

# NON-ARCHIMEDEAN ANALYTIC SPACES

Vladimir G. BERKOVICH

Department of Mathematics, The Weizmann Institute of Science

P.O.B. 26, 76100 Rehovot, ISRAEL

The following text is a short account of lectures at the Advanced School on  $p$ -adic Analysis and Applications, ICTP, Trieste, 31 August - 11 September 2009.

## Contents

<b>§1. Introduction</b>	2
1.1. Fields complete with respect to a valuation	2
1.2. Commutative Banach rings	3
1.3. Analytic spaces over a commutative Banach ring	5
<b>§2. Affinoid algebras and affinoid spaces</b>	7
2.1. Affinoid algebras	7
2.2. Affinoid domains	9
2.3. The relative interior, the Shilov boundary, and the reduction map	10
<b>§3. Analytic spaces</b>	12
3.1. The category of analytic spaces	12
3.2. Analytic domains and $G$ -topology	14
3.3. Classes of morphisms of analytic spaces	17
3.4. Topological properties of analytic spaces	21
<b>§4. Analytic spaces associated to algebraic varieties and formal schemes</b>	22
4.1. GAGA	22
4.2. Generic fibers of formal schemes locally finitely presented over $k^\circ$	24
4.3. Generic fibers of special formal schemes over $k^\circ$	26
4.4. The local structure of a smooth analytic curve	29
4.5. The local structure of a smooth morphism	30

§5. Étale cohomology of analytic spaces . . . . .	31
5.1. Étale topology on an analytic space . . . . .	31
5.2. Basic facts on étale cohomology . . . . .	33
5.3. The action of automorphisms on étale cohomology groups . . . . .	35
5.4. Vanishing cycles for formal schemes . . . . .	37

## §1. Introduction

### 1.1. Fields complete with respect to a valuation.

**1.1.1. Definition.** A (real) *valuation* on a field  $k$  is a function  $|\cdot| : k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $|a| = 0$  if and only if  $a = 0$ ;
- (2) (multiplicativity)  $|ab| = |a| \cdot |b|$ ;
- (3) (triangle axiom)  $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$ .

Any valuation  $|\cdot| : k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  defines a metric on  $k$  with respect to which the distance between two elements  $a, b \in k$  equals  $|a - b|$ . The completion of  $k$  with respect to this metric is a field  $\widehat{k}$  which contains  $k$ , and it is provided with a valuation  $|\cdot| : \widehat{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  that extends that on  $k$ . We are mostly interested in complete fields.

**1.1.2. Examples.** (i) The fields of real and complex numbers  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  are complete with respect to the usual Archimedean valuation  $|\cdot|_\infty$ . More generally, given a number  $1 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$  are complete with respect to the valuation  $|\cdot|_\infty^\varepsilon$ .

(ii) The field of formal Laurent power series  $k((T))$  over a field  $k$  is complete with respect to the following valuation: given  $1 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $|\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i T^i| = \varepsilon^n$ , where  $n$  is the minimal integer with  $a_n \neq 0$ .

(iii) Given a prime number  $p$  and a number  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , the field of rational numbers  $\mathbf{Q}$  is provided with the following (*p-adic*) valuation  $|\cdot|_{p,\varepsilon} : \left| \frac{a}{b} p^n \right|_{p,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^n$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are nonzero integers prime to  $p$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The completion  $\mathbf{Q}_p$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  with respect to  $|\cdot|_{p,\varepsilon}$  does not depend on  $\varepsilon$ , and is called the *field of p-adic numbers*.

(iv) Any field  $k$  is complete with respect to the *trivial valuation*  $|\cdot|_0$  defined as follows:  $|0|_0 = 0$  and  $|a|_0 = 1$  for  $a \neq 0$ .

Notice that in the examples (ii)-(iv) the valuation satisfies the stronger (*non-Archimedean*) form of the triangle axiom:  $|a + b| \leq \max\{|a|, |b|\}$ .

**1.1.3. Fact** (Ostrowski Theorem). Any valuation on the field of rational numbers  $\mathbf{Q}$  is either  $|\cdot|_\infty^\varepsilon$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , or  $|\cdot|_{p,\varepsilon}$  for a prime  $p$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , or  $|\cdot|_0$ .

**1.1.4. Fact.** Any field  $k$  complete with respect to a valuation is either Archimedean (i.e., it is  $\mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$  provided with  $|\cdot|_\infty^\varepsilon$  for  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ ), or non-Archimedean (i.e., its valuation is non-Archimedean).

**1.1.5. Fact** (Kürschák, Ostrowski). Let  $k$  be a field complete with respect to a non-Archimedean valuation. Then the valuation on  $k$  extends in a unique way to any algebraic extension of  $k$ , and the completion  $\widehat{k^a}$  of an algebraic closure  $k^a$  of  $k$  is algebraically closed. If the valuation on  $k$  is nontrivial, then the separable closure  $k^s$  of  $k$  in  $k^a$  is dense in  $\widehat{k^a}$ .

**1.1.6. Notation.** For a non-Archimedean field  $k$ , one sets  $k^\circ = \{a \in k \mid |a| \leq 1\}$ , the *ring of integers* of  $k$ . It is a local ring with the maximal ideal  $k^{\circ\circ} = \{a \in k \mid |a| < 1\}$ . One sets  $\widetilde{k} = k^\circ / k^{\circ\circ}$ , the *residue field* of  $k$ . One also denotes by  $|k^*|$  the subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_+^*$  which is the image of  $k^*$  with respect to the valuation on  $k$ .

**1.1.7. Exercise** (Gauss Lemma). Let  $k$  be a non-Archimedean field, i.e., a field complete with respect to a non-Archimedean valuation  $|\cdot|$ . Given a number  $r > 0$ , we define as follows a real valued function  $|\cdot|_r$  on the field of rational functions  $k(T)$ :  $|\sum_{i=0}^n a_i T^i|_r = \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \{|a_i| r^i\}$ , and  $|\frac{f}{g}|_r = \frac{|f|_r}{|g|_r}$  for  $f, g \in k[T] \setminus \{0\}$ . Then

- (i) the function  $|\cdot|_r$  is a well defined non-Archimedean valuation;
- (ii)  $k(T)$  is complete with respect to  $|\cdot|_r$  if and only if the valuation on  $k$  is trivial and  $r \geq 1$ ;
- (iii) Describe the residue field  $\widetilde{K}$  and the group  $|K^*|$  for the completion  $K$  of  $k(T)$  with respect to the valuation  $|\cdot|_r$ .

## 1.2. Commutative Banach rings.

**1.2.1. Definition.** (i) A *Banach norm* on a commutative ring with unity  $\mathcal{A}$  is a function  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $\|f\| = 0$  if and only if  $f = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\|fg\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|$ ;
- (3)  $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$ .

(ii) A *commutative Banach ring* is a commutative ring with unity  $\mathcal{A}$  provided with a Banach norm and complete with respect to it (i.e., each Cauchy sequence has a limit).

**1.2.2. Examples** (Exercise). (i) Every field  $k$  complete with respect to a valuation is commutative Banach ring.

(ii) The ring of integers  $\mathbf{Z}$  provided with the usual Archimedean norm  $|\cdot|_\infty$  is a commutative Banach ring.

(iii) The ring of integers  $k^\circ$  of a finite extension  $k$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  provided with the norm  $\|\cdot\| = \max\{|\cdot|_\sigma\}$ , where  $\sigma$  runs through all embeddings  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , is a commutative Banach ring.

(iv) The field of complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$  provided with the Banach norm  $\|\cdot\| = \max\{|\cdot|_\infty, |\cdot|_0\}$  is a commutative Banach ring.

(v) Given a non-Archimedean field  $k$  and numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$ , let  $k\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\}$  (for brevity  $k\{r^{-1}T\}$ ) be the set of all formal power series  $f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n} a_\nu T^\nu$  with the property that  $|a_\nu| r^\nu \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $k\{r^{-1}T\}$  is a commutative Banach ring with respect to the Banach norm  $\|f\| = \max\{|a_\nu| r^\nu\}$ .

**1.2.3. Definition.** The *spectrum*  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  of a commutative Banach ring  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of all nonzero bounded multiplicative seminorms on  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e., functions  $|\cdot| : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $|fg| = |f| \cdot |g|$ ;
- (2)  $|f + g| \leq |f| + |g|$ ;
- (3)  $|f| \neq 0$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (4) there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|f| \leq C\|f\|$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**1.2.4. Exercise.** If  $|\cdot|$  possesses the above properties, then  $|1| = 1$  and (4) is true with  $C = 1$ .

Let  $x$  be a point of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ , and  $|\cdot|_x$  the corresponding seminorm. Then the kernel  $\text{Ker}(|\cdot|_x)$  of  $|\cdot|_x$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . It follows that the quotient  $\mathcal{A}/\text{Ker}(|\cdot|_x)$  has no zero divisors, the seminorm  $|\cdot|_x$  defines a Banach norm on it and extends to a multiplicative Banach norm on its fraction field. The completion of the latter is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}(x)$ . It is a field complete with respect to a valuation  $|\cdot|$ , and we get a bounded character  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(x) : f \mapsto f(x)$  such that  $|f|_x = |f(x)|$ .

**1.2.5. Definition.** The spectrum  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is provided with the weakest topology with respect to which all functions  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  of the form  $x \mapsto |f(x)|$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  are continuous.

**1.2.6. Fact.** The spectrum  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  of a nontrivial (i.e.,  $\mathcal{A} \neq \{0\}$ ) commutative Banach ring  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonempty compact topological space.

**1.2.7. Exercise.** (i) Describe the spectrum of the commutative Banach rings from Example 1.2.2 (in (v) only for the case when the valuation on  $k$  is trivial and  $n = 1$ ).

(ii) An element  $f$  of a commutative Banach ring  $\mathcal{A}$  is invertible if and only if  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(iii)  $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_k k^a}) / \text{Gal}(k^a/k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**1.2.8. Definition.** The *spectral radius* of an element  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is the number

$$\rho(f) = \inf_n \sqrt[n]{\|f^n\|}.$$

**1.2.9. Fact.** (i)  $\rho(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\|f^n\|}$ .

(ii)  $\rho(f) = \max_{x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})} |f(x)|$ .

**1.2.10. Exercise.** The function  $f \mapsto \rho(f)$  is a power multiplicative seminorm, i.e.,  $\rho(1) = 1$ ,  $\rho(f + g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g)$ ,  $\rho(fg) \leq \rho(f) \cdot \rho(g)$ , and  $\rho(f^n) = \rho(f)^n$ , which is bounded with respect to the Banach norm, i.e.,  $\rho(f) \leq \|f\|$ .

**1.3. Analytic spaces over a commutative Banach ring.** Let  $k$  be a commutative Banach ring.

**1.3.1. Definition.** The *n-dimensional affine space over k* is the space  $\mathbf{A}^n = \mathbf{A}_k^n$  of multiplicative seminorms on the ring of polynomials  $\mathcal{A} = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$  whose restriction to  $k$  is bounded with respect to the Banach norm on  $k$ .

As in §1.2, given a point  $x \in \mathbf{A}^n$ , the corresponding seminorm is denoted by  $|\cdot|_x$ , and there is a corresponding bounded character  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(x)$  to a field  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  complete with respect to a valuation  $|\cdot|$  so that  $|f|_x = |f(x)|$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**1.3.2. Definition.** The space  $\mathbf{A}^n$  is provided with the weakest topology with respect to which all functions  $\mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  of the form  $x \mapsto |f(x)|$  for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  are continuous.

**1.3.3. Exercise.** (i) The affine space  $\mathbf{A}^n$  is a locally compact topological space.

(ii) There is a canonical continuous map  $\mathbf{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(k)$  whose fiber at a point  $x \in \mathcal{M}(k)$  is the affine space  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathcal{H}(x)}^n$  over  $\mathcal{H}(x)$ .

**1.3.4. Definition.** An *analytic function* on an open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{A}^n$  is a map  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \coprod_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{H}(x)$  with  $f(x) \in \mathcal{H}(x)$  which is a *local limit of rational functions*, i.e., such that every point  $x \in \mathcal{U}$  has an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}'$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  with the following property: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $g, h \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $h(x') \neq 0$  and  $\left| f(x') - \frac{g(x')}{h(x')} \right| < \varepsilon$  for all  $x' \in \mathcal{U}'$ .

**1.3.5. Exercise.** (i) The correspondence that takes an open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{A}^n$  to the set of analytic functions  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  is a sheaf of local rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^n}$ .

(ii) If  $k = \mathbf{C}$ , then  $\mathbf{A}^n$  is the vector space  $\mathbf{C}^n$  and the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^n}$  is the sheaf of complex analytic functions on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

(iii) Describe the affine space  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{R}}^n$  over the field of real numbers  $\mathbf{R}$ .

(iv) Describe the sheaf of analytic functions on the zero dimensional affine space  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{Z}}^0$  or, more generally,  $\mathbf{A}_{k^\circ}^0$  for a finite extension  $k$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

(v) Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the complement in  $\mathbf{A}_{k^\circ}^0$  (from (iv)) of the point  $x_0$  that corresponds to the trivial valuation on  $k^\circ$ , and let  $j$  denote the open embedding  $\mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_{k^\circ}^0$ . Show that the stalk  $(j_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}})_{x_0}$  of the sheaf  $j_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$  at the point  $x_0$  coincides with the adèle ring of  $k$ .

(vi) Describe  $\mathbf{A}_k^1$  over a field  $k$  provided with the trivial valuation.

**1.3.6. Exercise.** (i) Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed non-Archimedean field. There is a canonical embedding  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 : a \mapsto p_a$  defined by  $|f(p_a)| = |f(a)|$  for  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ . These are points of *type (1)*. Furthermore, let  $E = E(a; r)$  be the closed disc in  $k$  with center  $a \in k$  and radius  $r > 0$ . Then the function that takes a polynomial  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (T - a)^i$  to  $\max_{0 \leq i \leq n} |\alpha_i| r^i$  is a multiplicative norm on  $k[T]$ , and so it gives rise to a point  $p_E$ . (Show that this point depends only on the disc, and not on its center.) If  $r \in |k^*|$ , the point  $p_E$  is said to be of *type (2)*. If  $r \notin |k^*|$ , the point  $p_E$  is said to be of *type (3)*. Finally, let  $\mathcal{E}$  be a family of nested closed discs in  $k$  (i.e., any two discs from  $\mathcal{E}$  have a nonempty intersection and, in particular, one of them lies in another one). Show that the function  $f \mapsto \inf_{E \in \mathcal{E}} |f(p_E)|$  is a multiplicative seminorm on  $k[T]$ . Let  $\sigma = \bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{E}} E$ . Show that, if  $\sigma$  is nonempty, it is either a point  $a \in k$  and  $p_{\mathcal{E}} = p_a$ , or it is a closed disc  $E$  and  $p_{\mathcal{E}} = p_E$ . A field  $k$  in which the intersection of any family of nested discs is nonempty are said to be *spherically complete*. Thus, if  $k$  is not spherically complete and, therefore, there exist  $\mathcal{E}$  with  $\sigma \neq \emptyset$ , we get a new point which is said to be of *type (4)*. Show that any point of the affine line  $\mathbf{A}^1$  is of one of the above types (1)-(4).

(ii) If a point  $x \in \mathbf{A}^1$  is of type (1), then the stalk  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1, x}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1}$  at  $x$  is the local ring of convergent power series at  $a$ . Otherwise,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1, x}$  is a field whose completion is the field  $\mathcal{H}(x)$ . If  $x$  is of type (2), then the residue field  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}(x)}$  is the field of rational functions in one variable over  $\tilde{k}$  and  $|\mathcal{H}(x)^*| = |k^*|$ . If  $x$  is of type (3), then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}(x)} = \tilde{k}$  and  $|\mathcal{H}(x)^*|$  is the subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_+^*$  generated by  $|k^*|$  and the number  $r$ . If  $x$  is of type (4), then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}(x)} = \tilde{k}$  and  $|\mathcal{H}(x)^*| = |k^*|$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is a so called *immediate extension of  $k$* ).

The following definition of an analytic space over  $k$  gives reasonable objects at least for fields complete with respect to a nontrivial valuation and the ring of integers  $\mathbf{Z}$ . But it does not give all possible analytic spaces over non-Archimedean fields.

**1.3.7. Definition.** (i) A *local model* of an analytic space over  $k$  is a locally ringed space  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  defined by an open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{A}^n$  and a finite set of analytic functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{U})$  so that  $X = \{x \in \mathcal{U} \mid f_i(x) = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is the restriction of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}/\mathcal{J}$  to  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the subsheaf of ideals generated by the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ .

(ii) An *analytic space over  $k$*  is a locally ring space locally isomorphic to a local model.

## §2. Affinoid algebras and affinoid spaces

**2.1. Affinoid algebras.** Let  $k$  be a non-Archimedean field. If  $X$  is a Banach space over  $k$  and  $Y$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ , the quotient  $X/Y$  is provided with the following Banach norm (the *quotient norm*):  $\|\bar{x}\| = \inf_{x \in \bar{x}} \|x\|$ .

**2.1.1. Definition.** A bounded  $k$ -linear map between Banach space  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *admissible* if the canonical bijective map  $X/\text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$  is an isomorphism of Banach spaces.

Here  $X/\text{Ker}(f)$  is provided with the quotient norm, and  $\text{Im}(f)$  is provided with the norm induced from  $Y$ . (In particular, if  $f$  is admissible,  $\text{Im}(f)$  is closed in  $Y$ .) Recall that, by the Banach openness theorem, if the valuation on  $k$  is nontrivial, every surjective bounded  $k$ -linear map between Banach spaces over  $k$  is always admissible.

**2.1.2. Definition.** A  *$k$ -affinoid algebra* is a commutative Banach  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  for which there exists an admissible epimorphism  $k\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ . If such an epimorphism can be found with  $r_1 = \dots = r_n = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be *strictly  $k$ -affinoid*.

**2.1.3. Fact.** (i) Any  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is Noetherian, and all of its ideals are closed.

(ii) The  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $k\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\}$  is strictly  $k$ -affinoid if and only if  $r_i \in \sqrt{|k^*|} = \{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+^* \mid \alpha^n \in |k^*| \text{ for some } n \geq 1\}$ .

**2.1.4. Fact.** (i) If an element  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is not nilpotent, then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|f^n\| \leq C\rho(f)^n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is reduced (i.e., it has no nonzero nilpotent elements), then the Banach norm on  $\mathcal{A}$  is equivalent to the spectral norm, i.e., there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|f\| \leq C\rho(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**2.1.5. Exercise.** (i) Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  be a bounded homomorphism between  $k$ -affinoid algebras. Given elements  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{B}$  and positive numbers  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  with  $r_i \geq \rho(f_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

there exists a unique bounded homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  extending  $\varphi$  and sending  $T_i$  to  $f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

(ii) A  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is strictly  $k$ -affinoid if and only if  $\rho(f) \in \sqrt{|k^*|} \cup \{0\}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $k$ -affinoid algebra.

**2.1.6. Definition.** (i)  $\mathcal{A}\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\}$  is the commutative Banach algebra of formal power series  $f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n} a_\nu T^\nu$  over  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\|a_\nu\| r^\nu \rightarrow 0$  as  $|\nu| \rightarrow \infty$ , provided with the norm  $\|f\| = \max_{\nu} \{\|a_\nu\| r^\nu\}$ .

(ii) An  $\mathcal{A}$ -affinoid algebra is a commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra for which there exists an admissible epimorphism  $\mathcal{A}\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ . (Exercise: show that such  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $k$ -affinoid.)

**2.1.7. Definition.** A Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$  is said to be *finite* if there exists an admissible epimorphism  $A^n \rightarrow M$ . The category of finite Banach (resp. finite)  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is denoted by  $\text{Mod}_b^h(\mathcal{A})$  (resp.  $\text{Mod}^h(\mathcal{A})$ ).

**2.1.8. Fact.** (i) The forgetful functor  $\text{Mod}_b^h(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}^h(\mathcal{A})$  is an equivalence of categories.

(ii) Any  $\mathcal{A}$ -linear map between finite Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules is admissible.

(iii) Given  $M, N \in \text{Mod}_b^h(\mathcal{A})$  and an  $\mathcal{A}$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , one has  $M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} N \xrightarrow{\sim} M \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} N \in \text{Mod}_b^h(\mathcal{A})$  and  $M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} M \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B} \in \text{Mod}_b^h(\mathcal{B})$ .

**2.1.9. Exercise.** A commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to be *finite* if it is a finite Banach  $\mathcal{A}$  module.

(i) Any finite Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra is  $\mathcal{A}$ -affinoid.

(ii) The forgetful functor from the category of finite Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebras to that of finite  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebras is an equivalence of categories.

**2.1.10. Fact.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is strictly  $k$ -affinoid.

(i) Every maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  has finite codimension and, in particular, there is a canonical injective map  $\text{Max}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\text{Max}(\mathcal{A})$  is the set of maximal ideals of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

(ii) If the valuation on  $k$  is nontrivial, the image of  $\text{Max}(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(iii) Every homomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  to a strictly  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded.

**2.1.11. Definition.** The category of  *$k$ -affinoid spaces*  $k\text{-Aff}$  is the category dual to that of  $k$ -affinoid algebras with bounded homomorphisms between them.

The  $k$ -affinoid space corresponding to a  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is mentioned by its spectrum  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ .



**2.1.12. Definition.** The *dimension*  $\dim(X)$  of a  $k$ -affinoid space  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is the Krull dimension of the algebra  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} k'$  for some non-Archimedean field  $k'$  over  $k$  such that  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} k'$  is strictly  $k'$ -affinoid.

**2.1.13. Fact.** (i) The dimension  $\dim(X)$  does not depend on the choice of the field  $k'$ .

(ii) For any finite affinoid covering  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $X$ , one has  $\dim(X) = \max_i \dim(X_i)$ .

(iii) For any point  $x \in X$ , one has  $\text{cd}_l(\mathcal{H}(x)) \leq \text{cd}_l(k) + \dim(X)$ .

Here  $l$  is a prime integer and  $\text{cd}_l(k)$  is the  $l$ -cohomological dimension of  $k$ , i.e., the minimal integer  $n$  (or  $\infty$ ) such that  $H^i(G_k, A) = 0$  for all  $i > n$  and all  $l$ -torsion discrete  $G_k$ -modules  $A$ , where  $G_k$  is the Galois group of  $k$ .

**2.2. Affinoid domains.** Let  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be a  $k$ -affinoid space.

**2.2.1. Definition.** An *affinoid domain* in  $X$  is a closed subset  $V \subset X$  for which there is a morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_V) \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{Im}(\varphi) = V$  and such that, for any morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{Im}(\psi) \subset V$ , there exists a unique morphism  $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_V)$  whose composition with  $\varphi$  is  $\psi$ . If, in addition,  $\mathcal{A}_V$  is a strictly  $k$ -affinoid algebra,  $V$  is said to be a *strictly affinoid domain*.

**2.2.2. Exercise.** (i) Given tuples  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$  and  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  of positive numbers, show that the set  $V = X(p^{-1}f, qq^{-1}) = \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \leq p_i, \text{ and } |g_j(x)| \geq q_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq m, \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  is an affinoid domain with respect to the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_V = \mathcal{A}\{p_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, p_m^{-1}T_m, q_1S_1, \dots, q_nS_n\}/(T_i - f_i, g_jS_j - 1) .$$

Such an affinoid domain is said to be a *Laurent domain*. If  $n = 0$ , it is said to be a *Weierstrass domain*.

(ii) Given elements  $g, f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{A}$  without common zeros in  $X$  and positive numbers  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ , show that the set  $V = X(p^{-1}\frac{f}{g}) = \{x \in X \mid |f_i(x)| \leq p_i |g(x)| \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is an affinoid domain with respect to the homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_V = \mathcal{A}\{p^{-1}\frac{f}{g}\} = \mathcal{A}\{p_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, p_m^{-1}T_m\}/(gT_i - f_i) .$$

Such an affinoid domain is said to be a *rational domain*.

(iii) Show that the intersection of two affinoid (resp. Weierstrass, resp. Laurent, resp. rational) domains is an affinoid domain of the same type. In particular, every Laurent domain is a rational domain.

(iv) Every point of  $X$  has a fundamental system of neighborhoods consisting of Laurent domains.

**2.2.3. Fact.** Let  $V$  be an affinoid domain in  $X$ . Then

(i)  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ ;

(ii)  $\mathcal{A}_V$  is a flat  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra;

(iii) for any point  $x \in V$ , one has  $\mathcal{H}(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_V(x)$ ;

(iv)  $V$  is a Weierstrass (resp. rational) domain if and only if the image of  $\mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_{(V)}$ ) is dense in  $\mathcal{A}_V$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_{(V)}$  is the localization of  $\mathcal{A}$  with respect to the elements that do not vanish at any point of  $V$ .

**2.2.4. Exercise.** If  $U$  is a Weierstrass resp. rational) domain in  $V$  and  $V$  is a Weierstrass resp. rational) domain in  $X$ , then  $U$  is a Weierstrass resp. rational) domain in  $X$ .

**2.2.5. Fact.** (Gerritzen-Grauert theorem) Every affinoid domain is a finite union of rational domains.

Let  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a finite affinoid covering of  $X$ .

**2.2.6. Fact.** (i) (Tate's acyclicity theorem) For any finite Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$ , the Čech complex

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow \prod_i M_{V_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i,j} M_{V_i \cap V_j} \rightarrow \dots$$

is exact and admissible.

(ii) (Kiehl's theorem). Suppose we are given, for each  $i \in I$ , a finite  $\mathcal{A}_{V_i}$ -module  $M_i$  and, for each pair  $i, j \in I$ , an isomorphism of finite  $\mathcal{A}_{V_i \cap V_j}$ -modules  $\alpha_{ij} : M_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{V_i}} \mathcal{A}_{V_i \cap V_j} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_j \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{V_j}} \mathcal{A}_{V_i \cap V_j}$  such that  $\alpha_{il}|_W = \alpha_{jl}|_W \circ \alpha_{ij}|_W$ ,  $W = V_i \cap V_j \cap V_l$ , for all  $i, j, l \in I$ . Then there exists a finite  $\mathcal{A}$ -module  $M$  that gives rise to the  $\mathcal{A}_{V_i}$ -modules  $M_i$  and the isomorphisms  $\alpha_{ij}$ .

**2.2.7. Definition.** A morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be an *affinoid domain embedding* if it induces an isomorphism of  $Y$  with an affinoid domain in  $X$ .

The category of  $k$ -affinoid spaces with affinoid domain embeddings as morphisms is denoted by  $k\text{-}\mathcal{A}f^{ad}$ .

**2.3. The relative interior, the Shilov boundary, and the reduction map.** Let  $\varphi : Y = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be a morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces.

**2.3.1. Definition.** The *relative interior* of the morphism  $\varphi$  is the subset  $\text{Int}(Y/X) \subset Y$  that consists of the points  $y \in Y$  with the following property: there exists an admissible epimorphism  $\mathcal{A}\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n^{-1}T_n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} : T_i \mapsto f_i$  such that  $|f_i(y)| < r_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The *relative boundary* of  $\varphi$  is the set  $\partial(Y/X) = Y \setminus \text{Int}(Y/X)$ . If  $X = \mathcal{M}(k)$ , one denotes them by  $\text{Int}(Y)$  and  $\partial(Y)$ , respectively.

**2.3.2. Fact.** (i)  $\text{Int}(Y/X)$  is open and  $\partial(Y/X)$  is closed in  $Y$ .

(ii) For morphisms  $Z \xrightarrow{\psi} Y \xrightarrow{\varphi} X$ , one has  $\text{Int}(Z/X) = \text{Int}(Z/Y) \cap \psi^{-1}(\text{Int}(Y/X))$ .

(iii) If  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a finite affinoid covering of  $X$  and  $Y_i = \varphi^{-1}(X_i)$ . Then

$$\text{Int}(Y/X) = \{y \in Y \mid y \in \text{Int}(Y_i/X_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ with } y \in Y_i\} .$$

(iv)  $\text{Int}(Y/X) = Y$  if and only if  $\varphi$  is a finite morphism (i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finite Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra).

(v) If  $Y$  is an affinoid domain in  $X$ , then  $\text{Int}(Y/X)$  coincides with the topological interior of  $Y$  in  $X$ .

**2.3.3. Definition.** A closed subset  $\Gamma$  of the spectrum of a commutative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *boundary* if every element of  $\mathcal{A}$  has its maximum in  $\Gamma$ . If there exists a unique minimal boundary, it is said to be the *Shilov boundary* of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and it is denoted by  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ .

**2.3.4. Fact.** Let  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be a  $k$ -affinoid space.

(i) The Shilov boundary  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$  exists and finite.

(ii) If  $x_0 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ , then for any open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $x$ , there exist  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|f(x_0)| = \rho(f)$  and  $\{x \in X \mid |f(x)| > \rho(f) - \varepsilon\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ .

(iii) For an affinoid domain  $V$  in  $X$ , one has  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \cap V \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{A}_V) \subset \partial(V/X) \cup (\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) \cap V)$ .

For a (non-Archimedean) commutative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , the set  $\mathcal{A}^\circ = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid |\rho(f)| \leq 1\}$  is a ring and  $\mathcal{A}^{\circ\circ} = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid |\rho(f)| < 1\}$  is an ideal in it. The residue ring  $\mathcal{A}^\circ / \mathcal{A}^{\circ\circ}$  is denoted by  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . Every bounded homomorphism of commutative Banach algebras  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  induces homomorphisms  $\varphi^\circ : \mathcal{A}^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\circ$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ . For example, for any point  $x \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ , there is a homomorphism  $\tilde{\chi}_x : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x)$ . Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x)$  is a field,  $\text{Ker}(\tilde{\chi}_x)$  is a prime ideal ideal of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**2.3.5. Definition.** The *reduction map* is the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) : x \mapsto \text{Ker}(\tilde{\chi}_x) .$$

**2.3.6. Exercise.** (i) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is Noetherian, the preimage of an open (resp. closed) subset of  $\text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$  with respect to  $\pi$  is a closed (resp. open) subset of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ .

(ii) The preimage of a minimal prime ideal of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is nonempty and closed.

**2.3.7. Fact.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a strictly  $k$ -affinoid algebra and set  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\tilde{X} = \text{Spec}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ .

(i) The reduction map  $\pi : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  is surjective;

(ii) The preimage  $\pi^{-1}(\tilde{x})$  of the generic point  $\tilde{x}$  of an irreducible component of  $\tilde{X}$  consists of one point  $x \in X$ , and one has  $\tilde{k}(\tilde{x}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}(x)}$ , where  $\tilde{k}(\tilde{x})$  is the fraction field of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/\text{Ker}(\tilde{\chi}_x)$ .

(iii) The Shilov boundary  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$  coincides with the set of points  $x$  from (ii).

(iv) For a morphism of strictly  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $\varphi : Y = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow X$ , one has  $\text{Int}(Y/X) = \{y \in Y \mid \tilde{\chi}_y(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}) \text{ is integral over } \tilde{\chi}_y(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})\}$ .

(v) The homomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  (from (iv)) is finite if and only if the homomorphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$  is finite.

**2.3.8. Exercise.** In the situation of fact 2.3.7, one has  $\text{Int}(X) = \pi^{-1}(\text{Max}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}))$ , where  $\text{Max}(\tilde{\mathcal{A}})$  is the set of maximal ideals of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

### §3. Analytic spaces.

#### 3.1. The category of $k$ -analytic spaces.

**3.1.1. Definition.** A family  $\tau$  of subsets of a topological space  $X$  is said to be a *quasinet* if, for every point  $x \in X$ , there exist  $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \tau$  such that  $x \in V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_n$  and  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ .

**3.1.2. Exercise.** Let  $\tau$  be a quasinet on a topological space  $X$ .

(i) A subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset X$  is open if and only if for every  $V \in \tau$  the intersection  $\mathcal{U} \cap V$  is open in  $V$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $\tau$  consists of compact subsets. Then  $X$  is Hausdorff if and only if for any pair  $U, V \in \tau$  the intersection  $U \cap V$  is compact.

**3.1.3. Definition.** A family  $\tau$  of subsets of a topological space  $X$  is said to be a *net* if it is a quasinet and, for every pair  $U, V \in \tau$ ,  $\tau|_{U \cap V}$  is a quasinet on  $U \cap V$ .

We consider a net  $\tau$  as a category and denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the canonical functor  $\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}$  to the category of topological spaces  $\mathcal{Top}$ . We also denote by  $\mathcal{T}^a$  the forgetful functor  $k\text{-}\mathcal{A}ff^{ad} \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}$  that takes a  $k$ -affinoid space to the underlying topological space.

**3.1.4. Definition.** A  *$k$ -analytic space* is a triple  $(X, A, \tau)$ , where  $X$  is a locally Hausdorff topological space,  $\tau$  is a net of compact subsets on  $X$ , and  $A$  is a  *$k$ -affinoid atlas on  $X$  with the net  $\tau$* , i.e., a pair consisting of a functor  $A : \tau \rightarrow k\text{-}\mathcal{A}ff^{ad}$  and an isomorphism of functors  $\mathcal{T}^a \circ A \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}$ .

In other words, a  $k$ -affinoid atlas  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $X$  with the net  $\tau$  is a map which assigns to each  $V \in \tau$  a  $k$ -affinoid space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_V)$  and a homeomorphism  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_V) \xrightarrow{\sim} V$  and, to each pair  $U, V \in \tau$  with  $U \subset V$  an affinoid domain embedding  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_U) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_V)$ . These data satisfy natural compatibility conditions (formulate them). To define morphisms between  $k$ -analytic spaces, one needs a preliminary work.

**3.1.5. Fact.** (i) If  $W$  is an affinoid domain in some  $U \in \tau$ , then it is an affinoid domain in any  $V \in \tau$  that contains  $W$ .

(ii) The family  $\bar{\tau}$  of all  $W$ 's from (i) is a net on  $X$ , and there is a unique (up to a unique isomorphism)  $k$ -affinoid atlas  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  with the net  $\bar{\tau}$  that extends  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**3.1.6. Definition.** A *strong morphism* of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')$  is a pair consisting of a continuous map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ , such that for each  $V \in \tau$  there exists  $V' \in \tau'$  with  $\varphi(V) \subset V'$ , and of a system of compatible morphisms of  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $\varphi_{V/V'} : (V, \mathcal{A}_V) \rightarrow (V', \mathcal{A}'_{V'})$  for all pairs  $V \in \tau$  and  $V' \in \tau'$  with  $\varphi(V) \subset V'$ .

**3.1.7. Fact.** (i) Any strong morphism  $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')$  extends in a unique way to a strong morphism  $\bar{\varphi} : (X, \bar{\mathcal{A}}, \bar{\tau}) \rightarrow (X', \bar{\mathcal{A}}', \bar{\tau}')$ .

(ii) for any pair of strong morphisms  $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')$  and  $\psi : (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau') \rightarrow (X'', \mathcal{A}'', \tau'')$ , there is a well defined composition morphism  $\psi \circ \varphi : (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow (X'', \mathcal{A}'', \tau'')$  so that one gets a category  $k\text{-}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}n}$ .

**3.1.8. Definition.** A strong morphism  $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')$  is said to be a *quasi-isomorphism* if it induces a homeomorphism  $X \xrightarrow{\sim} X'$  and, for any pair  $V \in \tau$  and  $V' \in \tau'$  with  $\varphi(V) \subset V'$ ,  $\varphi_{V/V'}$  is an affinoid domain embedding.

**3.1.9. Fact.** The system of quasi-isomorphisms in  $k\text{-}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}n}$  admits calculus of right fractions, i.e., it possesses the following properties:

- (1) all identity morphisms are quasi-isomorphisms;
- (2) the composition of two quasi-isomorphisms is a quasi-isomorphism;
- (3) any diagram  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau') \xleftarrow{g} (\tilde{X}', \tilde{\mathcal{A}}', \tilde{\tau}')$  with quasi-isomorphism  $g$  can be complemented to a commutative square with quasi-isomorphism  $f$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau') \\ \uparrow f & & \uparrow g \\ (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{\tau}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} & (\tilde{X}', \tilde{\mathcal{A}}', \tilde{\tau}') \end{array}$$

(4) given two strong morphisms  $\varphi, \psi : (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau) \xrightarrow{\sim} (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')$  and a quasi-isomorphism  $g : (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau') \rightarrow (\widetilde{X}', \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}', \widetilde{\tau}')$  with  $g\varphi = g\psi$ , there exists a quasi-isomorphism  $f : (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}, \widetilde{\tau}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{A}, \tau)$  with  $\varphi f = \psi f$ . (In this case, one in fact has  $\varphi = \psi$ .)

**3.1.10. Definition.** The *category of  $k$ -analytic spaces*  $k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n$  is the category of fractions of  $k\text{-}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}n$  with respect to the system of quasi-isomorphisms.

**3.1.11. Exercise.** (i) For a net  $\sigma$  on  $X$ , one writes  $\sigma \prec \tau$  if  $\sigma \subset \bar{\tau}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_\sigma$  denote the restriction of the  $k$ -affinoid atlas  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  to  $\sigma$ . Show that the system of nets  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma \prec \tau$  is filtered and, for any  $k$ -analytic space  $(X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')$ , one has

$$\text{Hom}((X, \mathcal{A}, \tau), (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')) = \varinjlim_{\sigma \prec \tau} \text{Hom}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}n}((X, \mathcal{A}_\sigma, \sigma), (X', \mathcal{A}', \tau')) .$$

(ii) The functor  $k\text{-}\mathcal{A}ff \rightarrow k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n : X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \mapsto (X, \mathcal{A}, \{X\})$  is fully faithful.

One can introduce a similar category  $st\text{-}k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n$  of *strictly  $k$ -analytic spaces* using only strictly  $k$ -affinoid spaces and strictly affinoid domains.

**3.1.12. Fact** (Temkin). The canonical functor  $st\text{-}k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n \rightarrow k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n$  is fully faithful.

**3.2. Analytic domains and G-topology.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \tau)$  be a  $k$ -analytic space.

**3.2.1. Definition.** A subset  $Y \subset X$  is said to be an *analytic domain* if, for every point  $y \in Y$ , there exist  $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \bar{\tau}|_Y$  such that  $y \in V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_n$  and the set  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$  is a neighborhood of  $y$  in  $Y$ .

For example, an open subset of  $X$  and a finite union of sets from  $\bar{\tau}$  are analytic domains.

**3.2.2. Exercise.** (i) The property to be an analytic domain does not depend on the choice of the net  $\tau$ .

(ii) The restriction  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}|_Y$  of  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  to  $\bar{\tau}|_Y$  is a  $k$ -affinoid atlas on  $Y$ , and the  $k$ -analytic space  $(Y, \bar{\mathcal{A}}|_Y, \bar{\tau}|_Y)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\tau$  up to a canonical isomorphism.

(iii) The canonical morphism  $Y \rightarrow X$  possesses the following property: any morphism  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow X$  with  $\varphi(Z) \subset Y$  goes through a unique morphism  $Z \rightarrow Y$ .

(iv) The intersection of two analytic domains is an analytic domain, and the preimage of an analytic domain with respect to a morphism of  $k$ -analytic space is an analytic domain.

(v) If  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of analytic domains in  $X$  which forms a quasinet, then for any  $k$ -analytic space  $X'$  the following sequence of maps is exact

$$\text{Hom}(X, X') \rightarrow \prod_i \text{Hom}(X_i, X') \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{i,j} \text{Hom}(X_i \cap X_j, X') .$$

**3.2.3. Definition.** An *affinoid domain* in  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \tau)$  is an analytic domain isomorphic to a  $k$ -affinoid space.

**3.2.4. Fact.** (i) A subset  $Y \subset X$  is an affinoid domain if and only there is a finite covering  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $Y$  by sets from  $\bar{\tau}$  with the following properties:

- (1) for every pair  $i, j \in I$ ,  $V_i \cap V_j \in \bar{\tau}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{V_i} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}_{V_j} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{V_i \cap V_j}$  is an admissible epimorphism;
- (2) the Banach  $k$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_Y = \text{Ker}(\prod_i \mathcal{A}_{V_i} \rightarrow \prod_{i,j} \mathcal{A}_{V_i \cap V_j})$  is  $k$ -affinoid and  $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_Y)$ .

(ii) The family  $\widehat{\tau}$  of all affinoid domains is a net, and there exists a unique (up to a canonical isomorphism)  $k$ -affinoid atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  with the net  $\widehat{\tau}$  that extends the atlas  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The  $k$ -affinoid atlas  $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$  is said to be the *maximal  $k$ -affinoid atlas* on  $X$ . In practice, one does not make a difference between  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \tau)$  and the  $k$ -analytic spaces isomorphic to it, it is simply denoted by  $X$  and is assumed to be endowed by the maximal  $k$ -affinoid atlas. For a point  $x \in X$ , one sets  $\mathcal{H}(x) = \varinjlim \mathcal{H}_V(x)$ , where the inductive limit is taken over all affinoid domains that contain the point  $x$ . (Notice that this inductive system is filtered, and all transition homomorphisms in it are isomorphisms.)

Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -analytic space. The family of analytic domains in  $X$  considered as a category (with inclusions as morphisms) gives rise to a Grothendieck topology generated by the pretopology for which the set of coverings of an analytic domain  $Y \subset X$  is formed by families  $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$  of analytic domains in  $Y$  which are quasinefts on  $Y$ . This Grothendieck topology is called the *G-topology on  $X$* , and the corresponding site is denoted by  $X_G$ . The G-topology is a natural framework for working with coherent sheaves.

**3.2.5. Exercise.** Let  $\mathbf{A}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional affine space over  $k$  introduced in the Definition 1.3.1, and let  $\tau$  be the family of the compact subsets  $E(0; r)$ ,  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in (\mathbf{R}_+^*)^n$ , where  $E(a; r)$  is the closed polydisc of polyradius  $r$  with center at  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$ , i.e.,  $E(a; r) = \{x \in \mathbf{A}^n \mid |(T_i - a_i)(x)| \leq r_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

(i) The family  $\tau$  is a net on  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , and the functor  $\tau \rightarrow k\text{-}\mathcal{A}ff : E(0; r) \mapsto \mathcal{M}(k\{r^{-1}T\})$  defines a  $k$ -analytic space structure on  $\mathbf{A}^n$ .

(ii) If  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is a  $k$ -affinoid space, then  $\text{Hom}(X, \mathbf{A}^1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}$ ;

(iii) the functor on  $k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n$  that takes a  $k$ -analytic space to  $\text{Hom}(X, \mathbf{A}^1)$  is a sheaf of rings in the G-topology of  $X$ . It is called the *structural sheaf* of  $X$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G}$ . The restriction of the latter to the usual topology of  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Show that the pair  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is a locally ringed space.

**3.2.6. Exercise.** Let  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  be a  $k$ -affinoid space. Show that, for any finite  $\mathcal{A}$ -module

$M$ , the correspondence  $V \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_V$  gives rise to a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G}$ -module. It is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G}(M)$ .

**3.2.7. Definition.** A  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G}$ -module  $F$  is said to be *coherent* if there exists a quasinét  $\tau$  of affinoid domains such that, for every  $V \in \tau$ ,  $F|_V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{V_G}(M)$  for some finite  $\mathcal{A}_V$ -module  $M$ .

**3.2.8. Exercise.** If  $X = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is  $k$ -affinoid, the correspondence  $M \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{X_G}(M)$  gives rise to an equivalence between the category of finite  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules and that of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G}$ -modules.

**3.2.9. Definition.** (i) A  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  is said to be *good* if every point of  $X$  has an affinoid neighborhood.

(ii)  $X$  is said to be *without boundary* ( or *closed*) if every point of  $X$  lies in the interior  $\text{Int}(V)$  of an affinoid domain  $V$  (see Definitions 2.3.1 and 3.3.5).

**3.2.10. Fact.** Suppose that the valuation on  $k$  is nontrivial.

(i) The functor  $X \mapsto (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  from the full subcategory of good  $k$ -analytic spaces to that of locally ringed spaces is fully faithful.

(ii) The functor of (i) gives rise to an equivalence between the full subcategory of  $k$ -analytic spaces without boundary and the category of analytic spaces over  $k$  introduced in the Definition 1.3.7.

**3.2.11. Definition.** An  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  on a good  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  is said to be *coherent* if every point of  $X$  has an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}}$  is isomorphic to the cokernel of a homomorphism of locally free  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{U}}$ -modules of finite rank. The category of coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules is denoted by  $\text{Coh}(X)$ .

**3.2.12. Fact.** Let  $X$  be a good  $k$ -analytic space.

(i) the functor of restriction to the usual topology gives rise to an equivalence of categories  $\text{Coh}(X_G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}(X) : F \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ ;

(ii) a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G}$ -module  $F$  is locally free (in  $X_G$ ) if and only if the coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  is a locally free.

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $k$ -analytic spaces, and suppose that, for each pair  $i, j \in I$ , we are given an analytic domain  $X_{ij} \subset X_i$  and an isomorphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\nu_{ij} : X_{ij} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_{ji}$  so that  $X_{ii} = X_i$ ,  $\nu_{ij}(X_{ij} \cap X_{ik}) = X_{ji} \cap X_{jk}$ , and  $\nu_{ik} = \nu_{jk} \circ \nu_{ij}$  on  $X_{ij} \cap X_{ik}$ .

**3.2.13. Exercise.** Suppose that either (a) all  $X_{ij}$  are open in  $X_i$ , or (b) for any  $i \in I$ , all



$X_{ij}$  are closed in  $X_i$  and the number of  $j \in I$  with  $X_{ij} \neq \emptyset$  is finite. Then there exists a  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  and a family of morphisms  $\mu_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ ,  $i \in I$ , such that:

- (1)  $\mu_i$  is an isomorphism of  $X_i$  with an analytic domain in  $X$ ;
- (2)  $\{\mu_i(X_i)\}_{i \in I}$  is a covering of  $X$  in  $X_G$ ;
- (3)  $\mu_i(X_{ij}) = \mu_i(X_i) \cap \mu_j(X_j)$ ;
- (4)  $\mu_i = \mu_j \circ \nu_{ij}$  on  $X_{ij}$ .

Moreover, in the case (a), all  $\mu_i(X_i)$  are open in  $X$ . In the case (b), all  $\mu_i(X_i)$  are closed in  $X$  and, if all  $X_i$  are Hausdorff (resp. paracompact), then  $X$  is Hausdorff (resp. paracompact). The  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  is unique up to a unique isomorphism.

**3.2.14. Fact.** The category  $k\text{-An}$  admits fiber products.

**3.2.15. Exercise.** (i) For any non-Archimedean field  $k'$  over  $k$ , the functor  $k\text{-Aff} \rightarrow k'\text{-Aff} : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \mapsto \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_k k')$  extends to a functor  $k\text{-An} \rightarrow k'\text{-An} : X \mapsto X \widehat{\otimes}_k k'$  (the *ground field extension functor*).

(ii) For a point  $x \in X$  and an affinoid domain  $x \in V \subset X$ , let  $x'$  denote the point of  $V \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(x)$  that corresponds to the character  $\mathcal{A}_V \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(x) : f \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda f(x)$ . Show that the point  $x'$ , considered as a point of  $X \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(x)$ , does not depend on the choice of  $V$ .

**3.2.16. Definition.** The *fiber of a morphism*  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  at a point  $x \in X$  is the  $\mathcal{H}(x)$ -analytic space

$$Y_x = (Y \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(x)) \widehat{\otimes}_{X \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(x)} \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}(x)) ,$$

where the morphism  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{H}(x)) \rightarrow X \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{H}(x)$  corresponds to the point  $x'$  (from Exercise 3.2.15(ii)).

**3.2.17. Exercise.** Show that there is a canonical homeomorphism  $|Y_x| \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi^{-1}(x)$ .

### 3.3. Classes of morphisms of analytic spaces.

#### *Finite morphisms and closed immersions*

**3.3.1. Definition.** (i) A morphism of  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  is said to be *finite* (resp. a *closed immersion*) if the canonical homomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  makes  $\mathcal{B}$  a finite Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra (resp. is surjective and admissible).

(ii) A morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be *finite* (resp. a *closed immersion*) if there exists a family of affinoid domains  $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$  which is a covering in  $X_G$  such that all  $\varphi^{-1}(V_i) \rightarrow V_i$  are finite morphisms (resp. closed immersions) of  $k$ -affinoid spaces.

**3.3.2. Exercise.** (i) If a morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is finite (resp. a closed immersion), then for any affinoid domain  $V \subset X$  the induced morphism  $\varphi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow V$  is a finite morphism (resp. a closed immersion) of  $k$ -affinoid spaces.

(ii) If  $\varphi$  is finite, the induced map between the underlying topological spaces  $|Y| \rightarrow |X|$  is compact (i.e., the preimage of a compact is a compact) and has finite fibers, and  $\varphi_{G*}(\mathcal{O}_{Y_G})$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G}$ -module.

(iii) If  $\varphi$  is a closed immersion, it induced a homeomorphism between  $|Y|$  and its image in  $|X|$ , and the homomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_{X_G} \rightarrow \varphi_{G*}(\mathcal{O}_{Y_G})$  is surjective.

(iv) The classes of finite morphisms and closed immersions are preserved under composition, any base change, and any ground field extension functor.

**3.3.3. Definition.** A *closed analytic subspace* of a  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  is an isomorphism class of closed immersions  $Y \rightarrow X$ . The underlying closed subset of such a subspace is said to be a *Zariski closed subset* of  $X$ . The complements of Zariski closed subsets are said to be *Zariski open subsets* of  $X$ .

#### *Separated morphisms*

**3.3.3. Definition.** A morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be *separated* if the diagonal morphism  $\Delta_{Y/X} : Y \rightarrow Y \times_X Y$  is a closed immersion. If the canonical morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(k)$  is separated,  $X$  is said to be *separated*.

**3.3.4. Exercise.** (i) If  $X$  is separated, the underlying topological space  $|X|$  is Hausdorff. If  $X$  is good, the converse implication is also true. Give an example of a (non-good) non-separated  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  with Hausdorff space  $|X|$ .

(ii) If a morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is separated, the map  $|Y| \rightarrow |X|$  is Hausdorff (i.e., the image of  $|Y|$  in  $|Y| \times_{|X|} |Y|$  is closed). If both  $X$  and  $Y$  are good, the converse implication is also true.

(iii) The class of separated morphisms is preserved under composition, any base change and any ground field extension functor.

#### *Proper morphisms*

**3.3.5. Definition.** The *relative interior* of a morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is the set  $\text{Int}(Y/X)$  consisting of the points  $y \in Y$  such that, for any affinoid domain  $\varphi(x) \in U \subset X$ , there exists an affinoid neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$  in  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$  with  $y \in \text{Int}(V/U)$ . The *relative boundary* of  $\varphi$  is the set  $\partial(Y/X) = Y \setminus \text{Int}(Y/X)$ . The morphism  $\varphi$  is said to be *without boundary* (or *closed*) if  $\partial(Y/X) = \emptyset$ .

For example, any finite morphism is without boundary.

**3.3.6. Fact.** (i) If  $Y$  is an analytic domain in  $X$ , then  $\text{Int}(Y/X)$  coincides with the topological interior of  $Y$  in  $X$ .

(ii) If  $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a quasinete of analytic domains in  $X$  and  $Y_i = \varphi^{-1}(X_i)$ , then  $\text{Int}(Y/X) = \{y \in Y \mid y \in \text{Int}(Y_i/X_i) \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ with } y \in Y_i\}$ .

(iii) The class of morphisms without boundary is preserved under composition, any base change and any ground field extension functor.

(iv) (Temkin) If  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a separated morphism without boundary and  $X$  is  $k$ -affinoid, then for any affinoid domain  $U \subset Y$  there exists a bigger affinoid domain  $V \subset Y$  such that  $U \subset \text{Int}(V/X)$  and  $U$  is a Weierstrass domain in  $V$ .

**3.3.7. Definition.** A morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be *proper* if it is compact (i.e., proper as a map of topological spaces) and has no boundary.

For example, any finite morphism is proper.

**3.3.8. Fact** (Temkin). The class of proper morphisms is preserved under composition, any base change and any ground field extension functor.

### *Étale and quasi-étale morphisms*

Let  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces.

**3.3.9. Definition.** (i)  $\varphi$  is said to be *finite étale* if it is finite and, for any affinoid domain  $U = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}) \subset X$  with  $\varphi^{-1}(U) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a finite étale  $\mathcal{A}$ -algebra (in the sense of algebraic geometry).

(ii)  $\varphi$  is said to be *étale* if, for every point  $y \in Y$ , there exist open neighborhoods  $y \in \mathcal{V} \subset Y$  and  $\varphi(y) \in \mathcal{U} \subset X$  such that  $\varphi$  induces a finite étale morphism  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ .

**3.3.10. Fact.** (i) Every étale morphism is an open map.

(ii) The class of étale morphisms is preserved under composition, any base change and any ground field extension functor.

**3.3.11. Definition.** A *germ of a  $k$ -analytic space* (or simply a  *$k$ -germ*) is a pair  $(X, S)$  consisting of a  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  and a subset  $S \subset X$ . (If  $S = \{x\}$ , it is denoted by  $(X, x)$ .) The  $k$ -germs form a category  $k\text{-Germ}$ s with respect to the following sets of morphisms

$$\text{Hom}((Y, T), (X, S)) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}'(\mathcal{V}, X) ,$$

where the inductive limit is taken over all open neighborhoods  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $T$  in  $Y$ , and  $\text{Hom}'(\mathcal{V}, X)$  is the set of morphisms of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow X$  with  $\varphi(T) \subset S$  (such morphisms are called *representatives* of a morphism  $(Y, T) \rightarrow (X, S)$ .)

**3.3.12. Exercise.** (i) Let  $k\text{-}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}erm}s$  denote the category of  $k$ -germs in which morphisms from  $(Y, T)$  to  $(X, S)$  are the morphisms  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  with  $\varphi(T) \subset S$ . Then  $k\text{-}\mathcal{G}erm{s}$  is the category of fractions of  $k\text{-}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}erm}s$  with respect to the family of morphisms  $\varphi : (Y, T) \rightarrow (X, S)$  such that  $\varphi$  induces an isomorphism of  $Y$  with an open neighborhood of  $S$  in  $X$ .

(ii) There is a fully faithful functor  $k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n \rightarrow k\text{-}\mathcal{G}erm{s} : X \mapsto (X, |X|)$ .

(iii) The category  $k\text{-}\mathcal{G}erm{s}$  admits fiber products and a ground field extension functor.

**3.3.13. Fact.** For a point  $x \in X$ , let  $\text{Fét}(X, x)$  denote the category of morphisms  $(Y, T) \rightarrow (X, x)$  with an étale representative  $\varphi : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow X$  such that the induced morphism  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \varphi(\mathcal{V})$  is finite. Let also  $\text{Fét}(\mathcal{H}(x))$  denote the category of schemes finite and étale over  $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{H}(x))$ . Then there is an equivalence of categories  $\text{Fét}(X, x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fét}(\mathcal{H}(x))$ .

**3.3.14. Exercise.** Let  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces, and suppose that for a point  $y \in Y$  with  $x = \varphi(y)$  the maximal purely inseparable extension of  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(y)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}(y)$ . Then there is an equivalence of categories  $\text{Fét}(X, x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Fét}(Y, y)$ .

**3.3.15. Definition.** A morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be *quasi-étale* if for every point  $y \in Y$  there exist affinoid domains  $V_1, \dots, V_n \subset Y$  such that  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$  is a neighborhood of  $y$  and each  $V_i$  can be identified with an affinoid domain in a  $k$ -analytic space étale over  $X$ .

**3.3.16. Fact.** The class of quasi-étale morphisms is preserved under composition, any base change and any ground field extension functor.

*Smooth morphisms (see also §4.5)*

For a  $k$ -analytic space  $X$ , one sets  $\mathbf{A}_X^n = X \times \mathbf{A}^n$  (the  $n$ -dimensional affine space over  $X$ ).

**3.3.15. Definition.** A morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be *smooth at a point*  $y \in Y$  if there exists an open neighborhood  $y \in \mathcal{V} \subset Y$  such that the induced morphism  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow X$  can be represented as a composition of an étale morphism  $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_X^n$  with the canonical projection  $\mathbf{A}_X^n \rightarrow X$ .  $\varphi$  is said to be *smooth* if it is smooth at all points of  $Y$ . If the canonical morphism  $X \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(k)$  is smooth,  $X$  is said to be *smooth*.

**3.3.16. Exercise.** The class of smooth morphisms is preserved under composition, any base change and any ground field extension functor.

**3.3.17. Definition.** A strictly  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  is said to be *rig-smooth* if, for any connected strictly affinoid domain  $V$  the sheaf of differentials  $\Omega_V$  is a locally free  $\mathcal{O}_V$ -module of rank  $\dim(\mathcal{A}_V)$ , the Krull dimension of  $\mathcal{A}_V$ .

**3.3.18. Fact.** A strictly  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  is smooth if and only if it is rig-smooth and has no boundary.

**3.4. Topological properties of analytic spaces.** Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -analytic space.

**3.4.1. Fact.** (i) Every point of  $X$  has a fundamental system of open neighborhoods which are locally compact, arcwise connected and countable at infinity.

(ii) If  $X$  is paracompact, the topological dimension of  $X$  is at most  $\dim(X)$ . If, in addition,  $X$  is strictly  $k$ -analytic, both numbers are equal.

**3.4.2. Exercise.** (i) For  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbf{R}_+^n$ , let  $p_r$  denote the point of the affine space  $\mathbf{A}^n$  that corresponds to the following multiplicative seminorm on  $k[T] = k[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ : if  $f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n} a_\nu T^\nu$ , then  $|f(p_r)| = \max_{\nu} |a_\nu| r^\nu$ . Show that the map  $\mathbf{R}_+^n \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n : r \mapsto p_r$  is continuous and induces a homeomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  with a closed subset of  $\mathbf{A}^n$ .

(ii) Let  $\Phi : \mathbf{A}^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$  be the map that takes a pair  $(x, t)$  to the point  $x_t$  that corresponds to the following multiplicative seminorm on  $k[T]$ :  $|f(x_t)| = \max_i |\partial_i f(x)| t^i$ , where  $\partial_i$  for  $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbf{Z}_+^n$  denotes the operator  $\frac{1}{i!} T^i \frac{\partial^i}{\partial T^i} : k[T] \rightarrow k[T]$ , i.e.,

$$\partial_i \left( \sum_{\nu} a_\nu T^\nu \right) = \sum_{\nu=i}^{\infty} \binom{\nu}{i} a_\nu T^{\nu-i} .$$

Show that  $\Phi$  is a strong deformation retraction of  $\mathbf{A}^n$  to the image of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  in it.

(iii) Show that any nonempty open subset  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbf{A}^n$ , whose complement is a union of Zariski closed subsets, contains the image of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$  and is preserved under the retraction  $\Phi$ , i.e.,  $\Phi$  induces a strong deformation of  $\mathcal{U}$  to the image of  $\mathbf{R}_+^n$ . (A subset of  $\mathbf{A}^n$  is Zariski closed if it is the set of zeros of a family of entire analytic functions.)

*Suppose that the valuation on  $k$  is nontrivial.*

**3.4.3. Definition.** A  $k$ -analytic space is said to be *locally embeddable in a smooth space* if each point has an open neighborhood isomorphic to a strictly analytic domain in a smooth  $k$ -analytic space. (Such a space is automatically strictly  $k$ -analytic.)

**3.4.4. Fact.** Any  $k$ -analytic space locally embeddable in a smooth space is locally contractible.

Here is a stronger form of Fact 3.4.4.

**3.4.5. Fact.** Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -analytic space locally embeddable in a smooth space. Then every point  $x \in X$  has a fundamental system of open neighborhoods  $\mathcal{U}$  which possess the following properties:

- (a) there is a contraction  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  to a point  $x_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ ;
- (b) there is an increasing sequence of compact strictly analytic domains  $X_1 \subset X_2 \subset \dots$  which are preserved under  $\Phi$  and such that  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ ;
- (c) given a non-Archimedean field  $K$  over  $k$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \widehat{\otimes} K$  has a finite number of connected components, and  $\Phi$  lifts to a contraction of each of the connected components to a point over  $x_0$ ;
- (d) there is a finite separable extension  $k'$  of  $k$  such that, if  $K$  from (c) contains  $k'$ , then the map  $\mathcal{U} \widehat{\otimes} K \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \widehat{\otimes} k'$  induces a bijection between the sets of connected components.

#### §4. Analytic spaces associated to algebraic varieties and formal schemes

**4.1. GAGA.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a scheme of locally finite type over  $k$ , and let  $\Phi_{\mathcal{X}}$  be the functor from the category  $k\text{-}\mathcal{A}n$  to the category of sets that takes a  $k$ -analytic space  $Y$  to the set of morphisms of locally ringed space  $\text{Hom}((Y, \mathcal{O}_Y), (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}))$ .

**4.1.1. Fact.** The functor  $\Phi_{\mathcal{X}}$  is representable by a  $K$ -analytic space without boundary  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$  and a morphism  $\pi : \mathcal{X}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . They possess the following properties:

- (1) For any non-Archimedean field  $K$  over  $k$ , there is a bijection  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}(K)$ .
- (2) The map  $\pi$  is surjective and induces a bijection  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_0$ .
- (3) For any point  $x \in \mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$ , the local homomorphism  $\pi_x : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, \pi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}, x}$  is faithfully flat.
- (4) If  $x \in \mathcal{X}_0^{\text{an}}$ , then  $\pi_x$  induces an isomorphism of completions  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}, \pi(x)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}, x}$ .

Here  $\mathcal{X}_0$  denotes the set of closed points of  $\mathcal{X}$  and, for a  $k$ -analytic space  $X$ , one sets  $X_0 = \{x \in X \mid [\mathcal{H}(x) : k] < \infty\}$ .

**4.1.2. Exercise.** (i) The  $k$ -analytic space  $\mathbf{A}^n$  is the analytification of the scheme theoretic  $n$ -dimensional affine space  $\mathbf{A}^n$  over  $k$  (i.e.,  $\text{Spec}(k[T_1, \dots, T_n])$ ).

(ii) Let  $\mathbf{P}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional projective space, i.e., the  $k$ -analytic space associated to the scheme theoretic projective space  $\mathbf{P}^n$  over  $k$ . The canonical morphism  $\mathbf{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  gives rise to a morphism  $\mathbf{A}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ . Show that the images of two points  $x, y \in \mathbf{A}^{n+1}$  coincide in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  if and only if there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that, for every homogeneous polynomial  $f \in k[T_0, \dots, T_n]$  of degree  $d \geq 0$ , one has  $|f(y)| = \lambda^d |f(x)|$ .

(iii) Show that the embedding  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n+1}$  and the strong deformation retraction  $\Phi : \mathbf{A}^{n+1} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{n+1}$  from Exercise 3.4.2 give rise to an embedding  $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{R}_+)$  and a

strong deformation retraction  $\Phi : \mathbf{P}^n \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  to the image of  $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , where  $\mathbf{P}^n(\mathbf{R}_+)$  is the quotient of  $\mathbf{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \{0, \dots, 0\}$  by the canonical action of the group  $\mathbf{R}_+^*$ .

**4.1.3. Fact.** Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a morphism of schemes of locally finite type over  $k$ , and let  $\varphi^{\text{an}} : \mathcal{Y}^{\text{an}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$  be the corresponding morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces.

(i)  $\varphi$  is (1) étale, (2) smooth, (3) separated, (4) an open immersion, and (5) an isomorphism if and only if  $\varphi^{\text{an}}$  possesses the same property.

(ii) Suppose that  $\varphi$  is of finite type. Then  $\varphi$  is (1) a closed immersion, (2) finite, and (3) proper if and only if  $\varphi^{\text{an}}$  possesses the same property.

**4.1.4. Fact.** (i)  $\mathcal{X}$  is separated  $\iff |\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}|$  is Hausdorff;

(ii)  $\mathcal{X}$  is proper  $\iff |\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}|$  is compact;

(iii)  $\mathcal{X}$  is connected  $\iff |\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}|$  is arcwise connected;

(iv) the dimension of  $\mathcal{X}$  is equal to the topological dimension of  $|\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}|$ .

For an  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{an}}$  denote the  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}}$ -module

$$\pi^* \mathcal{F} = \pi^{-1} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}} .$$

(Show that the functor  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}}$  is exact, faithful, and takes coherent sheaves to coherent sheaves.)

**4.1.5. Fact.** Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a proper morphism of schemes of locally finite type over  $k$ . Then for any coherent  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ , there is a canonical isomorphism  $(R^n \varphi_* \mathcal{F})^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^n \varphi_*^{\text{an}} \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}}$ .

**4.1.6. Fact.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a proper  $k$ -scheme. Then the functor  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}}$  gives rise to an equivalence of categories  $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}})$ .

**4.1.7. Exercise.** (i) The functor  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$  is fully faithful on the category of proper  $k$ -schemes.

(ii) Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a proper  $k$ -scheme. Then the functor  $\mathcal{Y} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}^{\text{an}}$  induces an equivalence between the category of finite (resp. finite étale) schemes over  $\mathcal{X}$  and the category of finite (resp. finite étale)  $k$ -analytic spaces over  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$ .

(iii) Every reduced proper  $k$ -analytic space  $X$  of dimension one is algebraic, i.e., there exists a projective algebraic curve  $\mathcal{Y}$  over  $k$  such that  $X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Y}^{\text{an}}$ .

*Suppose now that the valuation on  $k$  is trivial.* For a  $k$ -analytic space  $X$ , let  $X_t$  denote the set of points  $x \in X$  for which the canonical valuation on  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is trivial.

**4.1.8. Exercise.** (i) The set  $X_t$  is closed in  $X$ , and  $X_0 \subset X_t$ .

(ii) Every morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  induces a continuous map  $Y_t \rightarrow X_t$ .

**4.1.9. Fact** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a scheme of locally finite type over  $k$ .

(i)  $\mathcal{X}_t^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{X}_0^{\text{an}}} = \mathcal{X}_t^{\text{an}}$ .

(ii) If  $x \in \mathcal{X}_t^{\text{an}}$ , then  $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}, \pi(x)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}, x}$ .

(iii) The functor  $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}}$  gives rise to an equivalence of categories  $\text{Coh}(\mathcal{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coh}(\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}})$ .

(iv) The functor  $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$  is fully faithful.

**4.2. Generic fibers of formal schemes locally finitely presented over  $k^\circ$ .** If the valuation on  $k$  is nontrivial, we fix a nonzero element  $a \in k^\circ$ . If the valuation on  $k$  is trivial (then  $\tilde{k} = k^\circ = k$  and  $k^{\circ\circ} = 0$ ), we set  $a = 0$ .

**4.2.1. Definition.** The *ring of restricted power series over  $k^\circ$  in  $n$ -variables* is the ring  $k^\circ\{T\} = k\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$  of the formal power series  $f = \sum_\nu a_\nu T^\nu$  over  $k^\circ$  such that, for any  $m \geq 0$ , the number of  $\nu$ 's with  $a^\nu \neq 0$  is finite, i.e.,  $k^\circ\{T\} = \varprojlim k^\circ/(a^m)[T]$  (or  $k^\circ\{T\} = k\{T\} \cap k^\circ[[T]]$ ).

**4.2.2. Fact** (Artin-Rees Lemma). For any finitely generated ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset k^\circ\{T\}$ , there exists  $m_0 \geq 0$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \cap a^m k^\circ\{T\} \subset a^{m-m_0} \mathfrak{a}$  for all  $m \geq m_0$ .

**4.2.3. Definition.** A *topologically finitely presented ring over  $k^\circ$*  is a ring of the form  $k^\circ\{T\}/\mathfrak{a}$  for a finitely generated ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset k^\circ\{T\}$ .

**4.2.4. Exercise.** Let  $A$  be a topologically finitely presented ring over  $k^\circ$ .

(i)  $A$  is separated and complete in the  $a$ -adic topology.

(ii)  $\tilde{A} = A/k^{\circ\circ}A$  is a finitely generated  $\tilde{k}$ -algebra. In particular, any open subset of the formal scheme  $\text{Spf}(A)$  is a finite union of open affine subschemes of the form  $\text{Spf}(A_{\{f\}})$ ,  $f \in A$ .

(iii)  $\mathcal{A} = A \otimes_{k^\circ} k$  is a strictly  $k$ -affinoid algebra, and the image of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  lies in  $\mathcal{A}^\circ$ .

(iv) Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be the affine formal scheme  $\text{Spf}(A)$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  the affine  $\tilde{k}$ -scheme  $\text{Spec}(\tilde{A})$ , and  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$  the strictly  $k$ -affinoid space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$ . Each point  $x \in \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  gives rise to a character  $\tilde{\chi}_x : \tilde{A} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x)$  whose kernel is a prime ideal of  $\tilde{A}$ . In this way one gets a *reduction map*  $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$ . Show that the preimage of a closed subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

(v) The preimage  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$  of an open subset  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathfrak{X}_s$  is a compact strictly analytic domain in  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ . If  $\mathcal{Y}$  is an open affine subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  and  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is the open affine formal subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$  with the underlying space  $\mathcal{Y}$  (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{Y}_s = \mathcal{Y}$ ), then  $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ .

**4.2.5 Definition.** (i) A formal scheme *locally finitely presented over  $k^\circ$*  is a formal scheme  $\mathcal{X}$  over  $\text{Spf}(k^\circ)$  which is a locally finite union of open affine formal subschemes of the form  $\text{Spf}(A)$ ,



where  $A$  is topologically finitely presented over  $k^\circ$ . The category of such formal schemes is denoted by  $k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$ .

(ii) For  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$ , the locally ringed space  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/k^{\circ\circ}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$  is a scheme of locally finite type over  $\tilde{k}$ , it is called the *closed* (or *special*) *fiber* of  $\mathfrak{X}$  and denoted by  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ .

(iii) For  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$  and  $n \geq 1$ , the scheme  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/a^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{X}_n$ .

We are going to construct a functor  $k^\circ\text{-Fsch} \rightarrow k\text{-An}$  that associates to a formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$  its *generic fiber*  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta \in k\text{-An}$ , and we will construct a *reduction map*  $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$ . Both constructions extend those of Exercise 4.2.4.

We fix a locally finite covering  $\{\mathfrak{X}_i\}_{i \in I}$  by open affine subschemes of the form  $\text{Spf}(A)$ , where  $A$  is topologically finitely presented over  $k^\circ$ . Suppose first that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is separated. Then for any pair  $i, j \in I$  the intersection  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij} = \mathfrak{X}_i \cap \mathfrak{X}_j$  is an open affine subscheme of both  $\mathfrak{X}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_j$  and, therefore,  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$  is a strictly affinoid domain in  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_{j,\eta}$  and the canonical morphism  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta} \times \mathfrak{X}_{j,\eta}$  is a closed immersion. By Exercise 3.2.13, we can glue all  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  along  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$ , and we get a paracompact separated strictly  $k$ -analytic space  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ . If  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is an open formal subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta$  is a closed strictly analytic domain of  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

Suppose now that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is arbitrary. Then each  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij} = \mathfrak{X}_i \cap \mathfrak{X}_j$  is a separated formal scheme, and  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$  is a compact strictly analytic domain in both  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_{j,\eta}$ . We can therefore glue all  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  along  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$ , and we get a paracompact strictly  $k$ -analytic space  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

**4.2.6. Exercise.** (i) The reduction maps  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{i,s}$  induce a reduction map  $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

(iii) If  $\mathcal{Y}$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$  is a closed strictly analytic domain in  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ . If  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is the open formal subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$  with the underlying space  $\mathcal{Y}$ , then  $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ .

(iv) The correspondence  $\mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is a functor that commutes with fiber products and extensions of the ground field.

(v) If a morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$  is finite, then the morphisms  $\varphi_s : \mathfrak{Y}_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$  and  $\varphi_\eta : \mathfrak{Y}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  are finite.

**4.2.7. Definition.** A morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$  is said to be *étale* if for all  $n \geq 1$  the induced morphisms of schemes  $\varphi_n : \mathfrak{Y}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_n$  are étale.

**4.2.8. Fact.** (i) The correspondence  $\mathfrak{Y} \mapsto \mathfrak{Y}_s$  induces an equivalence between the category of formal schemes étale over  $\mathfrak{X}$  and the category of schemes étale over  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ .

(ii) For an étale morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , one has  $\varphi_\eta(\mathfrak{Y}_\eta) = \pi^{-1}(\varphi_s(\mathfrak{Y}_s))$ .

(iii) For an étale morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , the morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi_\eta : \mathfrak{Y}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is quasi-étale.

**4.2.9. Fact** (Temkin). If a morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$  is proper (i.e., the morphism of schemes  $\varphi_s : \mathfrak{Y}_s \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$  is proper), then the morphism of  $k$ -analytic space  $\varphi_\eta : \mathfrak{Y}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is proper.

Let now  $\mathcal{X}$  be a scheme which admits a locally finite covering by open affine subschemes of the form  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  is a finitely presented  $k^\circ$ -algebra. Then the formal completion  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  along the subscheme  $(\mathcal{X}_s, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/a\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$  is a formal scheme from  $k^\circ\text{-Fsch}$ , and it has the generic fiber  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\eta$ . On the other hand, there is a  $k$ -analytic space  $\mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}} = (\mathcal{X}_\eta)^{\text{an}}$ . From the construction of both spaces it follows that there is a canonical morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}}$ .

**4.2.10. Fact.** (i) If  $\mathcal{X}$  is affine (resp. separated and quasicompact), the above morphism identifies  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\eta$  with a strictly affinoid (resp. a compact strictly analytic) domain in  $\mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}}$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{X}$  is proper over  $k^\circ$ , then  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}}$ .

(iii) A proper morphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  induces an isomorphism  $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Y}_\eta^{\text{an}} \times_{\mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}}} \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\eta$ .

**4.3. Generic fibers of special formal schemes over  $k^\circ$ .** In this subsection, the valuation on the ground field  $k$  is assumed to be discrete (but not necessarily nontrivial).

**4.3.1. Definition.** A *special  $k^\circ$ -algebra* is an adic ring  $A$  such that, for some ideal of definition  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ , the quotient rings  $A/\mathfrak{a}^n$  are finitely generated over  $k^\circ$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

For example, the  $k^\circ$ -algebra  $k^\circ\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}[[S_1, \dots, S_n]] = k^\circ[[S_1, \dots, S_n]]\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$  is special.

**4.3.2. Fact.** Let  $A$  be an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -adic special  $k^\circ$ -algebra.

(i)  $A$  is a Noetherian ring, and its Jacobson radical is an ideal of definition.

(ii) every ideal  $\mathfrak{b} \subset A$  is closed, and the quotient ring  $B = A/\mathfrak{b}$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}B$ -adic special  $k^\circ$ -algebra.

(iii) if  $A \rightarrow B$  is a continuous surjective homomorphism to a special  $k^\circ$ -algebra  $B$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  is its kernel, then  $A/\mathfrak{b}$  is topologically isomorphic to  $B$ .

(iv) if an ideal  $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$  is open, then the completion  $B = \widehat{A}$  of  $A$  in the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -adic topology is a  $\mathfrak{a}B$ -adic special  $k^\circ$ -algebra.

(v) if  $B$  is a special  $k^\circ$ -algebra, then so is  $A \widehat{\otimes}_{k^\circ} B$ ;

(vi)  $B = A\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}B$ -adic special  $k^\circ$ -algebra.

(vii)  $B = A[[S_1, \dots, S_n]]$  is a  $\mathfrak{b}$ -adic special  $k^\circ$ -algebra, where  $\mathfrak{b}$  is the ideal of  $B$  generated by  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $T_1, \dots, T_n$ .

**4.3.3. Fact.** The following properties of an  $\mathbf{a}$ -adic  $k^\circ$ -algebra  $A$  are equivalent:

- (a)  $A$  is a special  $k^\circ$ -algebra;
- (b)  $A/\mathbf{a}^2$  is finitely generated over  $k^\circ$ ;
- (c)  $A$  is topologically isomorphic over  $k^\circ$  to a quotient of  $k^\circ\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}[[S_1, \dots, S_n]]$ .

**4.3.4. Definition.** (i) A formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  over  $k^\circ$  is said to be *special* if it is a locally finite of open affine formal subschemes of the form  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$ , where  $A$  is a special  $k^\circ$ -algebra. The category of such formal schemes is denoted by  $k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFsch}$ .

(ii) For  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFsch}$ , the locally ringed space  $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal of definition of  $\mathfrak{X}$  that contains  $k^{\circ\circ}$ , is scheme of locally finite type over  $\tilde{k}$ , it is called the *closed* (or *special*) *fiber of  $\mathfrak{X}$*  and is denoted by  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ .

Notice that the scheme  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  depends on the choice of the ideal of definition  $\mathcal{J}$ , but the underlying reduced scheme (and in particular the étale site of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ ) does not.

**4.3.5. Exercise.** (i)  $k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{Fsch}$  is a full subcategory of  $k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFsch}$ .

(ii) If  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFsch}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a subscheme  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ , the formal completion  $\mathfrak{X}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  along  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a special formal scheme over  $k^\circ$ .

We are going to construct a functor  $k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFsch} \rightarrow k\text{-}\mathcal{An}$  that associates to a formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathrm{Ob}(k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFsch})$  its *generic fiber*  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta \in k\text{-}\mathcal{An}$ , and we will construct a *reduction map*  $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$ . Both constructions extend those from §4.2.

**4.3.6. Exercise.** Let  $A$  be a special  $k^\circ$ -algebra and  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathrm{Spf}(A)$ , and fix an admissible epimorphism  $\alpha : A' = k^\circ\{T_1, \dots, T_m\}[[S_1, \dots, S_n]] \rightarrow A$ . If  $\mathbf{a}$  is the kernel of  $\alpha$ , we define  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$  as the closed  $k$ -analytic subspace of  $Y = E^m \times D^n$  defined by the subsheaf of ideals  $\mathbf{a}\mathcal{O}_Y$ , where  $E^m$  and  $D^n$  are the closed and the open polydiscs of radius 1 with center at zero in  $\mathbf{A}^m$  and  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , respectively.

(i)  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$  can be identified with the set of multiplicative seminorms on  $A$  that extend the valuation on  $k^\circ$  and whose values at all elements of  $A$  are at most 1 and at elements of an ideal of definition of  $A$  are strictly less than 1.

(ii) There is an increasing sequence of affinoid domains  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$  such that  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$ , each  $V_n$  is a Weierstrass domain in  $V_{n+1}$ , the image of an ideal of definition with respect to the canonical homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{V_n}^\circ$  lie in  $\mathcal{A}_{V_n}^{\circ\circ}$ , and the image of  $A \otimes_{k^\circ} k$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{V_n}$  is dense.

(iii) A compact subset  $V \subset \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is an affinoid domain if and only if there exist a  $k$ -affinoid algebra  $\mathcal{A}_V$  and a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_V^\circ$  that take an ideal of definition to  $\mathcal{A}_V^{\circ\circ}$  such that the

image of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}_V)$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is  $V$  and any homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\circ$  that take an ideal of definition to  $\mathcal{B}^\circ$ , where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $k$ -affinoid algebra, for which the image of  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B})$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$  lies in  $V$ , goes through a unique bounded homomorphism  $\mathcal{A}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ .

(iv) The correspondence  $\mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is a functor.

(v) The construction of Exercise 4.2.4 gives rise to a *reduction map*  $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$  such that the preimage of a closed subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

(vi) The preimage  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$  of an open subset  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathfrak{X}_s$  is a closed analytic domain in  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

(vii) For any affine subscheme  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathfrak{X}_s$ , there is a canonical isomorphism  $(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{Y})_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ .

If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is arbitrary, we do the same construction as in §2. Namely, we fix a locally finite covering  $\{\mathfrak{X}_i\}_{i \in I}$  by open affine subschemes of the form  $\mathrm{Spf}(A)$ , where  $A$  is a special  $k^\circ$ -algebra. Suppose first that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is separated. Then for any pair  $i, j \in I$  the intersection  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij} = \mathfrak{X}_i \cap \mathfrak{X}_j$  is an open affine subscheme of both  $\mathfrak{X}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_j$  and, therefore,  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$  is a closed analytic domain in  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_{j,\eta}$  and the canonical morphism  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta} \times \mathfrak{X}_{j,\eta}$  is a closed immersion. By Exercise 3.2.13, we can glue all  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  along  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$ , and we get a paracompact separated  $k$ -analytic space  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ . If  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is an open formal subscheme of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , then  $\mathfrak{Y}_\eta$  is a closed analytic domain of  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

Suppose now that  $\mathfrak{X}$  is arbitrary. Then each  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij} = \mathfrak{X}_i \cap \mathfrak{X}_j$  is a separated formal scheme, and  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$  is a closed analytic domain in both  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_{j,\eta}$ . We can therefore glue all  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta}$  along  $\mathfrak{X}_{ij,\eta}$ , and we get a paracompact  $k$ -analytic space  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

**4.3.7. Exercise.** (i) The reduction maps  $\mathfrak{X}_{i,\eta} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{i,s}$  induce a reduction map  $\pi : \mathfrak{X}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_s$ .

(ii) If  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

(iii) If  $\mathcal{Y}$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$  is a closed analytic domain in  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

(iv) For any subscheme  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathfrak{X}_s$ , there is a canonical isomorphism  $(\mathfrak{X}/\mathcal{Y})_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ .

(v) The correspondence  $\mathfrak{X} \mapsto \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is a functor that commutes with fiber products and extensions of the ground field.

**4.3.8. Definition.** (i) A morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFSch}$  is said to be of *locally finite type* if locally it is isomorphic to a morphism of the form  $\mathrm{Spf}(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}(A)$ , where  $B$  is topologically finitely generated over  $A$  (i.e., it is a quotient of  $A\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ ).

(ii) A morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  in  $k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{SFSch}$  is said to be *étale* if it is of locally finite type and, for any ideal of definition  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the morphism of schemes  $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{Y}}) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/\mathcal{J}\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$  is étale.

**4.3.9. Fact.** (i) The correspondence  $\mathfrak{Y} \mapsto \mathfrak{Y}_s$  induces an equivalence between the category of formal schemes étale over  $\mathfrak{X}$  and the category of schemes étale over  $\mathfrak{X}_s$ .

(ii) For an étale morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , one has  $\varphi_\eta(\mathfrak{Y}_\eta) = \pi^{-1}(\varphi_s(\mathfrak{Y}_s))$ .

(ii) For an étale morphism  $\varphi : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ , the morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi_\eta : \mathfrak{Y}_\eta \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_\eta$  is quasi-étale.

Let now  $\mathcal{X}$  be a scheme which admits a locally finite covering by open affine subschemes of the form  $\text{Spec}(A)$ , where  $A$  is a finitely presented  $k^\circ$ -algebra. For a subscheme  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathfrak{X}_s$ , let  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  denote the formal completion of  $\mathcal{X}$  along  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Since  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  coincides with the formal completion of  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$  along  $\mathcal{Y}$ , it follows that this is a special formal scheme over  $k^\circ$ . Its closed fibre can be identified with  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and one has  $(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}})_\eta \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Y})$ , where  $\pi$  is the reduction map  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\eta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_s$ .

#### 4.4. The local structure of a smooth analytic curve.

**4.4.1. Exercise.** Let  $x$  be a point of the affine line  $\mathbf{A}^1$ .

(i) The types of all points from the preimage of  $x$  in  $\mathbf{A}^1 \widehat{\otimes} k^a$  (which were introduced in Exercise 1.3.6) are the same. This defines the type of  $x$ .

(ii) If  $x$  is of type (1) or (4), then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(x)$  is algebraic over  $\widetilde{k}$  and  $\sqrt{|\mathcal{H}(x)^*|} = \sqrt{|k^*|}$ .

(iii) If  $x$  is of type (2), then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(x)$  is finitely generated of transcendence degree one over  $\widetilde{k}$  and the group  $|\mathcal{H}(x)^*|/|k^*|$  is finite.

(iv) If  $x$  is of type (3), then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(x)$  is finite over  $\widetilde{k}$  and the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space  $\sqrt{|\mathcal{H}(x)^*|}/\sqrt{|k^*|}$  is of dimension one.

**4.4.2. Exercise.** Let  $X$  be a smooth  $k$ -analytic curve. For every point  $x \in X$ , there is an étale morphism from an open neighborhood of  $x$  to the affine line.

(i) The type of the image of  $x$  in  $\mathbf{A}^1$  does not depend on the choice of the étale morphism. It is said to be the *type of  $x$* .

(ii) If  $x \in X_0$  (i.e.,  $[\mathcal{H}(x) : k] < \infty$ ), it is of type (1) and its local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a discrete valuation ring. In all other cases,  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is a field.

**4.4.3. Fact.** Assume that the field  $k$  is perfect or its valuation is non-trivial (resp. non-perfect and the valuation is trivial). Then for every point  $x$  of a smooth  $k$ -analytic curve  $X$  there exists a finite separable (resp. finite) extension  $k'$  of  $k$  and an open subset  $X' \subset X \widehat{\otimes} k'$  such that  $x$  has a unique preimage  $x'$  in  $X'$  and  $X'$  is isomorphic to the following  $k'$ -analytic curve (depending on the type of  $x$ ):

(1) or (4): an open disc with center at zero;

(3) an open annulus with center at zero;

(2)  $\mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}} \setminus \coprod_{i=1}^n E_i$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a connected smooth projective curve over  $k^\circ$ , each  $E_i$  is an affinoid domain isomorphic to a closed disc with center at zero, all of  $E_i$ 's are in pairwise different residue classes of  $\mathcal{X}_s$ , and  $x'$  is the preimage of the generic point of  $\mathcal{X}_s$  in  $\mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}}$ .

**4.4.4. Definition.** A triple  $(X, Y, x)$  consisting of a smooth  $k$ -analytic curve  $X$ , an open subset  $Y \subset X$  and a point  $x \in Y$  is said to be *elementary* if

(a) if  $x$  is of type (1) or (4) (resp. (3)),  $X = \mathbf{P}^1$ ,  $Y$  is an open disc with center at zero (resp.  $x = p_{E(0;r)}$  and  $Y$  is an open annulus  $\{y \in \mathbf{A}^1 \mid r' < |T(y)| < r''\}$  with  $r' < r < r''$ );

(c) if  $x$  is of type (2),  $Y = \mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}} \setminus \coprod_{i=1}^n E_i$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is a connected smooth projective curve over  $k^\circ$ , each  $E_i$  is an affinoid domain isomorphic to a closed disc with center at zero, all of  $E_i$ 's are in pairwise different residue classes of  $\mathcal{X}_s$ , and  $x$  is the preimage of the generic point of  $\mathcal{X}_s$  in  $\mathcal{X}_\eta^{\text{an}}$ .

#### 4.5. The local structure of a smooth morphisms.

**4.5.1. Definition.** A morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be an *elementary fibration of dimension one at a point  $y \in Y$*  if it can be included to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \hookrightarrow & Z & \longleftarrow & V = \coprod_{i=1}^m (X \times E_i) \\ & & \varphi \searrow & \downarrow \psi & \swarrow pr \\ & & & X & \end{array}$$

such that

(a)  $\psi : Z \rightarrow X$  is a smooth proper morphism whose geometric fibres are irreducible curves of genus  $g \geq 0$ ;

(b)  $Y$  is an open subset of  $Z$ , and  $V = Z \setminus Y$ ;

(c)  $V$  is an analytic domain in  $Z$  isomorphic to a disjoint union  $\coprod_{i=1}^m (X \times E_i)$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , where  $E_i$  are closed discs in  $\mathbf{A}^1$  with center at zero, and  $pr$  is the canonical projection;

(d) there exists an analytic domain  $V \subset V'$  such that the isomorphism  $V \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{i=1}^m (X \times E_i)$  from (c) extends to an isomorphism  $V' \xrightarrow{\sim} \coprod_{i=1}^m (X \times E'_i)$ , where  $E'_i$  is a closed disc in  $\mathbf{A}^1$  which contains  $E_i$  and has a bigger radius;

(e) the triple  $(Z_x, Y_x, y)$ , where  $x = \varphi(y)$ , is elementary.

**4.5.2. Definition.** A morphism of good  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be an *almost étale neighborhood of a point  $x \in X$*  if  $x$  has a unique preimage  $y$  in  $Y$  and, if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ ,  $\varphi$  is étale, and, if  $p = \text{char}(k) > 0$ , there exist affinoid neighborhoods  $V$  of  $y$  and  $U$  of  $\varphi(y)$  with  $\varphi(V) \subset U$

such that the induced morphism  $V \rightarrow U$  is a composition of finite morphisms of  $k$ -affinoid spaces  $V \xrightarrow{\chi} U' = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A}') \xrightarrow{\psi} U = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\chi$  is étale and  $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}[T_1, \dots, T_n]/(T_i^{p^{m_i}} - f_i)$  for some  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $m_1, \dots, m_n \geq 0$ .

Notice that, if the field  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is perfect with trivial valuation, such a morphism  $\varphi$  is étale at  $y$ .

**4.5.2. Fact.** Given a smooth morphism of pure dimension one between good  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  and a point  $y \in Y$ , suppose that the valuation on  $\mathcal{H}(\varphi(y))$  is nontrivial (resp. trivial). Then there exists an étale (resp. almost étale) neighborhood  $f : X' \rightarrow X$  of the point  $\varphi(y)$  and an open subset  $Y'' \subset Y' = Y \times_X X'$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \uparrow f' & & \uparrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & X' \\ \uparrow & \nearrow \varphi'' & \\ Y'' & & \end{array}$$

such that  $y$  has a unique preimage  $y'$  in  $Y''$  and  $\varphi'' : Y'' \rightarrow X'$  is an elementary fibration of dimension one at the point  $y'$ .

**4.5.3. Exercise.** A smooth morphism is an open map.

## §5. Étale cohomology of analytic spaces

**5.1. Étale topology on an analytic space.** Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -analytic space, and let  $\text{Ét}(X)$  denote the category of étale morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$ .

**5.1.1. Definition.** The *étale topology* on  $X$  is the Grothendieck topology on the category  $\text{Ét}(X)$  generated by the pretopology for which the set of coverings of  $(U \rightarrow X) \in \text{Ét}(X)$  is formed by the families  $\{U_i \xrightarrow{f_i} U\}_{i \in I}$  such that  $U = \cup_{i \in I} f_i(U_i)$ . The corresponding site (the *étale site* of  $X$ ) is denoted by  $X_{\text{ét}}$ , the category of sheaves of sets on  $X_{\text{ét}}$  (the *étale topos* of  $X$ ) is denoted by  $X_{\text{ét}}^{\sim}$ , and the category of abelian étale sheaves is denoted by  $\mathbf{S}(X)$ . The cohomology groups of an abelian étale sheaf  $F$  are denoted by  $H^q(X, F)$ .

There is a canonical morphism of sites  $\pi : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow |X|$ . For an étale sheaf  $F$  on  $X$  and a point  $x \in X$ , one sets  $F_x = (\pi_* F)_x$  (the stalk of the restriction of  $F$  to the usual topology of  $X$  at  $x$ ). For a section of  $F$  over  $X$ ,  $f_x$  denotes the image of  $f$  in  $F_x$ .

**5.1.2. Definition.** (i) A *geometric point* of  $X$  is a morphism of the form  $\bar{x} : \mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{x})} \rightarrow X$ , where  $\mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{x})}$  is the spectrum of an algebraically closed non-Archimedean field  $\mathcal{H}(\bar{x})$  over  $k$ .

(ii) The *stalk*  $F_{\bar{x}}$  of an étale sheaf  $F$  at a geometric point  $\bar{x}$  is the pullback of  $F$  with respect to the morphism  $\bar{x}$ , i.e., the inductive limit of  $F(U)$  taken over all pairs  $(\varphi, \alpha)$  consisting of an étale morphism  $\varphi : U \rightarrow X$  and a morphism  $\alpha : \mathbf{p}_{\mathcal{H}(\bar{x})} \rightarrow U$  over  $\bar{x}$ .

Let  $G_{\bar{x}/x}$  denote the Galois group of the separable closure of  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  in  $\mathcal{H}(\bar{x})$  over  $\mathcal{H}(x)$ . For any étale sheaf  $F$  on  $X$ , there is a canonical discrete action of the group  $G_{\bar{x}/x}$  on the stalk  $F_{\bar{x}}$ .

**5.1.3. Fact.** (i) For any étale sheaf  $F$  on  $X$ , one has  $F_x = F_{\bar{x}}^{G_{\bar{x}/x}}$ .

(ii) For any abelian étale sheaf  $F$  on  $X$  and any  $n \geq 0$ , one has  $(R^n \pi_* F)_x = H^n(G_{\bar{x}/x}, F_{\bar{x}})$ .

**5.1.4. Exercise.** Show that  $\text{cd}_l(X) \leq \text{cd}_l(k) + 2 \dim(X)$ , where  $l$  is a prime integer and  $\text{cd}_l(X)$  is the  $l$ -cohomological dimension of  $X$ , i.e., the minimal  $n \geq 0$  such that  $H^i(X, F) = 0$  for all  $i > n$  and all  $l$ -torsion abelian étale sheaves  $F$ . (Use the spectral sequence of the morphism of sites  $\pi : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow |X|$  and Facts 2.1.13(iii) and 3.4.1(ii).)

**5.1.5. Definition.** (i) The *support of a section*  $f \in F(X)$  of an abelian étale sheaf  $F$  is the set  $\text{Supp}(f) = \{x \in X \mid f_x \neq 0\}$ . (Show that it is a closed subset of  $X$ .)

(ii) For a Hausdorff  $k$ -analytic space  $X$ , there is a left exact functor  $\Gamma_c : \mathbf{S}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$  defined as follows:  $\Gamma_c(F) = \{f \in F(X) \mid \text{Supp}(f) \text{ is compact}\}$ . One sets  $H_c^n(X, F) = R^n \Gamma_c(F)$  (the *cohomology groups with compact support*).

(iii) Given a Hausdorff morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ , there is a left exact functor  $\varphi_! : \mathbf{S}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(X)$  defined as follows: for an étale morphism  $U \rightarrow X$ ,  $(\varphi_! F)(U) = \{f \in F(Y \times_X U) \mid \text{the map } \text{Supp}(f) \rightarrow U \text{ is compact}\}$ .

**5.1.6. Fact** (Weak base change theorem for cohomology with compact support). Given a Hausdorff morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ , an abelian étale sheaf  $F$  on  $Y$ , and a geometric point  $\bar{x}$  on  $X$ , one has  $(R^n \varphi_! F)_{\bar{x}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^n(Y_{\bar{x}}, F_{\bar{x}})$ , where  $Y_{\bar{x}} = Y_x \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{H}(x)} \mathcal{H}(\bar{x})$  and  $F_{\bar{x}}$  is the pullback of  $F$  on  $Y_{\bar{x}}$ .

**5.1.7. Exercise.** If fibers of  $\varphi$  are of dimension at most  $d$ , then for any étale abelian torsion sheaf  $F$  on  $Y$ , one has  $R^n \varphi_! F = 0$  for all  $n > 2d$ .

Let  $\text{Qét}(X)$  denote the category of quasi-étale morphisms  $U \rightarrow X$ .

**5.1.8. Definition.** The *quasi-étale topology* on  $X$  is the Grothendieck topology on the category  $\text{Qét}(X)$  generated by the pretopology for which the set of coverings of  $(U \rightarrow X) \in \text{Qét}(X)$  is formed by the families  $\{U_i \xrightarrow{f_i} U\}_{i \in I}$  such that each point of  $U$  has a neighborhood of the form  $f_{i_1}(V_1) \cup \dots \cup f_{i_n}(V_n)$  for some affinoid domains  $V_1 \subset U_{i_1}, \dots, V_n \subset U_{i_n}$ . The corresponding site (the *quasi-étale site* of  $X$ ) is denoted by  $X_{\text{qét}}$ , and the category of sheaves of sets on  $X_{\text{qét}}$  (the *quasi-étale topos* of  $X$ ) is denoted by  $X_{\text{qét}}^{\sim}$ .



There is a commutative diagram of morphisms of sites:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_G & \longrightarrow & |X| \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi \\ X_{\text{qét}} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X_{\text{ét}} \end{array}$$

**5.1.9. Fact.** (i) For any étale sheaf  $F$  on  $X$ , one has  $F \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_* \mu^* F$  and, in particular, the functor  $\mu^* : X_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow X_{\text{qét}}$  is fully faithful.

(ii) For any abelian étale sheaf on  $X$ , one has  $H^n(X, F) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^n(X_{\text{qét}}, \mu^* F)$ .

(iii) If  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is a compact morphism, then for any abelian étale sheaf  $F$  on  $Y$ , one has  $\mu^*(R^n \varphi_* F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^n \varphi_*(\mu^* F)$ .

## 5.2. Basic facts on étale cohomology.

**5.2.1. Fact** (Comparison theorem for cohomology with compact support). Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  be a compactifiable morphism between schemes of locally finite type over  $k$ . Then for any abelian torsion sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$ , one has

$$(R^n \varphi_! \mathcal{F})^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^n \varphi_!^{\text{an}} \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}} .$$

In particular, if  $\mathcal{Y}$  is compactifiable, then  $H_c^n(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^n(\mathcal{Y}^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}})$ .

**5.2.2. Fact** (Base change theorem for cohomology with compact support). Given a morphism of  $k$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ , a non-Archimedean field  $k'$  over  $k$ , and a morphism of  $k'$ -analytic spaces  $\varphi' : Y' \rightarrow X'$  such that the following diagram is cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \uparrow f' & & \uparrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & X' \end{array}$$

(i.e.,  $Y' \xrightarrow{\sim} (Y \widehat{\otimes} k') \times_{X \widehat{\otimes} k'} X'$ ), for any abelian torsion sheaf  $F$  on  $Y$  with torsion orders prime to  $\text{char}(\widetilde{k})$ , one has

$$f^*(R^n \varphi_! F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^n \varphi'_!(f'^* F) .$$

**5.2.3. Fact** (Poincaré Duality). (i) One can assign to every smooth morphism  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  of pure dimension  $d$  a trace mapping

$$\text{Tr}_\varphi : R^{2d} \varphi_!(\mu_{n,Y}^d) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})_X, \quad (n, \text{char}(k)) = 1 ,$$

These mappings possess certain natural properties and are uniquely determined by them.

(ii) Suppose that  $n$  is prime to  $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$ . Then  $\text{Tr}_\varphi$  induces for every  $G \in D^-(Y, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$  and  $F \in D^+(X, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$  an isomorphism

$$R\varphi_*(\underline{\mathcal{H}om}(G, \varphi^* F(d)[2d])) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{H}om}(R\varphi_! G, F) .$$

**5.2.4. Exercise.** (i) Let  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a separated smooth morphism of pure dimension  $d$ . Then for any  $F \in \mathbf{S}(X, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$ ,  $(n, \text{char}(\tilde{k})) = 1$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$R\varphi_*(\varphi^* F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{H}om}(R\varphi_!(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})_Y, F(-d)[-2d]) .$$

(ii) Let  $\varphi$  be the canonical projection  $X \times D \rightarrow X$ , where  $D$  is an open disc in  $\mathbf{A}^1$ . Then for any torsion sheaf  $F$  on  $X$  with torsion orders prime to  $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$ , one has

$$F \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi_* \varphi^* F \text{ and } R^q \varphi_*(\varphi^* F) = 0 \text{ for } q \geq 1 .$$

(iii) Suppose that  $k$  is algebraically closed, and let  $X$  be a separated smooth  $k$ -analytic space of pure dimension  $d$ . Then for any  $F \in \mathbf{S}(X, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$  and  $q \geq 0$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}^q(F, \mu_{n,Y}^d) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{2d-q}(X, F)^\vee .$$

In particular, if  $F$  is finite locally constant, then one has

$$H^q(X, F^\vee(d)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{2d-q}(X, F)^\vee .$$

**5.2.5. Fact** (Smooth base change theorem). Given a cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\ \uparrow f' & & \uparrow f \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{\varphi'} & X' \end{array}$$

with smooth morphism  $f$ , for any  $(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})_Y$ -module  $F$  with  $n$  prime to  $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$ , one has

$$f^*(R^q \varphi_* F) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q \varphi'_*(f'^* F) .$$

**5.2.6. Fact** (Comparison theorem). Given a morphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  of finite type between schemes of locally finite type over  $k$  and a constructible sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{Y}$  with torsion orders prime to  $\text{char}(k)$ , one has

$$(R^q \varphi_* \mathcal{F})^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q \varphi_*^{\text{an}} \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}} .$$

**5.2.7. Exercise.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a scheme of finite type over  $k$ .

(i) For any constructible sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  with torsion orders prime to  $\text{char}(k)$ , one has

$$H^q(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^q(\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{F}^{\text{an}}).$$

(ii) If  $(n, \text{char}(k)) = 1$ , then for any  $\mathcal{F} \in D_c^-(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in D_c^+(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$ , one has

$$(\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}))^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{F}^{\text{an}}, \mathcal{G}^{\text{an}}).$$

(iii) The functor  $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow D_c^b(\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}, \mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})$  is fully faithful.

**5.2.8. Fact** (Finiteness theorem). Suppose that  $k$  is algebraically closed, and let  $X$  be a compact  $k$ -analytic space with the property that every point of  $X$  has a neighborhood of the form  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n$ , where each  $V_i$  is isomorphic to an affinoid domain in some  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$ . Furthermore, let  $F$  be a torsion sheaf with torsion orders prime to  $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$  and such that either  $F$  is finite locally constant, or  $X$  is isomorphic to an analytic domain in some  $\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}$  and  $F$  is a pullback of a constructible sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Then the groups  $H^q(X, F)$  are finite.

### 5.3. The action of automorphisms on étale cohomology groups.

**5.3.1. Definition.** A (non-Archimedean) *analytic space* is a pair  $(k, X)$  consisting of a non-Archimedean field  $k$  and a  $k$ -analytic space  $X$ . A *morphism of analytic spaces*  $(k', X') \rightarrow (k, X)$  is a pair consisting of an isometric embedding  $k \hookrightarrow k'$  and a morphism of  $k'$ -analytic spaces  $X' \rightarrow X \hat{\otimes} k'$ .

Let  $X$  be an analytic space. (For brevity, one writes  $X$  instead of  $(k, X)$ .) One denotes by  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  the automorphism group of  $X$  (automorphisms in the category of analytic spaces).

**5.3.2. Definition.** Let  $\varepsilon$  be the following data:

- (1)  $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$  a finite family of compact analytic domains in  $X$ ;
- (2) for every  $i \in I$ ,  $\{f_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i}$  a finite family of analytic functions on  $U_i$ , and  $\{t_{ij}\}_{j \in J_i}$  a finite family of positive numbers.

One sets  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(X) = \{\sigma \in \mathcal{G}(X) \mid \sigma(U_i) = U_i, \sup_{x \in U_i} |(\sigma^* f_{ij} - f_{ij})(x)| \leq t_{ij} \text{ for all } i \in I \text{ and } j \in J_i\}$ . It is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}(X)$ .

The family of subgroups  $\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon(X)$  defines a topology on  $\mathcal{G}(X)$ .

**5.3.3. Definition.** An action of a topological group  $G$  on an analytic space  $X$  is said to be *continuous* if the homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(X)$  is continuous.

**5.3.4. Examples.** (i) If  $X$  is  $k$ -analytic, then the action of the Galois group of  $k$  on  $\bar{X} = X \hat{\otimes} \widehat{k^a}$  is continuous.

(ii) If a  $k$ -analytic group  $G$  acts on  $k$ -analytic space  $X$ , then the action of the group of  $k$ -points  $G(k)$  on  $X$  and  $\overline{X}$  is continuous.

(iii) If a topological group acts continuously on a formal scheme  $\mathfrak{X}$  locally finitely presented over  $k^\circ$ , then it acts continuously on the generic fiber  $\mathfrak{X}_\eta$ .

(iv) The same fact (as in (iii)) is true for special formal schemes over  $k^\circ$  (when the valuation on  $k$  is discrete).

**5.3.5. Fact.** Let  $U \rightarrow X$  be a quasi-étale morphism with compact  $U$ . Then there exist open subgroups  $\mathcal{G}_U \subset \mathcal{G}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{G}(U)$  and a unique continuous homomorphism  $\mathcal{G}_U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  compatible with the morphism  $U \rightarrow X$ .

Let a topological group  $G$  act continuously on an analytic space  $X$ . It follows from Fact 5.3.5, that for any quasi-étale morphism  $U \rightarrow X$  with compact  $U$  there exists an open subgroup  $G_U \subset G$  and a canonical extension of the action of  $G_U$  on  $X$  to a continuous action of  $G_U$  on  $U$ .

**5.3.6. Definition.** (i) An action of  $G$  on an étale sheaf  $F$  on  $X$  compatible with the action of  $G$  on  $X$  is a system of isomorphisms  $\tau(g) : F \xrightarrow{\sim} g^*F$ ,  $g \in G$ , with  $\tau(gh) = h^*(\tau(g)) \circ \tau(h)$ .

(ii) An action of  $G$  on  $F$  is said to be *discrete* (or  $F$  is a *discrete  $G$ -sheaf*) if, for any quasi-étale morphism  $U \rightarrow X$  with compact  $U$ , the action of  $G_U$  on  $F(U)$  is discrete.

(iii) For a ring  $\Lambda$ , the category of discrete  $\Lambda$ - $G$ -module is denoted by  $\mathbf{S}(X(G), \Lambda)$ .

Let  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -equivariant Hausdorff morphism. By Fact 5.3.5, the functor  $\varphi_! = \Gamma_c : \mathbf{S}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(X)$  induces a left exact functor  $\tilde{\varphi}_! : \mathbf{S}(Y(G), \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}(X(G), \Lambda)$ .

**5.3.7. Fact.** For any  $F \in \mathbf{S}(Y(G), \Lambda)$ , one has  $R^q \tilde{\varphi}_! F \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q \varphi_! F$ .

**5.3.8. Exercise.** (i) The canonical action of  $G$  on  $R^q \varphi_! F$  is discrete.

(ii) if  $X$  is Hausdorff, then the canonical action of  $G$  on the groups  $H_c^q(\overline{X}, \Lambda)$  is discrete.

**5.3.9. Fact.** (i) (Verdier Duality). There exists an exact functor  $R\varphi^! : D^+(X(G), \Lambda) \rightarrow D^+(X(G), \Lambda)$  such that, for every pair  $E \in D^-(Y(G), \Lambda)$  and  $F \in D^+(X(G), \Lambda)$ , there is a functorial isomorphism

$$R\varphi_*(\underline{\mathcal{H}om}(E, R\varphi^! F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathcal{H}om}(R\varphi_! E, F) .$$

(ii) (Poincaré Duality). Assume that  $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$  is smooth of pure dimension  $d$  and  $n\Lambda = 0$  for some  $n$  prime to  $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$ . Then for every  $F \in D^+(X(G), \Lambda)$  there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\varphi^* F(d)[2d] \xrightarrow{\sim} R\varphi^! F .$$

**5.4. Vanishing cycles for formal schemes.** Let  $k$  be a non-Archimedean field (resp. with discrete valuation), and let  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{F}sch$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}sch$ ). We fix a functor  $\mathfrak{Y}_s \mapsto \mathfrak{Y}$  from the category of schemes étale over  $\mathfrak{X}_s$  to the category of category of formal schemes étale over  $\mathfrak{X}$  which is inverse to the functor from Fact 4.2.8(i) (resp. 4.3.9(i)). By Fact 4.2.8 (resp. 4.3.9) (ii) and (iii), the composition of the functor  $\mathfrak{Y}_s \mapsto \mathfrak{Y}$  with the functor  $\mathfrak{Y} \mapsto \mathfrak{Y}_\eta$  gives rise to a morphism of sites  $\nu : \mathfrak{X}_{\eta_{q\acute{e}t}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{s\acute{e}t}$ . We get a left exact functor

$$\Theta = \nu_* \mu^* : \mathfrak{X}_{\eta\acute{e}t} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\eta_{q\acute{e}t}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{s\acute{e}t} .$$

The similar functor for a bigger field (resp. finite extension)  $K$  is denoted by  $\Theta_K$ . We set  $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{s}} = \mathfrak{X}_s \otimes \widehat{k^s}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}} = \mathfrak{X}_\eta \widehat{\otimes} \widehat{k^a}$ .

**5.4.1. Definition.** The *vanishing cycles functor* is the functor  $\Psi_\eta : \mathfrak{X}_{\eta\acute{e}t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{s}\acute{e}t}$  which is defined as follows.

(i) If  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{F}sch$ , then  $\Psi_\eta(F) = \Theta_{\widehat{k^a}}(\overline{F})$ , where  $\overline{F}$  is the pullback of  $F$  on  $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\eta}}$ .

(ii) If  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{S}\mathcal{F}sch$ , then  $\Psi_\eta(F) = \varinjlim \overline{\Theta_K(F_K)}$ , where the limit is taken over all finite extensions  $K$  of  $k$  in  $k^s$  and  $\overline{\Theta_K(F_K)}$  is the lift of  $\Theta_K(F_K)$  to  $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{s}}$ .

If  $\mathfrak{X} \in k^\circ\text{-}\mathcal{F}sch$ , the definitions (i) and (ii) are compatible.

Let now  $\mathcal{X}$  be a scheme finitely presented over a local Henselian ring, which is the ring of integers of a field with valuation whose completion is  $k$ , and let  $\Psi_\eta$  be the vanishing cycles functor for the scheme  $\mathcal{X}$ . For an étale sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ , let  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$  denote its pullback on the  $k$ -analytic space  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_\eta$ .

**5.4.2. Fact** (Comparison theorem for an arbitrary  $k$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an abelian torsion sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ . Then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$R^q \Psi_\eta(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q \Psi_\eta(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) .$$

Till the end of this subsection the valuation on  $k$  is assumed to be discrete.

Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a subscheme of  $\mathcal{X}_s$ , and let  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  be the formal completion of  $\mathcal{X}$  along  $\mathcal{Y}$ . It is special formal scheme over  $k^\circ$ . For an étale sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  on  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$ , let  $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  denote the pullback of  $\mathcal{F}$  on the  $k$ -analytic space  $(\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}})_\eta$ .

**5.4.3. Fact** (Comparison theorem for a discretely valued  $k$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an étale abelian constructible sheaf on  $\mathcal{X}_\eta$  with torsion orders prime to  $\text{char}(\widehat{k})$ . Then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$(R^q \Psi_\eta \mathcal{F})|_{\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} R^q \Psi_\eta(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}) .$$

The sheaves on the left hand side are the restrictions of the vanishing cycles sheaves of  $\mathfrak{X}$  to the subscheme  $\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$  of  $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{s}}$ .

Fact 5.4.3 implies that, given a second scheme  $\mathcal{X}'$  of finite type over the same local Henselian ring, a subscheme  $\mathcal{Y}' \subset \mathcal{X}'_s$ , and an integer  $n$  prime to  $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$ , any morphism of formal schemes  $\varphi : \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_{/\mathcal{Y}'} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  induces a homomorphism  $\theta_n(\varphi)$  from the pullback of  $(R^q\Psi_\eta(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})_{x_n})|_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}$  to  $(R^q\Psi_\eta(\mathbf{Z}/n\mathbf{Z})_{x'_n})|_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}'}}$ . In particular, given a prime  $l$  different from  $\text{char}(\tilde{k})$ , the automorphism group of  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  acts on the  $l$ -adic sheaves  $(R^q\Psi_\eta(\mathbf{Q}_l)_{x_n})|_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}$ .

**5.4.4. Fact** (Continuity theorem). (i) Given  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$ ,  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_{/\mathcal{Y}'}$ , and  $n$  as above, there exists an ideal of definition  $\mathcal{J}'$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_{/\mathcal{Y}'}$ , such that for any pair of morphisms  $\varphi, \psi : \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_{/\mathcal{Y}'} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$ , which coincide modulo  $\mathcal{J}'$ , one has  $\theta_n(\varphi) = \theta_n(\psi)$ .

(ii) Given  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  and  $l$  as above, there exists an ideal of definition  $\mathcal{J}$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$  such that any automorphism of  $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}_{/\mathcal{Y}}$ , trivial modulo  $\mathcal{J}$ , acts trivially on the sheaves  $(R^q\Psi_\eta(\mathbf{Q}_l)_{x_n})|_{\overline{\mathcal{Y}}}$ .