

# Sublinear Time and Space Algorithms 2020B – Lecture 5

## Hash functions, Heavy Hitters and Compressed Sensing\*

Robert Krauthgamer

### 1 Hash Functions (cont'd)

#### Construction of pairwise independent hashing:

Assume  $M \geq n$  and that  $M$  is a prime number (if not, we can pick a larger  $M$  that is a prime). Pick random  $p, q \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, M-1\} = [M]$  and set accordingly  $h_{p,q}(i) = pi + q \pmod{M}$ .

The family  $H = \{h_{p,q} : p, q\}$  is pairwise independent because for all  $i \neq j$  and all  $x, y$ ,

$$\Pr_{h \in H} [h(i) \equiv x, h(j) \equiv y] = \Pr_{p,q} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ j & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right] = \Pr_{p,q} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} p \\ q \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ j & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{M^2},$$

where we relied on the above matrix being invertible.

Storing a function  $h_{p,q}$  from this family can be done by storing  $p, q$ , which requires  $\log |H| = O(\log M)$  bits. In general,  $\log |H|$  bits suffice to store an index of  $h \in H$ .

One can reduce the size of the range  $[M]$  (from large  $M \geq n$  to  $M = 2$  or say  $4/\alpha$ ), with a small overhead/loss.

#### Another construction for $M = 2$ :

Let  $A$  be a 0-1 matrix of size  $(2^t - 1) \times t$  with all possible (distinct) nonzero rows  $A_i \in \{0, 1\}^t$ . For a random  $p \in \{0, 1\}^t$ , define  $h_p : [2^t] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  by  $h_p(i) := (Ap)_i = \langle A_i, p \rangle$ , where all operations are performed in  $GF[2]$  (i.e., modulo 2).

Storing the hash function requires  $\log |H| = O(t)$  bits.

Exer: Prove that the family  $H = \{h_p : p\}$  is pairwise independent.

Exer: Show that this construction generates  $k$ -wise independent bits whenever the matrix  $A$  satisfies that every  $k$  rows are linearly independent.

**Exer:** Show that the correctness of algorithm CountMin (for  $\ell_1$  point query) extends to using a universal hash function, and analyze how much additional storage the hash function requires.

---

\*These notes summarize the material covered in class, usually skipping proofs, details, examples and so forth, and possibly adding some remarks, or pointers. The exercises are for self-practice and need not be handed in. In the interest of brevity, most references and credits were omitted.

**Exer:** Show that the correctness of algorithm CountSketch (for  $\ell_2$  point query) can be implemented with limited (pairwise) independence and analyze how much additional storage the hash function requires.

Hint: use separate randomness for the hash functions and for the signs.

**Exer:** Show that algorithm AMS (for estimating  $\ell_2$  norm) works even if the random signs  $\{r_i\}$  are only 4-wise independent.

We will now see some applications of point queries.

## 2 Application 1: Heavy Hitters (Frequent Items)

**Problem Definition:** For parameter  $\phi \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , define

$$HH_\phi^p(x) = \{i \in [n] : |x_i| \geq \phi \|x\|_p\}.$$

Observe that its cardinality is bounded by  $|HH_\phi^p(x)| \leq 1/\phi^p$ .

We will focus on  $p = 1$  and  $\phi$  is “not too small”.

### Approximate Heavy Hitters:

Parameters:  $\phi, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ .

Goal: return a set  $S \subseteq [n]$  such that

$$HH_\phi^p \subseteq S \subseteq HH_{\phi(1-\varepsilon)}^p.$$

### Reduction from HH to point query (for $p = 1$ ):

Assume we have an algorithm for  $\ell_1$  point queries with parameter  $\alpha = \varepsilon\phi/2$ , and amplify its success probability to  $1 - \frac{1}{3n}$  if needed.

1. compute an estimate  $\tilde{x}_i$  for every  $i \in [n]$  using this algorithm (this step takes time  $O(n \log n)$  or even more)
2. report the set  $S = \{i : \tilde{x}_i \geq (\phi - \varepsilon\phi/2)\|x\|_1\}$  (it is easy to know  $\|x\|_1$  when  $x \geq 0$ , but more difficult in general)

**Storage requirement:** We can employ algorithm CountMin+ for  $\ell_1$  point queries, which requires  $O(\alpha^{-1} \log n)$  words, and has error probability  $1/n^2$ , which is small enough. Then our approximate HH algorithm will take  $O(\phi^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1} \log^2 n)$  bits.

**Correctness:** With probability  $\geq 2/3$ , all the  $n$  estimates are correct within additive  $\varepsilon/2$ . In this case,  $S$  contains all the  $\phi$ -HH, and is contained in the  $(\phi(1 - \varepsilon))$ -HH.

**Exer:** Extend the above approach to  $p = 2$  (using CountSketch). How much storage it requires? Use the AMS sketch to estimate the  $\ell_2$ -norm.

### 3 Application 2: Compressed Sensing (or Sparse Recovery)

**Problem Definition:** The input is a “signal”  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , but instead of reading it directly we have only via linear measurements, i.e., we can observe/access  $y_i = \langle A_i, x \rangle$  for  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  of our choice. Informally, the goal is to design few  $A_i$ ’s and then to use them recover  $x$ . We shall focus on non-adaptive  $A_i$ , i.e., the entire sequence has to be determined in advance.

Let  $A_{m \times n}$  be a matrix whose rows are the  $A_i$ ’s, then we know that  $Ax = y$ . A trivial solution is to choose  $A$  that is invertible, which requires  $m = n$ . In general, this is optimal, because for smaller  $m$  there might be infinitely many solutions  $x$  to  $Ax = y$ .

Initial goal: Suppose that  $x$  is  $k$ -sparse (has at most  $k$  nonzeros, i.e.,  $\|x\|_0 = k$ ). What  $m = m(n, k)$  is needed to recover  $x$ ?

True goal: Suppose  $x$  is approximately  $k$ -sparse. For what  $m$  can we recover an approximation to  $x$ ?

**Remark:** In most applications, it’s preferable that  $A$  has bounded precision (i.e., the entries of  $A$  are integers of bounded magnitude), as otherwise  $y$  must be “acquired” with very high precision. Sometimes it’s even important that  $A$ ’s entries are nonnegative.

**CountMin Approach:** Recall that CountMin is a (randomized) linear sketch of  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , hence it can be viewed as multiplying  $x$  by some matrix  $A$  with  $p = O(\alpha^{-1} \log n)$  rows.

**Sparse 0-1 vector:** Suppose first  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and is  $k$ -sparse. Then  $\|x\|_1 = k$ , and a CountMin+ sketch of accuracy  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3k}$  succeeds with probability at least  $1 - 1/n$  in estimating all  $x_i$ ’s within additive  $\pm \alpha \|x\|_1 \leq \pm \frac{1}{3}$ , which can distinguish whether  $x_i$  is 0 or 1.

**Sparse vector:** If the nonzeros of  $x$  have different magnitudes, the above approach might require  $\alpha \ll \frac{1}{k}$ .

But a deeper inspection of CountMin shows that every coordinate has a good chance to “not collide” with any nonzero coordinate. This behavior is amplified by the repetitions + median trick’s, and then WHP the estimator is exact, i.e.,  $\hat{x}_i = x_i$ .

**Exer:** Show that a sketching matrix  $A$  with  $m = O(k)$  rows (linear measurements) and whose entries are random Gaussians (or chosen uniformly from  $[0, 1]$ ) can recover with high probability every  $k$ -sparse input  $x$ . Show it also for an  $\varepsilon$ -coherent matrix for  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10k}$ .

Hint: It suffices that every  $2k$  columns are linearly independent.