{V} \to \hat{\Sigma}$, that is not necessarily in $\operatorname{SAT}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}})$, define the majority decoding assignment $f : V \to \Sigma$. Show that $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{f}, \operatorname{SAT}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}})) \geq \operatorname{dist}(\widehat{f}, \operatorname{Enc}(f))$. Show a counter example to the (striked out) claim, i.e. show an example constraint system where $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{f}, \operatorname{SAT}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}})) < \operatorname{dist}(\widehat{f}, \operatorname{Enc}(f))$.

3. Suppose for the rest of this exercise that **C** is regular, and that its expansion is some $\gamma > 0$, i.e. there is some $\gamma > 0$ such that for every $f: V \to \Sigma$,

$$\operatorname{rej}_{\mathbf{C}}(f) \ge \gamma \cdot \operatorname{dist}(f, \operatorname{SAT}(\mathbf{C}))$$

Prove that $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}$ is expanding. The following steps might be helpful. Fix $\widehat{f}: \widehat{V} \to \widehat{\Sigma}$. Denote $\rho = \operatorname{rej}_{\widehat{C}}(\widehat{f})$.

- (a) Let δ_1 be the fraction of vertices $c \in \widehat{V}$ for which $\widehat{f}(c)$ is a value that fails to satisfy c. Here we view c also as a *constraint* in the original system **C**. Prove that $\delta_1 \leq \rho$.
- (b) Let f be the majority decoding of \hat{f} and let δ_2 be the distance of \hat{f} from Enc(f). Prove that $\delta_2 \leq 2q\rho$.
- (c) Prove that $\operatorname{rej}_{\mathbf{C}}(f) \leq \delta_1 + \delta_2$.
- (d) Prove that $\operatorname{dist}(\widehat{f}, \operatorname{SAT}(\widehat{C})) \leq \operatorname{dist}(\widehat{f}, \operatorname{Enc}(f)) + \operatorname{dist}(f, \operatorname{SAT}(C)).$
- (e) Use the expansion of **C** and collect the above items to prove that $\operatorname{rej}_{\widehat{\mathbf{C}}}(\widehat{f}) \geq \beta \cdot \operatorname{dist}(\widehat{f}, \operatorname{SAT}(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}))$ for some constant β that depends only on q and on γ .

3 Degree reduction

Let (V, \mathbf{C}, Σ) be a system of 2-ary (aka binary) constraints.

1. Describe **C** as a directed graph that has a predicate associated with each edge. A predicate $\varphi : \Sigma^2 \to \{0, 1\}$ is symmetric if $\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in \Sigma$. Show that if the predicates are symmetric then the graph need not be directed.

We call this graph the constraint graph.

In the rest of this exercise let us assume that the constraint graph is undirected (it changes nothing but might make it simpler to think about).

2. In any graph, denote deg(v) to be the degree of the vertex v, which is the number of edges (incoming or outgoing) touching v. Suppose that the constraint graph is *D*-regular, i.e. for every v, deg(v) = D.

Show a transformation from (V, \mathbf{C}, Σ) to $(V', \mathbf{C}', \Sigma)$ such that the degree of every vertex in V' is 3 and such that

• (Completeness:) There is a bijection from $SAT(\mathbf{C})$ to $SAT(\mathbf{C}')$.

(Soundness:) Assuming that γ(C) ≥ γ₀, prove a lower bound on the expansion of C' that does not depend on n = |V|.
Hint: you can find the following useful. I am assuming that V' is obtained from V by duplicating each variable a number of times, and that the edges of C' have two kinds: inner and across. Define the majority decoding f. Relate the rejection of f to two kinds of across edges in C': edges that reject f' and edges that don't. The first type are bounded by the rejecting across edges, and the second type are bounded by the rejecting inner edges.