# Homework 2 high dimensional expanders

January 10, 2023

You may work in groups of 2-3 students. Please write up your homework in LaTeX and submit the pdf by 24.1.2022 to *yotamd@weizmann.ac.il*. Please feel free to approach Yotam or Irit with any questions.

#### 1 Link expansion in Kaufman and Oppenheim's graphs

Let p be some prime. In this question all polynomials are over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . This proof first appeared in [OP22].

1. Let Y = (L, R, E) be the bipartite graph that is a link in the Kaufman Oppenheim complex. That is,

$$L = R = \{(\ell(x), Q(x)) \mid \deg(\ell(x)) \leq 1, \deg(Q(x)) \leq 2\}$$

and

$$(Q(x),\ell(x)) \sim (Q'(x),\ell'(x)) \Leftrightarrow Q'(x) - Q(x) = \ell(x)\ell'(x).$$

Show that two vertices  $(\ell(x), Q(x)), (\ell'(x), Q'(x)) \in L$  have distance 2 if and only if there exists two linear polynomials  $\ell_1(x), \ell_2(x)$  so that

$$(\ell'(x), Q'(x)) = (\ell(x), Q(x)) + (\ell_1(x), \ell_1(x)\ell_2(x)).$$

2. Let G be a group and  $S \subseteq G$  be so that for all  $s \in S$ ,  $s^{-1} \in S$ . The Cayley graph Cay(G, S) is a graph whose vertices are the elements in G, and  $a \sim b$  if  $b = a \cdot s$ . Show that the two-step walk of the left side of Y is isomorphic to a Cayley graph Cay(G, S) where  $G = \mathbb{F}_p^5$  and

$$S = \{(a, b, ac, ad + bc, bd) \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$$

- 3. Let  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/p} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5) \in \mathbb{F}_p^5$ . Show that the function  $f_{\mathbf{r}} : \mathbb{F}_p^5 \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = \omega^{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x} \rangle}$ is an eigenfunction of the adjacency operator of  $Cay(\mathbb{F}_p^5, S)$ . Show that its eigenvalue is  $\mathbb{E}_{s \in S}[f_{\mathbf{r}}(s)]$ . Here  $\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^5 r_i x_i \pmod{p}$ .
- 4. Fix some  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{F}_p^5$ . Let  $h_1(c,d) = r_1 + r_3c + r_4d$  and  $h_2(c,d) = r_2 + r_4c + r_5d$ . Show that

$$\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{s \in S} \left[ f_{\mathbf{r}}(s) \right] = \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{c,d} \left[ \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{a} \left[ \omega^{a \cdot h_{1}(c,d)} \right] \cdot \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{b} \left[ \omega^{b \cdot h_{2}(c,d)} \right] \right].$$

Conclude that  $\mathbb{E}_{s \in S} \left[ f_{\mathbf{r}}(s) \right] = \mathbb{P}_{c,d} \left[ h_1(c,d) = h_2(c,d) = 0 \right].$ 

5. Assume that  $\mathbf{r} \neq (0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ . Show that  $\mathbb{P}_{c,d} [h_1(c, d) = h_2(c, d) = 0] \leq \frac{1}{p}$ . Use this to bound the non-trivial eigenvalues of the two-step walk of the left side of Y, and conclude that Y is a  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$ -one-sided spectral expander.

## 2 Coboundary and cosystolic expansion

In this question we will show that the complete three partite complex is a  $\frac{1}{100}$ -coboundary expander in dimension 1. Let X be  $X(0) = A \cup B \cup C$  where A, B, C are three disjoint sets of size n > 0 and  $X(2) = \{\{a, b, c\} \mid a \in A, b \in B, c \in C\}.$ 

Let  $f: X(1) \to \{0, 1\}$  be a function so that

$$wt(\delta f) = \frac{|\{\{a, b, c\} \in X(2) \mid \delta f(\{a, b, c\}) \neq 0\}|}{n^3} \leq \varepsilon$$

Our goal is to find some  $g: X(0) \to \{0, 1\}$  so that  $\frac{1}{100} \operatorname{dist}(f, \delta g) \leq \varepsilon$ .

This exercise guides you towards one proof. You may instead think about another proof if you like.

- 1. Explain in your own words why finding some  $g: X(0) \to \{0,1\}$  so that  $\frac{1}{100} \operatorname{dist}(f, \delta g) \leq \varepsilon$  shows that X is an  $\frac{1}{100}$ -coboundary expander.
- 2. Let G = (L, R, E) be a complete bipartite graph with n vertices on every side. Let  $f : E \to \{0, 1\}$  be a function so that

$$\mathbb{P}_{b_1, b_2 \in B, c_1, c_2 \in C} \left[ f(b_1 c_1) + f(c_1 b_2) + f(b_2 c_2) + f(c_2 b_1) \neq 0 \right] \leqslant \varepsilon,$$

Where the probability is over sampling  $b_1, b_2 \in L$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in R$  uniformly at random and independently. Show that there exists a function  $g : L \cup R \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  so that  $dist(f, \delta g) = \mathbb{P}_{b \in L, c \in R} [f(bc) \neq g(b) + g(c)] \leq \varepsilon$ .

Hint: Think about the proof of coboundary expansion for the complete complex.

- 3. Construct g for  $B \cup C$  only as follows.
  - (a) Show that

$$\mathbb{P}_{b_1, b_2 \in B, c_1, c_2 \in C} \left[ f(b_1 c_1) + f(c_1 b_2) + f(b_2 c_2) + f(c_2 b_1) \neq 0 \right] \le 4\varepsilon.$$

Where the probability is over sampling  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in C$  uniformly at random and independently. Conclude that exists  $g : B \cup C \to \{0,1\}$  so that  $\operatorname{dist}_{BC}(f, \delta g) \leq 4\varepsilon$ , where  $\operatorname{dist}_{BC}(f, \delta g) = \mathbb{P}_{b \in B, c \in C} [f(bc) \neq g(b) + g(c)].$ 

- 4. Let us extend g to the vertices of A according to the majority vote. That is, for every  $v \in A$  we set  $g(v) = \max \{f(uv) + g(u) \mid u \in B \cup C\}$ . Show that  $\operatorname{dist}(f, \delta g) \leq 100\varepsilon$ :
  - (a) Use the item 2b to bound the distance between f and  $\delta g$  for edges between B and C (this is supposed to be immediate).
  - (b) Let  $v \in A$ . Show that  $f(vu) \neq g(v) + g(u)$  if and only if u doesn't agree with the majority vote for v.

- (c) Let  $MIN_v \subseteq B \cup C$  be the sets of the vertices that don't agree with the majority vote on v. Show that  $\frac{|Min_v|}{8n} \leq \frac{|E(MIN_v, B \cup C \setminus MIN_v)|}{n^2}$ .
- (d) Show that if  $uw \in E(MIN_v, B \cup C \setminus MIN_v)$  then either  $\delta f(uvw) \neq 0$ , or  $f(uw) \neq g(u) + g(w)$ .
- (e) Use the two items above to bound the distance of f and  $\delta g$  over edges that contain a vertex in A. Get the desired bound on dist $(f, \delta g)$ .

#### 3 Agreement and robust testability

**Definition 3.1** (agreement testability). Let  $\kappa > 0$ . Let  $C_i \subset \{f : [n_i] \to \{0,1\}\}$  for i = 1, 2. We say that  $C_1 \otimes C_2$  is  $\kappa$ -agreement testable if for every  $w_1 \in C_1 \otimes \{0,1\}^{n_2}$ ,  $w_2 \in \{0,1\}^{n_1} \otimes C_2$ , there exists  $w \in C_1 \otimes C_2$  such that

$$\kappa \cdot \left( \mathbb{P}_i[w_1(i,\cdot) \neq w(i,\cdot)] + \mathbb{P}_j[w_2(\cdot,j) \neq w(\cdot,j)] \right) \leqslant \mathbb{P}_{i \in [n_1], j \in [n_2]}[w_1(i,j) \neq w_2(i,j)]$$

**Definition 3.2** (Robust testability of tensor codes). Fix  $C_i \subseteq \{0,1\}^{n_i}$  linear error correcting codes, for i = 1, 2. For  $f : [n_1] \times [n_2] \to \{0,1\}$ , let

$$\operatorname{dist}_{col}(f) = \operatorname{dist}(f, C_1 \otimes \{0, 1\}^{n_2}), \quad \operatorname{dist}_{row}(f) = \operatorname{dist}(f, \{0, 1\}^{n_1} \otimes C_2).$$

and

$$d(f) = (\operatorname{dist}_{col}(f) + \operatorname{dist}_{row}(f))/2$$

The robust testability of  $C_1 \otimes C_2$  is defined to be

$$\rho = \min_{f \notin C_1 \otimes C_2} \frac{d(f)}{\operatorname{dist}(f, C_1 \otimes C_2)},$$

and we say that  $C_1 \otimes C_2$  is  $\rho$ -robustly testable.

- 1. Prove that if  $C_1 \otimes C_2$  is  $\kappa$ -agreement testable, then  $C_1 \otimes C_2$  is  $\rho$ -robustly testable for  $\rho = \frac{\kappa}{(\kappa+1)}$ .
- 2. Bonus: Show that the converse is also true. Namely, if  $C_1 \otimes C_2$  is  $\tau$ -robustly testable then  $C_1 \otimes C_2$  is  $\kappa$ -agreement testable, for  $\kappa = \frac{2\tau\delta_1\delta_2}{\delta_2+\delta_1(1+2\tau)}$  (where  $\delta_i$  is the relative distance of  $C_i$ ).

## References

 [OP22] Ryan O'Donnell and Kevin Pratt. "High-Dimensional Expanders from Chevalley Groups." In: 37th Computational Complexity Conference, CCC 2022, July 20-23, 2022, Philadelphia, PA, USA. Ed. by Shachar Lovett. Vol. 234. LIPIcs. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2022, 18:1–18:26.
DOI: 10.4230/LIPIcs.CCC.2022.18. URL: https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.CCC.2022.18.