

# Sublinear Time and Space Algorithms 2020B – Lecture 7

## Basis Pursuit (cont'd) and Iterative Hard Thresholding\*

Robert Krauthgamer

### 1 Compressed Sensing via Basis Pursuit (cont'd)

Last time we started proving the theorem below, but it remained to prove the two main lemmas below.

**Theorem 2 [Candes, Romberg and Tao [2004], and Donoho [2004]:** There is a polynomial-time algorithm that given a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  which is  $(2k, \varepsilon)$ -RIP for  $1 + \varepsilon < \sqrt{2}$ , together with  $y = Ax$  for some (unknown)  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , computes  $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

$$\|x - \tilde{x}\|_2 \leq O(1/\sqrt{k})\|x_{tail(k)}\|_1.$$

**Lemma 2a:**  $\|h_{T_0 \cup T_1}\|_2 \leq O(1/\sqrt{k})\|x_{T_0^c}\|_1$ .

**Lemma 2b+:**  $\|h_{(T_0 \cup T_1)^c}\|_2 \leq \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{k}} \cdot \|x_{T_0^c}\|_1 + \|h_{T_0 \cup T_1}\|_2$ .

**Proof of Lemma 2b+:** The first inequality follows from  $h_{(T_0 \cup T_1)^c} = \sum_{j \geq 2} h_{T_j}$  and the triangle inequality.

The second inequality was seen in class using the so-called “shelling argument”, and then using that  $\tilde{x} = x - h$  is a minimizer of the LP to expand  $\|x\|_1 \geq \|\tilde{x}\|_1$ .

To prove Lemma 2a we need another lemma.

**Lemma 2d:** Suppose  $h', h''$  are supported on disjoint sets  $T', T'' \subset [n]$  respectively, and  $A$  is  $(|T'| + |T''|, \varepsilon_0)$ -RIP. Then

$$|\langle Ah', Ah'' \rangle| \leq \varepsilon_0 \|h'\|_2 \|h''\|_2.$$

**Exer:** Prove this lemma.

Hint: First assume WLOG that  $h', h''$  are unit vectors. Then apply the formula  $\|u+v\|_2^2 - \|u-v\|_2^2 = 4\langle u, v \rangle$  to  $u = Ah'$  and  $v = Ah''$ .

---

\*These notes summarize the material covered in class, usually skipping proofs, details, examples and so forth, and possibly adding some remarks, or pointers. The exercises are for self-practice and need not be handed in. In the interest of brevity, most references and credits were omitted.

**Proof of Lemma 2a:** Was seen in class. The idea is to analyze the norm of  $Ah_{T_0 \cup T_1}$  (instead of that of  $h_{T_0 \cup T_1}$ ), using Lemma 2d, to show

$$(1 - \varepsilon) \|h_{T_0 \cup T_1}\|_2^2 \leq \|Ah_{T_0 \cup T_1}\|_2^2 \leq \varepsilon \sqrt{2} \|h_{T_0 \cup T_1}\|_2 \sum_{j \geq 2} \|h_{T_j}\|_2,$$

then plug in Lemma 2b+, and rearrange.

## 2 Iterative Hard Thresholding (IHT)

We will now see a different model of Compressed Sensing, where the error/noise is introduced after the measurement.

**Theorem 3:** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be  $(3k, \varepsilon)$ -RIP for  $\varepsilon < 0.1$ . Then given  $y = Ax + e$  for an (unknown)  $k$ -sparse vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and some noise vector  $e \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , one can recover in polynomial time an estimate  $\hat{x}$  such that  $\|\hat{x} - x\|_2 \leq O(1)\|e\|_2$ .

Henceforth, all norms are  $\ell_2$  norms.

**Basic intuition:** The algorithm initially computes  $z = A^T y$ , and takes  $z_{top(k)}$ .

Why is this effective? We expect that  $z = A^T Ax + A^T e \approx x$ , because  $A^T Ax \approx x$  and  $A^T e$  should be small noise. We will give a formal bound in Lemma 3a below.

The error is then reduced via iterations on the “residual error” in  $x$ .

**Algorithm IHT:**

1. init:  $z^{(0)} \leftarrow A^T y$ , then let  $x^{(0)} \leftarrow z_{top(k)}^{(0)}$
2. for  $t = 1, \dots, l = O(\log \frac{\|x\|}{\|e\|})$ :
3. compute  $z^{(t)} \leftarrow x^{(t-1)} + A^T(y - Ax^{(t-1)})$ , then let  $x^{(t)} \leftarrow z_{top(k)}^{(t)}$ .
4. output  $\hat{x} = x^{(t)}$

**Lemma 3a (initialization):**

$$\|x^{(0)} - x\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|x\| + 2\|e\|.$$

**Lemma 3b (iterative improvement):** For every  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$\|x^{(t)} - x\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|x^{(t-1)} - x\| + 5\|e\|.$$

**Proof of Theorem 3:** As discussed in class, it follows easily from Lemmas 3a and 3b.

**Lemma 3c:** Let  $S \supset \text{supp}(x)$ ,  $|S| = 3k$ . Then

$$\|(z^{(0)} - x)_S\| \leq \varepsilon\|x\| + 2\|e\|.$$

**Proof:** Since  $Ax = A_S x_S$  and since  $A$  is  $(3k, \varepsilon)$ -RIP,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(z - x)_S\| &= \|A_S^T(Ax + e) - x_S\| \\
&\leq \|(A_S^T A_S - I)x_S\| + \|A_S^T e\| && \text{(triangle inequality)} \\
&\leq \|A_S^T A_S - I\| \|x_S\| + \|A_S^T\| \|e\| && \text{(operator norm)} \\
&\leq \varepsilon \|x\| + 2\|e\|, && \text{(RIP)}
\end{aligned}$$

where we bounded  $\|A_S^T\| = \|A_S\| = \sup\{\|A_S v\| : \|v\| = 1\} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^{1/2} \leq 2$ .

**Lemma 3d:** Let  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $T \subset [n]$  be its  $k$  heaviest coordinates. Then

$$\|z_T - x\|^2 \leq 5\|(z - x)_{T \cup \text{supp}(x)}\|^2.$$

Remark: It actually holds for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , not only for  $z^{(0)} = A^T y$ .

**Proof:** Denote  $H = \text{supp}(x)$ .

Coordinates  $i \in T \cap H$  contribute  $(z_i - x_i)^2$  to the LHS, and 5 times that to RHS.

Coordinates  $i \notin T \cup H$  contribute 0 to LHS, and nonnegatively to RHS.

Now pair each  $i \in H \setminus T$  with  $j \in T \setminus H$  ordered by magnitude, then  $|z_i| \leq |z_j|$ . By considering what each pair contributes to each side, it suffices to show  $x_i^2 + z_j^2 \leq 5[(z_i - x_i)^2 + z_j^2]$ .

If  $|z_i| > |x_i|/2$ , then  $x_i^2 \leq 4z_i^2 \leq 4z_j^2$  and we're done.

Otherwise  $|z_i| \leq |x_i|/2$ , then  $5(x_i - z_i)^2 \geq 5(x_i/2)^2$  and we're done.

QED

**Proof of Lemma 3a:** Recall  $z^{(0)} = A^T y$ , and let  $T \subset [n]$  be its  $k$  heaviest coordinates. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|z_T^{(0)} - x\| &\leq \sqrt{5} \|(z^{(0)} - x)_{T \cup \text{supp}(x)}\| && \text{(Lemma 3d)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{5} [\varepsilon \|x\| + 2\|e\|] && \text{(Lemma 3c)} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\|x\| + 5\|e\|.
\end{aligned}$$

QED

**Proof of Lemma 3b:** We did not have time in class, but here it is.

For sake of analysis, consider a ‘‘hypothetical’’ input where we subtract the previous iteration:

$$\begin{aligned}
x' &= x - x^{(t-1)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{supp}(x') \subseteq \text{supp}(x) \cup \text{supp}(x^{(t-1)}) \quad (\text{has size } \leq 2k) \\
y' &= Ax' + e \quad \Rightarrow \quad y' = A(x - x^{(t-1)}) + e = y - Ax^{(t-1)} \quad (\text{line 3 uses this } y') \\
z' &= A^T y'.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this notation, we can rewrite line 3 as  $z^{(t)} \leftarrow x^{(t-1)} + z'$ , and

$$z^{(t)} - x = x^{(t-1)} + z' - x = z' - x'.$$

Analogously to the proof of Lemma 3a:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x^{(t)} - x\| &= \|z_{T^{(t)}}^{(t)} - x\| && \text{(denote } T^{(t)} = \text{supp}(x^{(t)}) \text{ )} \\
&\leq \sqrt{5} \|(z^{(t)} - x)_{T^{(t)} \cup \text{supp}(x)}\| && \text{(Lemma 3d for } z^{(t)} \text{ )} \\
&\leq \sqrt{5} \|(z' - x')_{T^{(t)} \cup \text{supp}(x) \cup \text{supp}(x^{(t-1)})}\| && \text{(rewrite as above)} \\
&\leq \sqrt{5} [\varepsilon \|x'\| + 2\|e\|] && \text{(Lemma 3c for } x', z' \text{ )} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|x - z_T\| + 5\|e\|.
\end{aligned}$$

QED

**Theorem 4 [ $L_1$ -minimization Algorithm]:** A guarantee similar to Theorem 3 (using RIP matrix) can be obtained by setting  $b \geq \|e\|$  and solving the convex program

$$\hat{x} = \min\{\|z\|_1 : \|Az\|_2 \leq b\}.$$

We will not see the proof.