

10. R. V. Gamkrelidze, Principles of optimal control theory. *Plenum Press, New York*, 1978.

11. A. C. Heinricher and V. J. Mizel, The Lavrentiev phenomenon for invariant variational problems. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **102** (1988), 57–93.

12. P. D. Loewen, On the Lavrentiev phenomenon. *Can. Math. Bull.* **30** (1987), 102–108.

13. A. V. Sarychev, Integral functionals of the calculus of variations exhibiting Lavrentiev phenomenon. *Preprint CM/I-23, Dep. de Matemática, Univ. de Aveiro, July 1996.*

14. M. A. Sychev, On the question of regularity of the solutions of variational problems. *Russ. Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.* **75** (1993), 535–556.

15. G. Treu and S. Zagatti, On the Lavrentiev phenomenon and the validity of Euler–Lagrange equations for a class of integral functionals. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **184** (1994), 56–74.

(Received 10.05.1997)

Author's address:
Department of Mathematics,
University of Aveiro, 3810,
Aveiro, Portugal
E-mail: ansar@mat.ua.pt

ON ISOMONODROMIC DEFORMATIONS OF FUCHSIAN SYSTEMS

A. A. BOLIBRUCH

Dedicated to Professor R. V. Gamkrelidze

ABSTRACT. Isomonodromic deformations of Fuchsian systems are considered. A description of all possible forms of such isomonodromic deformations is presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

An isomonodromic deformation of a Fuchsian system

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i^0}{x - a_i^0} \right) y$$

is an analytic family

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} \right) y$$

of Fuchsian equations with the same monodromy as the initial one for each fixed a and such that

$$B_i(a)|_{a^0} = B_i^0.$$

Each isomonodromic deformation is completely determined by a Pfaffian system

$$dy = \omega y$$

on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0)$ (where $D(a^0)$ is a small disk with the center at a^0 on the space \mathbb{C}^n of parameters) such that (Theorem 2)

$$(i) \quad \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} dx \text{ for each fixed } a \in D(a^0);$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A20.

Key words and phrases. Fuchsian system, monodromy, isomonodromic deformation, integrability, resonant.

This work was partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research, Grant No. 96-01-00207.

(ii) $d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega$ (the condition of integrability of the Pfaffian system).

In Sec. 3 we describe the general form of such a form ω . It turns out that (Theorem 3) each matrix differential 1-form ω on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{x - a_i = 0\}$ presenting an isomonodromic deformation of the original system has the form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} d(x - a_i) + \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{m_l} \frac{\gamma_{t,k,l}(a)}{(x - a_l)^t} da_k + \sum_{r=1}^n \gamma_r(a) da_r,$$

where $\gamma_{t,k,l}(a), \gamma_r(a)$ are holomorphic in $D(a^0)$, and

$$m_i \leq \max([\operatorname{Re}(\beta_i^s)] - [\operatorname{Re}(\beta_i^g)]),$$

where the maximum is taken over all differences between integer parts of real parts of all eigenvalues β_i^j of B_i^0 .

The most well-known type of such deformations is the Schlesinger deformation. It is defined by

$$\omega_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} d(x - a_i).$$

And condition (ii) for this form has the form

$$dB_i(a) = - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{[B_i(a), B_j(a)]}{a_i - a_j} d(a_i - a_j).$$

This relation is called the Schlesinger equation. It was investigated by Schlesinger [16], Jimbo and Miwa [11], B. Malgrange [13], Its and Novokshenov [10], Sibuya [17], and other mathematicians from different points of view.

But Schlesinger deformations do not cover all kinds of isomonodromic deformations. The corresponding examples are also presented in Sec. 3.

2. PRELIMINARY INFORMATION

A Fuchsian system with singular points a_1, \dots, a_n on $P^1(\mathbb{C})$ has the following form:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{x - a_i} \right) y. \quad (1)$$

Let ∞ be nonsingular for (1). This condition is equivalent to the following one:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n B_i = 0. \quad (2)$$

Denote by p the size of the matrices B_i . System (1) determines the monodromy representation

$$\chi : \pi_1(P^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, x_0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(p, \mathbb{C}) \quad (3)$$

as follows.

Let g_i be a loop with the beginning at x_0 going around the singular point a_i along a small circle (without going around other singular points). Denote the homotopic class of g_i again by g_i . The elements g_1, \dots, g_n generate $\pi_1(P^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, x_0)$ and satisfy only one relation $g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_n = e$.

Under an analytic continuation along g_i a fundamental matrix (a base of solutions) $Y(x)$ of system (1) goes to a fundamental matrix $\tilde{Y}(x)$ of the same system. Thus, $Y(x) = \tilde{Y}(x)G_i$ with some constant nondegenerate matrix G_i . The correspondence $g_i \mapsto G_i$ generates the monodromy representation (3) of system (1).

Denote by E_i the matrix $1/(2\pi i) \ln G_i$ with eigenvalues ρ_i^j satisfying the condition

$$0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \rho_i^j < 1. \quad (4)$$

In what follows we need the following statement about the structure of the space of solutions to a Fuchsian system (1) (see [5], [12], or [2], [1] for details).

Theorem 1. For a fundamental matrix $Y(x)$ of system (1) and for each a_i there exists a constant nondegenerate matrix S_i such that the following factorization holds in a neighborhood of a_i :

$$Y_i(x) = Y(x)S_i = U_i(x)(x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i} (x - a_i)^{E_i}, \quad (5)$$

where:

- (i) $U_i(x)$ is holomorphically invertible at a_i ,
- (ii) $E_i' = S_i^{-1} E_i S_i$ has a block diagonal form $E_i' = \operatorname{diag}(E_i^1, \dots, E_i^k)$ with upper-triangular matrices E_i^j , where, in turn, each E_i^j has a unique eigenvalue σ_i^j from the set $\{\rho_i^s\}$ defined by (4) and $\sigma_i^j \neq \sigma_i^s$ if $j \neq s$,
- (iii) Λ_i has the similar block diagonal structure $\Lambda_i = \operatorname{diag}(\Lambda_i^1, \dots, \Lambda_i^k)$ with diagonal integer valued matrices Λ_i^j whose entries ${}^s \lambda_i^j$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$${}^s \lambda_i^j \geq {}^{s+1} \lambda_i^j. \quad (6)$$

Denote by X a space of solutions to our system at a_i . Then $X = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_k$, where X_s is a root subspace of dimension d_s for the monodromy operator g_i^s corresponding to the eigenvalue $\exp(2\pi i \sigma_i^s)$. (The latter decomposition of X implies the block diagonal forms of E_i and Λ_i mentioned

in the theorem.) Decomposition (5) defines a weighted filtration of every subspace X_s as follows. Let

$${}^1\lambda_i^s = \dots = {}^{s_1}\lambda_i^s = \psi_1, \dots, {}^{s_1+\dots+s_{t-1}+1}\lambda_i^j = \dots = {}^{s_t}\lambda_i^j = \psi_t$$

with $\psi_1 > \dots > \psi_t$. Denote by X_s^l the space spanned by the first columns with numbers $d_1 + \dots + d_{s-1} + 1, \dots, d_1 + \dots + d_{s-1} + s_1 + \dots + s_t$ of the matrix $Y_i(x)$ from (5). The spaces X_s^l form the filtration

$$0 \subset X_s^1 \subset X_s^2 \subset \dots \subset X_s^t = X_s \tag{7}$$

with the weights ψ_1, \dots, ψ_t of the space X_s , and this filtration is stable under the action of the monodromy operator g_i^* (as immediately follows from (5)). We call such filtrations *Levelt's filtrations*. The matrices S_i are called *connection matrices*, because they connect $Y(x)$ with Levelt's bases Y_i at each a_i .

Remark 1. Theorem 1 means that *locally each Fuchsian system is completely determined (up to a change of the dependent variable holomorphically invertible at a_i) by its monodromy, and Levelt's filtration at the point a_i .*

Let us return to Theorem 1. Denote the numbers ${}^t\lambda_i^j$ (in order of their appearance on the diagonal of Λ_i) by $\lambda_i^1, \dots, \lambda_i^p$ respectively. The numbers $\lambda_i^j + \rho_i^j = \beta_i^j$ are called *exponents of system (1)*. As immediately follows from decomposition (5), they give asymptotics of the solutions to the Fuchsian system at a_i (see [4]). Indeed, it follows from (5) that

$$y_j = (x - a_i)^{\beta_i^j} u_j + \dots \tag{8}$$

for the j th columns y_j and u_j of the matrices Y_i and U_i respectively. Since u_j is holomorphic at a_i and does not vanish there, we obtain from (5)

$$y_j \sim (x - a_i)^{\beta_i^j} r(x),$$

where for x in some neighborhood of a_i one has

$$c_1 \leq |r| < c_2 |\ln(x - a_i)|^{p-1}, \quad c_1 > 0, \quad c_2 > 0.$$

It follows from (1), (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} B_i &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a_i} ((x - a_i)A(x)) = \lim_{x \rightarrow a_i} \left((x - a_i) \frac{dY_i}{dx} Y_i^{-1} \right) = \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow a_i} \left[(x - a_i) \frac{dU_i}{dx} U_i^{-1} + U_i (\Lambda_i + (x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i} E_i (x - a_i)^{-\Lambda_i}) U_i^{-1} \right] = \\ &= U_i(a_i) (\Lambda_i + E_i^0) U_i^{-1}(a_i), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $A dx = \omega$, $E_i^0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow a_i} L_i$, $L_i = (x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i} E_i (x - a_i)^{-\Lambda_i}$ is holomorphic at a_i , because of (ii) and of the fact that E_i is upper-triangular.

Equality (9) implies that β_i^j are the eigenvalues of the matrices B_i .

The important characteristic of the behavior of solutions to (1) at a_i are the numbers $\lambda_i^j = [\text{Re} \beta_i^j]$, which are called *valuations of system (1) at a_i* .

3. GENERAL FORM OF ISOMONODROMIC DEFORMATIONS

Consider a small disk $D(a^0)$ with the center $a^0 = (a_1^0, \dots, a_n^0)$ on the space $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \cup_{i \neq j} \{(a_i - a_j) = 0\}$. Consider the space $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$. As well as in Theorem 1 consider the loops g_1^0, \dots, g_n^0 on the space $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{a_1^0, \dots, a_n^0\}$ with the beginning at the point x_0 . Let g_1^a, \dots, g_n^a be loops on the space $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ with the beginning at the same point x_0 (where a denotes the point (a_1, \dots, a_n)) and such that on the space $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$ each loop $t_a \cdot g_i^a \cdot t_a^{-1}$ is homotopic to g_i (here t_a is the straight line path from the point $(x_0; a_1^0, \dots, a_n^0)$ to $(x_0; a_1, \dots, a_n)$).

Consider a family of Fuchsian systems

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} \right) y, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(a) = 0 \tag{10}$$

depending holomorphically on the parameter $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D(a^0)$. Family (10) is called *isomonodromic* (or an *isomonodromic deformation* of the initial Fuchsian system corresponding to $a = a^0$) if for each fixed a the corresponding system from (10) has the same monodromy as for $a = a^0$ (with respect to the homotopic classes of the loops g_i^a and g_i^0 respectively). This means that for each value a there exists a fundamental matrix $Y(x, a)$ of the corresponding system from (10) such that this $Y(x, a)$ has the same monodromy matrices (with respect to the corresponding g_i^a) for all $a \in D(a^0)$. We call such a family of matrices an *isomonodromic family of matrices*, or simply, an *isomonodromic matrix*.

Proposition 1. *For any isomonodromic family (10) there exists an isomonodromic family of matrices analytic simultaneously in x and a on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$.*

Proof. It follows from the theorem of analytic dependence on parameters for a system of ODE that there exists a family $\tilde{Y}(x, a)$ of fundamental matrices to system (10) analytic in a for each fixed x . Since it is also an analytic function of x , for each fixed a , we have that $\tilde{Y}(x, a)$ is an analytic function of its both arguments. Unfortunately, this matrix function is not necessarily isomonodromic. Its monodromy matrices $G_i(a)$ (with respect to the corresponding g_i^a) may depend on a . Since for every fixed a one has

$$\tilde{Y}(x, a) = Y(x, a)C(a) \tag{11}$$

for some matrix function $C(a)$ with values in $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$, the following identity holds:

$$C^{-1}(a)G_iC(a) = G_i(a)$$

for the monodromy matrices G_i of an isomonodromic fundamental matrix $Y(x, a)$. Note here that because of analyticity of $\tilde{Y}(x, a)$ the matrices $G_i(a)$ are holomorphic in a .

Our goal is to show that the matrix function $C(a)$ can be chosen as an analytic function of a . Then the corresponding isomonodromic fundamental matrix $Y(x, a)$ from (11) will be analytic in a and we are done.

Consider a map

$$\gamma : GL(p, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow GL(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times GL(p, \mathbb{C}),$$

defined as follows:

$$\gamma(X) = (X^{-1}G_1X), \dots, (X^{-1}G_nX).$$

Clearly this is the restriction of an analytic (even algebraic) action of the Lie group $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$ on $GL(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times GL(p, \mathbb{C})$. Thus:

- (i) as an analytic map, at each point γ has the same rank (more precisely, its differential at each point has the same rank); see [15];
- (ii) the image $\text{Im } \gamma$ is an analytic submanifold of $GL(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times GL(p, \mathbb{C})$ (not necessarily a close submanifold); see again [15];
- (iii) a centralizer H of the set G_1, \dots, G_n is an analytic Lie subgroup of $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$ (even algebraic closed subgroup, see the same theorem in the cited book).

Thus, the triple $(GL(p, \mathbb{C}), \text{Im } \gamma, \gamma)$ is a locally trivial holomorphic bundle with the fiber H (see [7]).

So, there is a covering $\{V_i\}$ of $\text{Im } \gamma$ such that for each V_i there exists a local holomorphic section of the bundle:

$$\psi'_i : V_i \rightarrow GL(p, \mathbb{C}).$$

We have an analytic map

$$\chi : D \rightarrow \text{Im } \gamma,$$

which is defined by the monodromy of the analytic matrix $\tilde{Y}(x, a)$. Consider a covering $\{D_i\}$ of D such that $\chi(D_i) \subset V_i$. Now for each i we have the map

$$\psi_i : D_i \rightarrow GL(p, \mathbb{C})$$

such that $\psi_i = \psi'_i \circ \chi$, and, by construction,

$$(\psi_i(a))^{-1}G_i\psi_i(a) = G_i(a)$$

for all j, i .

Therefore for all D_i, D_j with nonempty intersections we get

$$g_{ij} = \psi_i(a)(\psi_j(a))^{-1} \in H.$$

Thus we have the cocycle $\{g_{ij}\}$, which determines a principal analytic bundle with the structure group H over D . Since each such bundle is topologically trivial (see [8], Corollary 10.3), now by Theorem I of [6] we get that this bundle is analytically trivial. So, for every D_i there exists an analytic map

$$s_i(a) : D_i \rightarrow H$$

such that in $D_i \cap D_j$ one has

$$s_i(a) = g_{ij}s_j = \psi_i(a)\psi_j^{-1}(a)s_j(a).$$

Denote by $C^{-1}(a) = \psi_i^{-1}(a)s_i(a)$ the analytic map from the whole D into $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$. Then, by construction,

$$\tilde{Y}(x, a)C^{-1}(a)$$

has the same monodromy $G_i(a_0)$ for all a . Thus, it can be chosen as an analytic isomonodromic fundamental matrix. The proposition is proved. \square

The matrix function $Y(x, a)$ has some monodromy which due to the analyticity of $Y(x, a)$ depends only on the homotopic classes of the paths on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$ with the beginnings at (x_0, a^0) (but this monodromy, in principle, may depend on the location of this initial point). Because of the isomonodromy condition, the monodromy matrices as the functions of the initial point (x_0, a^0) for fixed x_0 are locally constant with respect to a^0 . Due to the definition of the monodromy of a linear system of ODE, these matrices are locally constant with respect to x_0 for each fixed a^0 .

So $Y(x, a)$ defines a monodromy representation

$$\pi_1(P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}, (x_0, a^0)) \longrightarrow GL(p; \mathbb{C}). \quad (12)$$

(Note here that the space $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$ can be retracted to $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{a_1^0, \dots, a_n^0\}$, so its fundamental group is generated by the same elements g_1^0, \dots, g_n^0 .)

And the matrix differential 1-form $\omega = dY(x, a)Y^{-1}(x, a)$ is single valued and can be considered as a form on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$. Indeed, for all $g \in \pi_1(P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}, (x_0, a^0))$ we have

$$g^*\omega = dg^*Y(x, a)g^*Y^{-1}(x, a) = (dY(x, a))G_gG_g^{-1}Y^{-1}(x, a) = \omega.$$

¹Another version of the proof of the proposition was suggested by D. V. Anosov and it will appear in the Preprint Series of the University of Ulm.

By construction, the Pfaffian system

$$dy = \omega y \quad (13)$$

on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$ is completely integrable (this means that $d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega$) and for each fixed a it coincides with the corresponding Fuchsian system from (10). As the result of the above considerations, we get the following statement.

Theorem 2. *Family (10) of Fuchsian systems is isomonodromic if and only if there exists a matrix differential 1-form ω on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{(x - a_i) = 0\}$ such that*

- (i) $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} dx$ for each fixed $a \in D(a^0)$;
- (ii) $d\omega = \omega \wedge \omega$.

From the theorem it follows that an isomonodromic family (10) is completely determined by the corresponding form ω with properties (i), (ii). Our goal now is to describe the general form of such ω . For this purpose consider at first some special case.

For the initial Fuchsian system

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i^0}{x - a_i^0} \right) y, \quad (14)$$

$$B_i^0 = B_i(a^0)$$

consider its isomonodromic deformation, which is described by

$$\omega_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} d(x - a_i). \quad (15)$$

First of all it is necessary to prove that such a special isomonodromic deformation of the original system does exist. To prove this is the same as to prove that the following problem has a solution:

$$d\omega_s = \omega_s \wedge \omega_s,$$

$$B_i^0 = B_i(a^0), i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n B_i(a) = 0. \quad (16)$$

But a straightforward calculation shows that condition (ii) for the form ω_s is equivalent to the following relation:

$$dB_i(a) = - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{[B_i(a), B_j(a)]}{a_i - a_j} d(a_i - a_j), \quad (17)$$

which is called the *Schlesinger equation*.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_s &= d \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} d(x - a_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n dB_i \wedge \frac{d(x - a_i)}{x - a_i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial B_i(a)}{\partial a_j} d(a_j - x + x) \right) \wedge \frac{d(x - a_i)}{x - a_i} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial B_i(a)}{\partial a_j} \right) dx \wedge \frac{d(x - a_i)}{x - a_i} - \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial B_i(a)}{\partial a_j} \frac{d(x - a_j) \wedge d(x - a_i)}{x - a_i}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_s \wedge \omega_s &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} d(x - a_i) \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{B_j(a)}{x - a_j} d(x - a_j) \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n B_i B_j \frac{d(x - a_i) \wedge d(x - a_j)}{(x - a_i)(x - a_j)} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{B_i B_j}{a_i - a_j} \left(\frac{1}{x - a_i} - \frac{1}{x - a_j} \right) d(x - a_i) \wedge d(x - a_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since the differentials $dx, d(x - a_1), \dots, d(x - a_n)$ are linearly independent, one gets that the equation $d\omega_s = \omega_s \wedge \omega_s$ is equivalent to the system

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial B_i(a)}{\partial a_j} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n; \quad (18)$$

$$\frac{\partial B_i(a)}{\partial a_j} = \frac{[B_i, B_j]}{a_i - a_j}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \quad i \neq j. \quad (19)$$

Multiplying the parts of (19) by da_j and adding them for all $j \neq i$, we get

$$dB_i - \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial a_i} da_i = - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{[B_i, B_j]}{a_i - a_j} d(-a_j).$$

Multiplying both parts of (19) by $-da_i$ and adding them for all $j \neq i$, we have

$$- \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{\partial B_i(a)}{\partial a_j} da_i = - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{[B_i, B_j]}{a_i - a_j} da_i.$$

If we add the latter two equations and take into account (18), then we get (17).

On the other hand, it is easy to see that Eqs. (18), (19), in turn, follow from (17). Thus, condition (ii) is really equivalent to Eq. (17).

It is well known that Eq. (17) is completely integrable (see, e.g., [13]) (the corresponding verification consists in checking the Frobenius condition). Thus, this special kind of isomonodromic deformations of a Fuchsian system really exists. Let us call the isomonodromic deformations defined by forms (15) the *Schlesinger deformations* (SD).

Denoting by $Y^s(x, a)$ the fundamental matrix of Pfaffian system (13) with the form $\omega = \omega_s$, we conclude that

$$Y^s(\infty, a) \equiv \text{const.} \tag{20}$$

Indeed,

$$d_a Y^s(\infty, a)(Y^s(\infty, a))^{-1} = \omega_s(\infty, a) \equiv 0.$$

Thus, relation (20) holds.

Below there follows a simple but a very useful example of a Schlesinger isomonodromic deformation.

Example 1. The Fuchsian family

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i}{x - aa_i^0} \right) y$$

with constant coefficient matrices satisfying the equality $\sum_{i=1}^n B_i = 0$ is the Schlesinger isomonodromic deformation (with respect to the parameter a of the original system for $a \in D(0)$, where $D(0)$ is a small disk with the center at 0 on \mathbb{C}).

Indeed, for our case the Schlesinger equation (17) has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= dB_i = - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \frac{[B_i, B_j]}{aa_i^0 - aa_j^0} d(aa_i^0 - aa_j^0) = \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^n [B_i, B_j] \frac{da}{a} = \left[B_i, \sum_{j=1}^n B_j \right] \frac{da}{a} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because of the identity $\sum_{i=1}^n B_i = 0$.

The following theorem presents a general form of ω from Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Each matrix differential 1-form ω on $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n \{x - a_i = 0\}$ responsible for an isomonodromic deformation (10) (see Theorem 2) of the original system (14) has the form

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} d(x - a_i) + \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{m_l} \frac{\gamma_{t,k,l}(a)}{(x - a_l)^t} da_k + \sum_{r=1}^n \gamma_r(a) da_r, \tag{21}$$

where $\gamma_{t,k,l}(a), \gamma_r(a)$ are holomorphic on $D(a^0)$,

$$m_i \leq \max([\text{Re}(\beta_i^s)] - [\text{Re}(\beta_i^q)]), \tag{22}$$

and the maximum is taken over all differences between integer parts of real parts of all eigenvalues of B_i^0 (in other words, the maximum is taken over the differences of the valuations of the original system at a_i).

Proof. For Pfaffian system (13) with a given ω consider an isomonodromic fundamental matrix $Y(x, a)$. Let $Y_s(x, a)$ be a fundamental matrix of (13) with $\omega = \omega_s$ of form (15) such that $\omega|_{a^0} = \omega_s|_{a^0}$ and $Y_s(x, a)$ has the same monodromy as $Y(x, a)$. Then the matrix $\Gamma(x, a) = Y(x, a)Y_s^{-1}(x, a)$ is meromorphic in $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0)$ with poles at $\cup_{i=1}^n \{x - a_i = 0\}$ only. Since for each fixed a it must have form (i) from Theorem 2, ω has the required form (21). So, it remains to prove inequality (22).

Each isomonodromic deformation preserves the eigenvalues β_i^j of the matrices $B_i(a)$ from (10). Indeed, by definition, each isomonodromic deformation must preserve the numbers ρ_i^j (the logarithms of the eigenvalues of the monodromy matrices normalized due to (4)). But due to the continuity of $B_i(a)$, the integer numbers $\lambda_i^j = \beta_i^j - \rho_i^j$ (the valuations of the systems, see Sec. 2) are also preserved by the deformations. So, the two systems under consideration have the same valuations with the same multiplicities for each fixed a . But their Levelt's filtrations can be different. Let Levelt's filtrations for the systems (more precisely, for the given fundamental matrices of the systems) be defined by the matrices $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n$ and by $R_1(a), \dots, R_n(a)$ and S_1, \dots, S_n respectively. Due to [3], the matrices S_1, \dots, S_n are independent of a , while the matrices R_i , in principle, depend on a . We omit here the proof of the fact that this dependence is holomorphic (this can be deduced from an analog of Sauvage's lemma (see [9]) with a parameter).

Recall here that due to the definition of the connection matrices for each fixed a we have Levelt's decompositions (5)

$$\begin{aligned} Y(x, a)R_i^{-1}(a) &= U_i(x, a)(x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i}(x - a_i)^{E_i'(a)}, \\ Y_s(x, a)S_i^{-1} &= U_i^s(x, a)(x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i}(x - a_i)^{E_i^s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $E_i'(a)$ and E_i^s have a block diagonal structure and $R_i^{-1}(a)E_i'(a)R_i(a) = S_i^{-1}E_i^sS_i = E_i$ because the monodromies of the matrices $Y(x, a)$ and

$Y_s(x, a)$ are equal by the construction. This means that at each $\{x - a_i = 0\}$ the matrix $\Gamma(x, a)$ has the form

$$\Gamma(x, a) = U_i(x, a)(x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i} R_i S_i^{-1} (x - a_i)^{-\Lambda_i} (U_i^s(x, a))^{-1},$$

where the matrix $R(a) = R_i S_i^{-1}$ has the same block diagonal structure as both $E_i'(a)$ and E_i^s .

So,

$$Y(x, a) = \Gamma(x, a) Y_s(x, a) = U_i(x, a)(x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i} R(a)(x - a_i)^{E_i^s}$$

and

$$\omega = dY(x, a)Y^{-1}(x, a) = \omega_1 + \omega_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \\ &= dU_i U_i^{-1} + \frac{U_i}{x - a_i} (\Lambda_i + (x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i} R_i E_i R_i^{-1} (x - a_i)^{-\Lambda_i}) U_i^{-1} d(x - a_i) = \\ &= \omega_s + \text{holomorphic form,} \end{aligned}$$

because ω has a logarithmic singularity at a_i for each fixed a_i by the condition of the theorem. And

$$\omega_2 = U_i(x - a_i)^{\Lambda_i} d_a R R^{-1} (x - a_i)^{-\Lambda_i} U_i^{-1} \tag{23}$$

has at most a pole of order $\max_{r,q}(\lambda_i^r - \lambda_i^q)$ at $\{x - a_i = 0\}$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let a form ω define an isomonodromic deformation (10). Then the Pfaffian system (13) with the indicated ω has regular singularities at the divisor $\cup_{i=1}^n \{x - a_i = 0\}$.*

In this sense, all isomonodromic deformations of a Fuchsian system are regular deformations (RD).

Corollary 2. *Assume that each monodromy matrix of system (14) can be transformed to a Jordan normal form consisting of one Jordan block only. Then for each isomonodromic deformation of this system there exists a form ω which defines this deformation and has the form*

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x - a_i} d(x - a_i) + \gamma(a) da. \tag{24}$$

Proof. Let isomonodromic deformation (10) of system (14) be determined by a form ω from (21). For each fixed a_i consider the Jordan filtration (unique for the case under the consideration) for the space of solutions of (10). But any Levelt's filtration, as a filtration, preserved by the monodromy operator g_i^* must be obtained from the Jordan filtration by the union of some of its subspaces. Since the transformation Γ defined by the

theorem must preserve the weights, in our case it must also preserve the whole Levelt's filtrations. Therefore the matrices $R_i(a)$ can be chosen equal to the corresponding S_i . So the matrix $\Gamma(x, a)$ is holomorphically invertible in $P^1(\mathbb{C}) \times D(a^0)$, and, thus, it depends only on a . Therefore

$$\omega = dY(x, a)Y^{-1}(x, a) = d\Gamma(a)\Gamma^{-1}(a) + \Gamma\omega_s\Gamma^{-1}$$

has form (24). Let us call the isomonodromic deformations determined by form (24) *unnormalized Schlesinger deformations* (USD). \square

Corollary 3. *If for each i the matrix B_i of system (14) is nonresonant (this means that there are no nonzero integer differences between eigenvalues of B_i), then each form ω defining an isomonodromic deformation of (14) has the form (24).*

Proof. Under the conditions of the corollary matrices $R(a)$, $d_a R(a)$ from (23) commute with Λ_i . Indeed, by construction, both $R(a)$ and Λ_i have the same block diagonal structure and due to the conditions of the corollary each block Λ_i^j is a scalar matrix (see decompositions (5)). Thus, $R(a)$, $d_a R(a)$ commute with Λ_i ; and we get from (23) that

$$\omega_2 = U_i d_a R R^{-1} U_i^{-1}$$

is holomorphic at $\{x - a_i = 0\}$. Since the same is true for all i , ω_2 does not depend on x . \square

The corollary shows that the term $\sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^{m_l} \frac{\gamma_{t,k,l}(a)}{(x - a_l)^t} da_k$ in expression (21) for ω responsible for the isomonodromic deformation appears only in the resonant case.

Consider the following example of a regular, but not Schlesinger (neither normalized, nor unnormalized), deformation.

Example 2. The family

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy}{dx} &= \left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{2a_1}{a_1^2 - 1} & 0 \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{x + a_1} + \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -6a_1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{x} + \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 3 + 3a_1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{x - 1} + \left(\begin{array}{cc} -3 & -3 + 3a_1 \\ \frac{1}{a_1 - 1} & 2 \end{array} \right) \frac{1}{x + 1} \right) y \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

of Fuchsian equations is isomonodromic, and it is determined by the following completely integrable form:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{2a_1}{a_1^2-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d(x+a_1)}{x+a_1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -6a_1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{dx}{x} + \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3+3a_1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d(x-1)}{x-1} + \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -3+3a_1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{d(x+1)}{x+1} + \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2a_1}{a_1^2-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \frac{da_1}{x+a_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

This ω is of form (21), but it is not of form (24). Since the term $\gamma(a)da$ is absent here, this example presents the so-called *normalized regular deformation* (NRD).

Every differential form ω responsible for an isomonodromic deformation (14) has the form $\omega = \omega_s + \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(x, a) da_i$ (see (21), (15)). Is the part $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(x, a) da_i$ determined uniquely by the "principal part" $\omega_s = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{B_i(a)}{x-a_i} d(x-a_i)$ (we call it "principal," because for every fixed a it coincides with the coefficient form of our Fuchsian family)? The answer to this question is negative.

The freedom in choosing $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i(x, a) da_i$ is the following: one can replace the isomonodromic matrix $Y(x, a)$ (see above), describing our deformation by $Y(x, a)R(a)$, where $R(a)$ belongs to the centralizer of the monodromy matrices G_1, \dots, G_n of our system (more precisely, of the matrix $Y(x, a)$). Clearly this change does not influence ω_s , but it probably changes $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi_i da_i$. Nevertheless the following statement holds.

Proposition 2. *Let the monodromy of isomonodromic Fuchsian family (10) be irreducible. Then the corresponding differential form ω responsible for the deformation (see Theorem 3) is determined uniquely by (10) up to addition of a term $df(a)f^{-1}(a)I$, where $f(a)$ is an analytic function.*

Proof. Let $Y(x, a)$ be an isomonodromic matrix of our family. Then due to conditions of the proposition and due to Shur's lemma, any other isomonodromic matrix $Y'(x, a)$ must have the form $Y'(x, a) = Y(x, a)R(a)$ with $R(a) = f(a)I$ for some scalar function $f(a)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' &= dY'(x, a)(Y')^{-1}(x, a) = dY(x, a)Y^{-1}(x, a) + \\ &+ Y(x, a)dR(a)R^{-1}(a)Y^{-1}(x, a) = \omega + df(a)f^{-1}(a)I. \end{aligned}$$

If the monodromy of system (10) is reducible, it may have nontrivial symmetries of the form $\Gamma(x, a) = Y(x, a)C(a)Y^{-1}(x, a)$ with $C(a)$ from the centralizer of the monodromy matrices of $Y(x, a)$ (even for the case where the equation itself is irreducible). This leads to different differential forms responsible for the deformation (10). Some of these forms can be simple (for example, Schlesinger forms), others can have additional poles at $\cup_{i=1}^n \{x - a_i = 0\}$ (of orders which are not greater than Theorem 3 says).

For the resonant case an interesting question is how to get the simplest possible form of ω for a given system (10).

REFERENCES

1. D. V. Anosov and A. A. Bolibruch, The Riemann–Hilbert problem. In: Aspects of Mathematics. *Vieweg, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden*, 1994.
2. A. A. Bolibruch, The Riemann–Hilbert problem. *Russ. Math. Surv.* **45** (1990), No. 2, 1–47.
3. ———, On the fundamental matrix of a Pfaffian system of Fuchsian type. *Math USSR Izv.* **11** (1977), No. 5, 1031–1054.
4. ———, Vector bundles associated with monodromies and asymptotics of Fuchsian systems. *J. Dynam. and Control Syst.* **1** (1995), No. 2, 229–251.
5. F. R. Gantmacher, Theory of matrices, Vol. II. *Chelsea, New York*, 1959.
6. H. Grauert, Analytische Faserungen über holomorph-vollständigen Räumen. *Math. Ann.* **135** (1958), No. 3, 263–273.
7. R. C. Gunning, Complex analysis. *Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ*, 1995.
8. D. Husemoller, Fibre bundles. *McGraw-Hill, New York*, 1966.
9. P. Hartman, Ordinary differential equations. *John Wiley*, 1964.
10. A. Its and V. Novokshenov, The isomonodromic deformation method in the theory of Painlevé equations. *Lect. Notes Math.* **1191** (1986).
11. M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Monodromy preserving deformations of linear ordinary differential equations. II. *R.I.M.S. Preprint* 327, 1980.
12. A. H. M. Levelt, Hypergeometric functions. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A* **64** (1961).
13. B. Malgrange, La classification des connexions irrégulières à une variable. *Semin. Ecole norm. super.* (1979–1982). See also: Sur les déformations isomonodromiques. *Progress Math., Birkhäuser* **37** (1983), 427–438.

14. ———, Deformation des systemes differentiels 2. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **1** (1986), 3–15.
15. A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, Foundations of Lie theory. In: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 20, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1993, 1–94, 231–235.
16. L. Schlesinger, Uber Losungen gewisser Differentialgleichungen als Funktionen der singularen Punkte. *J. reine and angew. Math.* **129** (1905), 287–294.
17. S. Sibuya, Linear differential equations in the complex domain: Problems of analytic continuation. *Providence*, 1990.

(Received 11.09.1997)

Author's address:
 Steklov Mathematical Institute,
 Russian Academy of Sciences,
 ul. Gubkina, 8,
 117966 Moscow, GSP-1,
 Russia
 E-mail: bolibr@genesis.mi.ras.ru

JOURNAL OF DYNAMICAL AND CONTROL SYSTEMS

Volume 3, 1997

Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems is an international journal providing unique and original coverage of both dynamical systems and control systems. In the dynamical systems area, articles are devoted primarily to the theory of smooth dynamical systems, including measure-theoretic, topological, and bifurcational aspects. All essential branches of the theory—local, semilocal, and global—are covered, including the theory of foliations. Special emphasis is given to the analytic theory of dynamical systems and related ordinary differential equations in the complex domain. In the control systems area, articles are devoted primarily to geometric control theory, which unifies Lie-algebraic and differential-geometric methods of investigation in control and optimization and is ultimately related to the general theory of dynamical systems.

The journal homepages, established by the Editor's office, may be accessed at <http://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~yakov/JDCS> and <http://math.la.asu.edu/kawski/JDCS>

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF: R. V. Gamkrelidze
Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia

MANAGING EDITORS

A. Agrachev, *Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia*
D. Anosov, *Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia*
W. Balsler, *University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany*
A. Bolibruch, *Steklov Mathematical Institute, Moscow, Russia*
M. Kawski, *Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA*

EDITORIAL BOARD

Henry Antosiewicz, <i>USA</i>	Velimir Jurdjevic, <i>Canada</i>	Leonid Shil'nikov, <i>Russia</i>
Bernard Bonnard, <i>France</i>	Yuri Ledyae, <i>Russia</i>	Alexandr Shoshitaishvili, <i>Russia</i>
John Burns, <i>USA</i>	Donald Lutz, <i>USA</i>	
Francis Clarke, <i>Canada</i>	Harris McClamroch, <i>USA</i>	Gianna Stefani, <i>Italy</i>
Fritz Colonius, <i>Germany</i>	Richard Montgomery, <i>USA</i>	Anatoliy Stepin, <i>Russia</i>
Peter Crouch, <i>USA</i>	Boris Mordukhovich, <i>USA</i>	Andrei Subbotin, <i>Russia</i>
Aleksey Davydov, <i>Russia</i>	Henk Nijmeijer, <i>The Netherlands</i>	Aleksandr Tretyak, <i>Ukraine</i>
Asen Dontchev, <i>USA</i>		Sergey Vakhrameev, <i>Russia</i>
Michel Fliess, <i>France</i>	Valery Oseledetz, <i>Russia</i>	V. Varadarajan, <i>USA</i>
John Fornæss, <i>USA</i>	Diethard Pallaschke, <i>Germany</i>	Anatoliy Vershik, <i>Russia</i>
John Franks, <i>USA</i>	Jean-Pierre Ramis, <i>France</i>	Sergei Yakovenko, <i>Israel</i>
Andrey Fursikov, <i>Russia</i>	Yuri Sachkov, <i>Russia</i>	Pier Luigi Zezza, <i>Italy</i>
Yuliy Ilyashenko, <i>Russia</i>	Andrei Sarychev, <i>Portugal</i>	
Bronislaw Jakubczyk, <i>Poland</i>	Shankar Sastry, <i>USA</i>	

Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems is published quarterly by Plenum Publishing Corporation, 233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems* is abstracted or indexed in Current Contents, Current Mathematical Publications, Energy Research Abstracts, INSPEC, Mathematical Reviews, and MathSci. © 1997 Plenum Publishing Corporation. *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems* participates in the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service. The appearance of a code line at the bottom of the first page of an article in this journal indicates the copyright owner's consent that copies of the article may be made for personal or internal use. However, this consent is given on the condition that the copier pay the flat fee of \$12.50 per copy per article (no additional per-page fees) directly to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Massachusetts 01923, for all copying not explicitly permitted by Sections 107 or 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law. The CCC is a nonprofit clearinghouse for the payment of photocopying fees by libraries and other users registered with the CCC. Therefore, this consent does not extend to other kinds of copying, such as copying for general distribution, for advertising or promotional purposes, for creating new collective works, or for resale, nor to the reprinting of figures, tables, and text excerpts. 1079-2724/97 \$12.50

Advertising inquiries should be addressed to Advertising Sales, Plenum Publishing Corporation, 233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013—telephone (212) 620-8495 and fax (212) 647-1898.

Subscription inquiries and subscription orders should be addressed to the publisher at Subscription Department, Plenum Publishing Corporation, 233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013 or faxed to the Subscription Department at its number (212) 807-1047, or may be telephoned to the Subscription Department's Journal Customer Service at (212) 620-8468, -8470, -8472, or 8082. Subscription rates:

Volume 3, 1997 (4 issues) \$165.00 (outside the U.S., \$195.00). Price for individual subscribers certifying that the journal is for their personal use, \$48.00 (outside the U.S., \$56.00).

Volume 4, 1998 (4 issues) \$195.00 (outside the U.S., \$230.00). Price for individual subscribers certifying that the journal is for their personal use, \$50.00 (outside the U.S., \$58.00).

Postmaster: Send address changes to *Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems*, Plenum Publishing Corporation, 233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013.