Intro to learning theory - ex 3

- 1. Let \mathcal{H} be the set of all functions from [0,1] to [0,1] with total variation bounded by V. Prove that $fat_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma) = 1 + \lfloor \frac{V}{2\gamma} \rfloor$
- 2. Structural Risk Minimization: If \mathcal{H} has uniform convergence with complexity $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon, \delta)$ we define the confidence $\epsilon(m, \delta) = \min_{\epsilon>0} \{m > \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon, \delta)\}$, i.e. the best approximation error we can guarantee given m examples with probability δ . Prove the following theorem: Let p_n be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_n \leq 1$. Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n$ where \mathcal{H}_n has uniform convergence with complexity $\mathfrak{M}_n(\epsilon, \delta)$ and confidence $\epsilon_n(m, \delta)$. For any distribution \mathcal{D} we have with probability at least 1δ over $S \sim \mathcal{D}^m$

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad L_{\mathcal{D}}(h) \le L_{S}(h) + \min_{n:h \in \mathcal{H}} \epsilon_{n}(m, p_{n} \cdot \delta)$$

Can you give a specific bound when $p_n = 2^{-n}$ and $VC(\mathcal{H}) = n$?

- 3. Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}' be hypothesis classes. Either prove or give a counter example to $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{H}', m) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{H}', m) + \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{H}, m)$
- 4. Toy multi-class labelling problem: For every parameter vector $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ define the prediction function $h_{\theta}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{1}[x \geq \theta_i]$, i.e. k thresholds. The loss function is $\ell(y, \bar{y}) = |y \bar{y}|$. For a sample S of m i.i.d examples, compute a (non-trivial) upper bound on the Rademacher complexity of $\mathcal{F} \circ S = \{(\ell(y_1, h_{\theta}(x_1)), ..., \ell(y_m, h_{\theta}(x_m))) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$
- 5. The Glivenko-Cantelli theorem (weaker version): Let P be a distribution on X, the cumulative distribution function (CDF) is $F(x) = P(X \le x)$. Given a sample $S^m = \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$ the empirical CDF is defined as $F_S(x) = \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{1}[x_i \le x]$. Prove that

$$P_{S^m}\left(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}|F_{S^m}(x)-F(x)|\geq\epsilon\right)\xrightarrow{m\to 0}0$$

(the GC theorem actually claims almost surely convergence) Hint: rewrite the problem as a uniform convergence problem for a set of functions \mathcal{H} , then bound using Rademacher complexity and the Massarat lemma.