

# Introduction to Computational Complexity - Assignment 5

To be submitted by 18/5/2008

We recall some definitions from class.

**Definition 1.** For any string  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  we denote by  $F_i(x)$  the length  $i$  prefix of  $x$ , that is,  $F_i(x) = x_1x_2 \dots x_i$ .

**Definition 2.** The probability ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  is **polynomial-time samplable** if there exists a probabilistic polynomial time  $A$  such that for every  $n$ , the output distribution of  $A(1^n)$  is exactly the same distribution as  $X_n$ .

**Definition 3.** The probability ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  is **unpredictable** if for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $P$ , every positive polynomial  $p$  and all sufficiently large  $n$  it holds that

$$\Pr_{i \in [n]} [P(n, F_{i-1}(X_n)) = (X_n)_i] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

Finally, recall that in class, Zvika suggested the following definition for unpredictability:

**Definition 4.** The probability ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  is **Zvika-unpredictable** if for every probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $P$ , every positive polynomial  $p$ , all sufficiently large  $n$  and all  $i \in [n]$  it holds that

$$\Pr [P(n, F_{i-1}(X_n)) = (X_n)_i] \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

## 1 Indistinguishability versus Unpredictability

Recall that in class we started to show the proof that if an ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  is unpredictable then it is pseudorandom. The goal of this question is to complete the proof. Suppose that  $\{X_n\}_n$  is not pseudorandom, that is, there exists some probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm  $D$  and some positive polynomial  $p$  such that for infinitely many  $n$ 's:

$$\Pr [D(X_n) = 1] - \Pr [D(U_n) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

where  $U_n$  is the uniform ensemble. Then, we showed, using an hybrid argument, that for infinitely many  $n$ 's:

$$\Pr_{i \in [n]} [D(F_i(X_n) \circ U_{n-i}) = 1] - \Pr_{i \in [n]} [D(F_{i-1}(X_n) \circ U_{n-i+1}) = 1] \geq \frac{1}{n \cdot p(n)}$$

Finally, we defined the following predictor  $P$  for  $X_n$ : When given as input  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a string  $x$  of length  $i-1$  (where  $i \in [n]$ ), the predictor  $P$  chooses a bit  $\sigma \in \{0,1\}$  and another string  $u \in \{0,1\}^{n-i}$  uniformly at random. Then,  $P$  outputs  $\sigma$  if  $D(x \circ \sigma \circ u) = 1$  and outputs  $\bar{\sigma}$  otherwise (where  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the complement of  $\sigma$ ).

The exercise is to prove that  $P$  is a good predictor for  $X_n$ , that is, for infinitely many  $n$ 's it holds that

$$\Pr_{i \in [n]} [P(n, F_{i-1}(X_n)) = (X_n)_i] \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n \cdot p(n)}$$

## 2 Unpredictability versus Zvika-Unpredictability

In this part of the exercise we will examine the relations between unpredictability and Zvika-unpredictability.

1. Prove that if an ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  is Zvika-unpredictable then it is also unpredictable.
2. Prove that if an ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  is unpredictable with respect to *polynomial-size circuits* (rather than probabilistic polynomial-time algorithms) then it is also Zvika-unpredictable with respect to polynomial-size circuits.  
Note that the other direction can be proved using exactly the same proof as the previous question.
3. Prove that if an ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  is *polynomial-time samplable* and unpredictable then it is also Zvika-unpredictable.

**Hint** The argument is somewhat related to the analysis we have shown in class two weeks ago, of the algorithm  $A_G$  which combines a probabilistic algorithm  $A$  and a pseudorandom generator  $G$ .

**Bonus** Prove that there exists an ensemble  $\{X_n\}_n$  which is unpredictable but not Zvika-unpredictable. You may use the fact that, for any unbounded and monotonically non-decreasing function  $f$  (e.g.  $f(n) = \log n$ ), there exists a pseudorandom ensemble  $\{Z_n\}_n$  (which is not polynomial-time samplable), such that for every  $n$  the distribution  $Z_n$  has support of size at most  $f(n)$ .