



Testing Monotone Continuous Distributions on High-dimensional Real Cubes

Artur Czumaj

Department of Computer Science & DIMAP (Centre for Discrete Maths and it Applications) University of Warwick

Joint work with Michal Adamaszek & Christian Sohler





- General question:
 - Test if a given probability distribution has a given property

Distribution is available by accessing only samples drawn from the distribution

Examples:

- is given distribution uniform?
- are two distributions identical?
- are two distributions independent?





Lots of research in statistics

Some recent research in algorithms

- Typical result:
 - Given a probability distribution on n points, we can test if it's uniform after seeing $\sim \sqrt{n}$ random samples

[Batu et al '01]

Testing = distinguish between uniform distribution and distributions which are ϵ -far from uniform

 ϵ -far from uniform: error probab. $\leq 1/3$

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{N}} |\Pr[x] - \frac{1}{n}| \ge \epsilon$$





- Typical result:
 - Given a probability distribution on n points, we can test if it's uniform after seeing $\sim \sqrt{n}$ random samples

[Batu et al '01]

- Similar bounds for testing
 - if a distribution is monotone
 - if two distributions are independent
 - .





- Typical result:
 - Given a probability distribution on n points, we can test if it's uniform after seeing $\sim \sqrt{n}$ random samples

[Batu et al '01]

Many properties of distributions can be tested in time sublinear in the domain/support size (typically, with n^{O(1)} samples)





- Typical result:
 - Given a probability distribution on n points, we can test if it's uniform after seeing $\sim \sqrt{n}$ random samples

[Batu et al '01]

- What if distribution has infinite support?
- Continuous probability distributions?

Testing properties of continuous distributions

- Typical result:
 - Given a probability distribution on n points, we can test if it's uniform after seeing $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ random samples
 - $\Theta(\sqrt{n})$ random samples are necessary
 - Given a continuous probability distribution on [0,1], can we test if it's uniform?

- Impossible
 - Follows from lower bound for discrete case with $n{ o}\infty$



- What can be tested?
- First question:

test if the distribution is indeed continuous





• Dual question:

WARWICK

Test if a probability distribution is discrete

- Prob. distribution D on Ω is discrete on N points if there is a set $X \subseteq \Omega$, $|X| \le N$, st. $\Pr_{D}[X]=1$
- D is ϵ -far from discrete on N points if $\forall X \subseteq \Omega, |X| \leq N$

 $\Pr_{\mathsf{D}}[\mathsf{X}] \leq 1 \text{-}\epsilon$





- We repeatedly draw random points from D
- All what can we see:
 - Count frequency of each point
 - Count number of points drawn

For some D (eg, uniform or close):

• we need - (\sqrt{N}) to see first multiple occurrence

Gives a hope that can be solved in sublinear-time Shows that we cannot be better than - (\sqrt{N})





Raskhodnikova et al '07 (Valiant'08):

Distinct Elements Problem:

- D discrete with each element with prob. $\geq 1/N$
- Estimate the support size

 $\Omega(N^{1-o(1)})$ queries are needed to distinguish instances with $\leq N/100$ and $\geq N/11$ support size

Key property:

- two distributions that have identical first $\log^{\Theta(1)}N$ moments
- their expected frequencies up to $\log^{\Theta(1)}N$ are identical





Raskhodnikova et al '07 (Valiant'08):

Distinct Elements Problem:

- D discrete with each element with prob. $\geq 1/N$
- Estimate the support size

 $\Omega(N^{1-o(1)})$ queries are needed to distinguish instances with $\leq N/100$ and $\geq N/11$ support size

Corollary: Testing if a distribution is discrete on N points requires $\Omega(N^{1-o(1)})$ samples





- We repeatedly draw random points from D
- All what can we see:
 - Count frequency of each point
 - Count number of points drawn
- Can we get O(N) time?





• Testing if a distribution is discrete on N points:

•Draw a sample S = $(s_1, ..., s_t)$ with t = $2N/\epsilon$ •If S has more than N distinct elements then REJECT else ACCEPT

- If D is discrete on N points then we will accept D
- We only have to prove that

WARWICK

• if D is ϵ -far from discrete on N points, then we will reject with probability >2/3





• Testing if a distribution is discrete on N points:

•Draw a sample S =
$$(s_1, ..., s_t)$$
 with t = $2N/\epsilon$
•If S has more than N distinct elements
then REJECT
else ACCEPT

D is ϵ -far from discrete on N points, then reject with prob >2/3

D is ϵ -far from discrete on N points \Rightarrow

$$D \text{ is } \epsilon\text{-far from discrete on } N \text{ points iff}$$

 $\forall X \subseteq \text{-}, \text{ if } |X| \cdot N \text{ then } Pr_D[\text{-} \setminus X] \geq$

• Assuming that we haven't chosen n points yet, we choose a new point with probability at least ϵ

After $(1 + o(1))N/\epsilon$ samples, we choose N + 1 points with prob. ≥ 0.99





• Testing if a distribution is discrete on N points:

THE UNIVERSITY OF

•Draw a sample S = $(s_1, ..., s_t)$ with t = $2N/\epsilon$ •If S has more than N distinct elements then REJECT else ACCEPT

Can we do better (if we only count distinct elements)? D: has 1 point with prob. $1-4\epsilon$ and 2N points with prob. $2\epsilon/N$ D is ϵ -far from discrete on N points We need $\Omega(N/\epsilon)$ samples to see at least N points





Open problem

What is the complexity of testing if **distribution is discrete on N points**?

Upper bound: $O(N/\epsilon)$ Lower bound: $\Omega(N^{1-o(1)})$

Open problem: close the gap





Testing continuous probability distributions

• What can we test efficiently?

WARWICK

- Complexity for discrete distributions should be "independent" on the support size
- Uniform distribution ... under some conditions
- Rubinfeld & Servedio'05:
 - testing monotone distributions for uniformity





Testing uniform distributions (discrete)

Rubinfeld & Servedio'05:

• Testing monotone distributions for uniformity

D: distribution on n-dimensional cube; D: $\{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ x,y $\in \{0,1\}^n$, x $\leq y$ iff $\forall i: x_i \leq y_i$ D is monotone if x $\leq y \Rightarrow \Pr[x] \leq \Pr[y]$ Goal: test if a monotone distribution is uniform

Rubinfeld & Servedio'05: Testing if a monotone distribution on n-dimensional binary cube is uniform: •Can be done with O(n log(1/ε)/ε²) samples •Requires Ω(n/log²n) samples





Testing continuous distributions

Rubinfeld & Servedio'05:

• Testing monotone distributions for uniformity

D: distribution on n-dimensional cube; D: $\{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ x,y $\in \{0,1\}^n$, x $\leq y$ iff $\forall i: x_i \leq y_i$ D is monotone if x $\leq y \twoheadrightarrow \Pr[x] \leq \Pr[y]$

Goal: test if a monotone distribution is uniform

D: distribution on n-dimensional cube; density function $f:[0,1]^n \to \mathbb{R}$ $x,y \in [0,1]^n, x \leq y \text{ iff } \forall i: x_i \leq y_i$ D is monotone if $x \leq y \twoheadrightarrow f(x) \leq f(y)$





Testing continuous distributions

Lower bounds holds for n-dimensional real cubes Upper bound: ???

Rubinfeld & Servedio'05: Testing if a monotone distribution on n-dimensional binary cube is uniform: •Can be done with O(n log(1/ε)/ε²) samples •Requires Ω(n/log²n) samples

Testing monotone distributions for uniformity

D is
$$\epsilon$$
-far from uniform if $\frac{1}{2}\int_{x\in} |f(x) - 1| dx \ge \epsilon$

 L_1 distance between f and uniform distribution

To test uniformity, we need to characterize monotone distributions that are ϵ -far from uniform

On the high level:

- we follow approach of Rubinfeld & Servedio'05;
- details are different



D is ϵ -far from uniform if $\frac{1}{2}\int_{x\in \mathbb{R}}|f(x)-1|dx\geq \epsilon$





Key Lemma:

If D is a monotone distribution on $[0,1]^n$ with density function f and which is ϵ -far from uniform then

$$E_f[\|x\|_1] = \int_x \|x\|_1 \cdot f(x) dx \ge \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Uniform distribution: If D is uniform on $[0,1]^n$ with density function f then $E_f[||x||_1] = \int_x ||x||_1 \cdot f(x) dx = \frac{n}{2}$





Key Lemma:

If D is a monotone distribution on $[0,1]^n$ with density function f and which is ϵ -far from uniform then

$$E_f[\|x\|_1] = \int_x \|x\|_1 \cdot f(x) dx \ge \frac{n}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

s = cn/ ϵ^2 Repeat 20 times Draw a sample S=($x_1,...,x_s$) from [0,1]ⁿ If $\sum_i ||x_i||_1 \ge s$ (n/2+ ϵ /4) then REJECT and exit ACCEPT





Testing monotone distributions for uniformity

Theorem:

THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK

The algorithm below tests if D is uniform. Its complexity is $O(n/\epsilon^2)$.

Slightly better bound than the one by RS'05

s = cn/
$$\epsilon^2$$

Repeat 20 times
Draw a sample S=($x_1,...,x_s$) from [0,1]ⁿ
If $\sum_{i} ||x_i||_1 \ge s$ (n/2+ ϵ /4) then REJECT and exit
ACCEPT

THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK Testing monotone distributions for uniformity $s = cn/\epsilon^2$ Repeat 20 times Draw a sample $S=(x_1,...,x_s)$ from $[0,1]^n$ If $\sum_i ||x_i||_1 \ge s$ (n/2+ ϵ /4) then REJECT and exit ACCEPT

Lemma 1: If D is uniform then $Pr[\sum_{i} ||\mathbf{x}_{i}||_{1} \ge s(n/2+\epsilon/4)] \le 0.01$

Easy application of Chernoff bound

Lemma 2: If D is ϵ -far from uniform then $Pr[\sum_{i} ||x_{i}||_{1} < s(n/2 + \epsilon/4)] \le 12/13$

By Key Lemma + Feige lemma

Testing monotone distributions for uniformity



Key Technical Lemma: Let g:[0,1]ⁿ \rightarrow **R** be a monotone function with $\int_{x} g(x) dx = 0$ then $\int_{x} ||x||_{1} \cdot g(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{x} |g(x)| dx$ Why such a bound: Tight for $g(x) = sgn(x_{1} - \frac{1}{2})$ $\int_{x:x_{1} \geq \frac{1}{2}} ||x||_{1} \cdot g(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{x:x_{1} \geq \frac{1}{2}} (x_{1} + \ldots + x_{n}) dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{2}\right) dx = \frac{n}{4} + \frac{1}{8}.$

Similarly,

$$\int_{x:x_1 < \frac{1}{2}} \|x\|_1 \cdot g(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{n}{4} - \frac{1}{8} \, ,$$

and hence,

$$\int_{x} \|x\|_{1} \cdot g(x) \ dx = \int_{x:x_{1} > \frac{1}{2}} \|x\|_{1} \cdot g(x) \ dx - \int_{x:x_{1} < \frac{1}{2}} \|x\|_{1} \cdot g(x) \ dx = \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \int_{x} |g(x)| \ dx \ .$$

Testing monotone distributions for uniformity



Key Technical Lemma:

Let $g:[0,1]^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be a monotone function with $\int_x g(x) \, dx = 0$ then $\int_x \|x\|_1 \cdot g(x) \, dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \int_x |g(x)| \, dx$

THE UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK **Testing monotone distributions for uniformity**



Let
$$P = {\mathbf{x} : g(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0}$$
 and $N = {\mathbf{x} : g(\mathbf{x}) < 0}$. Consider:

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}\in N}\int_{\mathbf{y}\in P}|g(\mathbf{x})-g(\mathbf{y})|\ dy\ dx\ .$$

For $g(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ · $g(\mathbf{y})$, we have $|g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})| = |g(\mathbf{x})| + |g(\mathbf{y})|$. Moreover $\int_{\mathbf{x}\in N} |g(\mathbf{x})| dx = \int_{\mathbf{y}\in P} |g(\mathbf{y})| dy = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{x}} |g(\mathbf{x})| dx.$ Hence:

$$= \int_{\mathbf{x}\in N} \int_{\mathbf{y}\in P} (|g(\mathbf{x})| + |g(\mathbf{y})|) = \int_{\mathbf{y}\in P} \int_{\mathbf{x}\in N} |g(\mathbf{x})| + \int_{\mathbf{x}\in N} \int_{\mathbf{y}\in P} |g(\mathbf{y})|$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{y}\in P} \int_{\mathbf{x}} |g(\mathbf{x})| + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{x}\in N} \int_{\mathbf{y}} |g(\mathbf{y})| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{y}} \int_{\mathbf{x}} |g(\mathbf{x})| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{x}} |g(\mathbf{x})| .$$

Since every pair (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) can satisfy at most one of the conditions $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in P \times N$ and $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in N \times P$, we obtain:

$$\int_{\mathbf{x}\in N} \int_{\mathbf{y}\in P} |g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})| \, dy \, dx \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} |g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})| \, dy \, dx \, .$$

Hence:
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{x}} |g(\mathbf{x})| \, dx = \int_{\mathbf{x}\in N} \int_{\mathbf{y}\in P} |g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})| \, dx \, dy \cdot \frac{1}{2} \int \int_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} |g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})| \, dx \, dy \, .$$

H

Testing monotone distributions for uniformity



$$\int \int_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}} |g(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{y})| \, dy \, dx \cdot \int \int_{\mathbf{x}\prec\mathbf{y}} \left(\sum_{(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v})\in D(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} |g(\mathbf{u}) - g(\mathbf{v})| \right) \, dy \, dx \, ,$$

where $D(\{0,1\}^n)$ is the set of all **main diagonals** of **discrete** cube $\{0,1\}^n$:

$$D(\{0,1\}^n) = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \{0,1\}^n \times \{0,1\}^n : x_i = 1 - y_i \text{ for every } i\}$$

WARWICK Testing monotone distributions for uniformity



Key Technical Lemma: Let g:[0,1]ⁿ \rightarrow **R** be a monotone function with $\int_x g(x) dx = 0$ then $\int \|x\|_1 \cdot g(x) dx \ge \frac{1}{4} \int |g(x)| dx$ Key inequalities in the proof: $\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|g(\mathbf{x})| dx \cdot \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{T}}\int_{\mathbb{T}}|g(\mathbf{x})-g(\mathbf{y})|dxdy$ $\cdot \quad \frac{1}{4} \int \int_{\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}} \left(\sum_{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{y}) \in D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} |g(\mathbf{u}) - g(\mathbf{v})| \right) dx dy$ $\cdot \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int \int_{\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}} \left(\sum_{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in E_{i}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v})} |g(\mathbf{u}) - g(\mathbf{v})| \right) dxdy$ $\cdot \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\mathbf{x}} (2x_i - 1)g(\mathbf{x}) dx$ $\int \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 g(\mathbf{x}) dx$





Testing monotone continuous distributions

Rubinfeld & Servedio'05: Testing if a monotone distribution on n-dimensional binary cube is uniform: •Can be done with $O(n \log(1/\epsilon)/\epsilon^2)$ samples •Requires $\Omega(n/\log^2 n)$ samples

Here: Testing if a monotone distribution on n-dimensional continuous cube is uniform : •Can be done with $O(n/\epsilon^2)$ samples •(Requires $\Omega(n/\log^2 n)$ samples)





Further extension/application:

Testing if a monotone distribution on n-dimensional discrete cube {0,1,2,...,k}ⁿ is uniform:
 Can be done with O(n / €²) samples

Here: Testing if a monotone distribution on n-dimensional continuous cube is uniform : •Can be done with $O(n/\epsilon^2)$ samples •(Requires $\Omega(n/\log^2 n)$ samples)







- Testing distributions on infinite/uncountable support is different from testing discrete distributions

 Continuous distributions are harder
- Challenge: understand when it's possible to test
 Usually some additional conditions are to be imposed
- Tight(er) bounds?





Conclusions

- Continuous distributions are harder
- Is the L₁-norm the right one?
 - It doesn't work well for continuous distributions
- Earth mover norm?
 - How much mass has to be moved and how far to obtain a given distribution
 - Ba, Nguyen, Nguyen, Rubinfeld 2009:
 - Testing uniformity on [0,1] can be done in time $f(1/\epsilon)$
 - Framework (holds for a variety of properties): reduction to the problem on the support of size $f(1/\epsilon)$