

# Dimension-free variance bounds for polynomials

---

Dan Mikulincer

MIT

Joint work with Itay Glazer (Northwestern)

# Wishart Tensors

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be *i.i.d.* copies of an isotropic random vector  $X \sim \mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . And consider

$$W := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left( X_i^{\otimes d} - \mathbb{E} \left[ X_i^{\otimes d} \right] \right).$$

Keeping  $n$  and  $d$  fixed the  $W$  converges to a Gaussian vector. What happens when we allow  $n$  (and  $d$ ) to approach infinity?

# Wishart Tensors

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be *i.i.d.* copies of an isotropic random vector  $X \sim \mu$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . And consider

$$W := \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left( X_i^{\otimes d} - \mathbb{E} \left[ X_i^{\otimes d} \right] \right).$$

Keeping  $n$  and  $d$  fixed the  $W$  converges to a Gaussian vector. What happens when we allow  $n$  (and  $d$ ) to approach infinity?

# Wishart Tensors

Some motivation to understand the asymptotic normality of  $W$ :

1. Empirical moment tensor estimation.
2. Related to random geometric graphs, when  $d = 2$ .

$$\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^T = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \otimes X_i$$

where  $\mathbb{X}$  is a matrix with columns given by  $X_i$ .

3. CLT for neural networks, when  $d \geq 2$ . For fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i^{\otimes p}, y^{\otimes p} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{\ell=1}^k X_i^{\otimes p}, y^{\otimes p} \right\rangle.$$

# Wishart Tensors

Some motivation to understand the asymptotic normality of  $W$ :

1. Empirical moment tensor estimation.
2. Related to random geometric graphs, when  $d = 2$ .

$$\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^T = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \otimes X_i$$

where  $\mathbb{X}$  is a matrix with columns given by  $X_j$ .

3. CLT for neural networks, when  $d \geq 2$ . For fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i^{\otimes p}, y^{\otimes p} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{\ell=1}^k X_\ell^{\otimes p}, y^{\otimes p} \right\rangle.$$

# Wishart Tensors

Some motivation to understand the asymptotic normality of  $W$ :

1. Empirical moment tensor estimation.
2. Related to random geometric graphs, when  $d = 2$ .

$$\mathbb{X}\mathbb{X}^T = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \otimes X_i$$

where  $\mathbb{X}$  is a matrix with columns given by  $X_j$ .

3. CLT for neural networks, when  $d \geq 2$ . For fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i, y \rangle^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle X_i^{\otimes p}, y^{\otimes p} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sum_{\ell=1}^k X_i^{\otimes p}, y^{\otimes p} \right\rangle.$$

## Known results

When  $n^{2d-1} \ll k$ ,  $W$  is asymptotically normal.

- Bubeck, Ding, Eldan, Rácz 15' and Jiang, Li 15' -  $d = 2$ , standard Gaussian.
- Bubeck, Ganguly 15' -  $d = 2$ , log-concave product measures.
- Fang, Koike 20' -  $d = 2$ , product measures.
- Nourdin, Zheng 18' -  $d \geq 2$ , standard Gaussian.
- M. 20' -  $d \geq 2$ , unconditional strongly log-concave measures.
- M., Shenfeld 21' -  $d \geq 2$ , unconditional log-concave measures.

$\mu$  is log-concave if  $-\log\left(\frac{d\mu}{dx}\right)$  convex.

$\mu$  is unconditional if  $\frac{d\mu}{dx}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{d\mu}{dx}(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n)$ .

## Known results

When  $n^{2d-1} \ll k$ ,  $W$  is asymptotically normal.

- Bubeck, Ding, Eldan, Rácz 15' and Jiang, Li 15' -  $d = 2$ , standard Gaussian.
- Bubeck, Ganguly 15' -  $d = 2$ , log-concave product measures.
- Fang, Koike 20' -  $d = 2$ , product measures.
- Nourdin, Zheng 18' -  $d \geq 2$ , standard Gaussian.
- M. 20' -  $d \geq 2$ , unconditional strongly log-concave measures.
- M., Shenfeld 21' -  $d \geq 2$ , unconditional log-concave measures.

$\mu$  is log-concave if  $-\log\left(\frac{d\mu}{dx}\right)$  convex.

$\mu$  is unconditional if  $\frac{d\mu}{dx}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{d\mu}{dx}(\pm x_1, \dots, \pm x_n)$ .



Important caveat:

Instead of considering the full tensor  $W$ , the results apply to its marginal on the subspace of principal (multi-linear) tensors:

$$\text{span} \{ \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_k} \mid i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \}.$$

Reason: If  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is unconditional, the covariance matrix on the principal subspace is diagonal:

$$\mathbb{E} [(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k})(X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_k})] = 0,$$

whenever  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \neq (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ .

Important caveat:

Instead of considering the full tensor  $W$ , the results apply to its marginal on the subspace of principal (multi-linear) tensors:

$$\text{span} \{ \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_k} \mid i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \}.$$

Reason: If  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is unconditional, the covariance matrix on the principal subspace is diagonal:

$$\mathbb{E} [(X_{i_1} \cdots X_{i_k})(X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_k})] = 0,$$

whenever  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \neq (j_1, \dots, j_k)$ .

# From tensors to polynomials

## Remark

To control convergence rate of the CLT, one needs to understand  $\lambda_{\min}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d}))$  and  $\lambda_{\max}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d}))$

To rephrase, let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , be a degree  $d$  homogeneous polynomial  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$ , where

$$I \in [n]^d \text{ and } x^I = \prod_{i=1}^d x_{I_i}.$$

So,  $\langle X^{\otimes d}, f \rangle = \sum_{|I|=d} v_I X^I = f(X)$ , and

$$\lambda_{\min}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d})) = \inf_{f: \sum v_I^2 = 1} \text{Var}(f(X)).$$

# From tensors to polynomials

## Remark

To control convergence rate of the CLT, one needs to understand  $\lambda_{\min}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d}))$  and  $\lambda_{\max}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d}))$

To rephrase, let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , be a degree  $d$  homogeneous polynomial  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$ , where

$$I \in [n]^d \text{ and } x^I = \prod_{i=1}^d x_{I_i}.$$

So,  $\langle X^{\otimes d}, f \rangle = \sum_{|I|=d} v_I X^I = f(X)$ , and

$$\lambda_{\min}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d})) = \inf_{f: \sum v_I^2 = 1} \text{Var}(f(X)).$$

# From tensors to polynomials

## Remark

To control convergence rate of the CLT, one needs to understand  $\lambda_{\min}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d}))$  and  $\lambda_{\max}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d}))$

To rephrase, let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , be a degree  $d$  homogeneous polynomial  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$ , where

$$I \in [n]^d \text{ and } x^I = \prod_{i=1}^d x_{i_j}.$$

So,  $\langle X^{\otimes d}, f \rangle = \sum_{|I|=d} v_I X^I = f(X)$ , and

$$\lambda_{\min}(\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d})) = \inf_{f: \sum v_I^2 = 1} \text{Var}(f(X)).$$

## A first result - Gaussians

### Lemma

Let  $G$  be a standard Gaussian in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$  with  $\sum v_I^2 = 1$ . Then,  $\text{Var}(f(G)) \geq \frac{1}{d!}$ .

### Proof.

Gaussian integration by parts:

$$\text{Var}(f(G)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\mathbb{E}[\nabla^m f(G)]\|^2}{m!} \geq \frac{\|\mathbb{E}[\nabla^d f(G)]\|^2}{d!}.$$

But,

$$\frac{d}{dx^I} x^J = I! \delta_{IJ} \implies \frac{d}{dx^I} f = I! v_I.$$

So,

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\nabla^d f(G)]\|^2 = \sum (I!)^2 v_I^2 \geq 1.$$

## A first result - Gaussians

### Lemma

Let  $G$  be a standard Gaussian in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$  with  $\sum v_I^2 = 1$ . Then,  $\text{Var}(f(G)) \geq \frac{1}{d!}$ .

### Proof.

Gaussian integration by parts:

$$\text{Var}(f(G)) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|\mathbb{E}[\nabla^m f(G)]\|^2}{m!} \geq \frac{\|\mathbb{E}[\nabla^d f(G)]\|^2}{d!}.$$

But,

$$\frac{d}{dx^I} x^J = I! \delta_{IJ} \implies \frac{d}{dx^I} f = I! v_I.$$

So,

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\nabla^d f(G)]\|^2 = \sum (I!)^2 v_I^2 \geq 1.$$

# Main question

The previous proof is very Gaussian.

## Question

Which isotropic random vectors satisfy,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \geq C_d,$$

for any  $d$ -homogeneous polynomial with  $\sum v_i^2 = 1$ ?

Specific cases of interest:

1. Product measures.
2. Log-concave measures.



# Main question

The previous proof is very Gaussian.

## Question

Which isotropic random vectors satisfy,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \geq C_d,$$

for any  $d$ -homogeneous polynomial with  $\sum v_i^2 = 1$ ?

Specific cases of interest:

1. Product measures.
2. Log-concave measures.

## Related results - Carbery-Wright

The celebrated Carbery-Wright inequality connects between log-concave measures and level sets of polynomials

### Lemma (Carbery-Wright's inequality)

Let  $X$  be a log-concave vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then for any polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| < \varepsilon) \lesssim \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[f(X)^2]}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

Problems:

- Need to show that  $\mathbb{E}[f^2(X)]$  is comparable to  $\sum v_i^2$ .
- The proof of Carbery-Wright proceeds by localization, which does not preserve coefficients.

## Related results - Carbery-Wright

The celebrated Carbery-Wright inequality connects between log-concave measures and level sets of polynomials

### Lemma (Carbery-Wright's inequality)

Let  $X$  be a log-concave vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , then for any polynomial  $f$  of degree  $d$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| < \varepsilon) \lesssim \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[f(X)^2]}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

Problems:

- Need to show that  $\mathbb{E}[f^2(X)]$  is comparable to  $\sum v_i^2$ .
- The proof of Carbery-Wright proceeds by localization, which does not preserve coefficients.

## Related results - Carbery-Wright

A more fundamental problem is that Carbery-Wright is too general. If  $X$  is uniform on  $\sqrt{n}B_2^n$ , and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|x\|^2$ , an easy calculation shows,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \simeq \frac{1}{n}.$$

More generally, if  $X$  is uniform on an isotropic  $L_p$  ball, and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|x\|_p^p$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(f(X)) = 0.$$

## Related results - Carbery-Wright

A more fundamental problem is that Carbery-Wright is too general. If  $X$  is uniform on  $\sqrt{n}B_2^n$ , and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|x\|^2$ , an easy calculation shows,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \simeq \frac{1}{n}.$$

More generally, if  $X$  is uniform on an isotropic  $L_p$  ball, and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|x\|_p^p$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(f(X)) = 0.$$

## Related results - Carbery-Wright

A more fundamental problem is that Carbery-Wright is too general. If  $X$  is uniform on  $\sqrt{n}B_2^n$ , and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|x\|^2$ , an easy calculation shows,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \simeq \frac{1}{n}.$$

More generally, if  $X$  is uniform on an isotropic  $L_p$  ball, and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\|x\|_p^p$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(f(X)) = 0.$$

## Related results - Fourier analysis

There is also connection between anti-concentration and Fourier transforms that goes back to Esseen:

### Lemma (Esseen's inequality)

Let  $X$  be a random variable with characteristic function  $\varphi$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - t| < \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \int_{-2\pi/\varepsilon}^{2\pi/\varepsilon} |\varphi(\lambda)| d\lambda.$$

In particular, if  $|\varphi(\lambda)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\alpha}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(|X - t| < \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^\alpha$ .

## Related results - Fourier analysis

There is also connection between anti-concentration and Fourier transforms that goes back to Esseen:

### Lemma (Esseen's inequality)

Let  $X$  be a random variable with characteristic function  $\varphi$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - t| < \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon \int_{-2\pi/\varepsilon}^{2\pi/\varepsilon} |\varphi(\lambda)| d\lambda.$$

In particular, if  $|\varphi(\lambda)| \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^\alpha}$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(|X - t| < \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^\alpha$ .



## Related results - Van der Corput lemma

Recall the classical Van der Corput lemma from the 30's. If  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is such that  $|h^{(k)}| \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\int_{-1}^1 e^{i\lambda h(x)} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{k}}}.$$

In particular, if  $X$  is uniform on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $f(x) = x^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| < \varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

What happens in higher dimensions?

## Related results - Van der Corput lemma

Recall the classical Van der Corput lemma from the 30's. If  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is such that  $|h^{(k)}| \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\int_{-1}^1 e^{i\lambda h(x)} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{k}}}.$$

In particular, if  $X$  is uniform on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $f(x) = x^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| < \varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

What happens in higher dimensions?

## Related results - Van der Corput lemma

Recall the classical Van der Corput lemma from the 30's. If  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is such that  $|h^{(k)}| \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\int_{-1}^1 e^{i\lambda h(x)} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{k}}}.$$

In particular, if  $X$  is uniform on  $[-1, 1]$  and  $f(x) = x^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| < \varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

What happens in higher dimensions?

## Related results - Van der Corput lemma

Much work has been done on high-dimensional analogues of the Van der Corput lemma. Carbery, Christ and Wright showed,

$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \lesssim \frac{\text{poly}(n)}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d}}},$$

if  $f$  is a homogeneous degree  $d$  polynomial and for some  $l$ ,  $|v_l| \geq 1$ .

They also asked the question: can the dependence on  $n$  be removed from the right hand side?

## Related results - Van der Corput lemma

Much work has been done on high-dimensional analogues of the Van der Corput lemma. Carbery, Christ and Wright showed,

$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \lesssim \frac{\text{poly}(n)}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d}}},$$

if  $f$  is a homogeneous degree  $d$  polynomial and for some  $l$ ,  $|v_j| \geq 1$ .

They also asked the question: can the dependence on  $n$  be removed from the right hand side?

## Theorem

Let  $X \sim \mu^{\otimes n}$  be a product measure and let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$  with  $\sum v_I^2 = 1$ . Then,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \geq C_{\mu,d}.$$

Moreover, the constant can be taken to be uniform over all isotropic log-concave product measures.

## Corollary

Let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$  with  $|v_I| \geq 1$  for some  $I$ . Then,

$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d}}},$$

## Theorem

Let  $X \sim \mu^{\otimes n}$  be a product measure and let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$  with  $\sum v_I^2 = 1$ . Then,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) \geq C_{\mu,d}.$$

Moreover, the constant can be taken to be uniform over all isotropic log-concave product measures.

## Corrolary

Let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$  with  $|v_I| \geq 1$  for some  $I$ . Then,

$$\int_{[-1,1]^n} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d}}},$$

## Variance bound 1d

Let  $X \sim \mu$  be random variable with infinite support. Apply the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  in  $L^2(\mu)$  and consider the resulting orthogonal polynomials  $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ .

### Lemma

Let  $f(x) = x^d$ . Then,

1.  $\langle f, p_k \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = 0$  for  $k > d$ .
2.  $\langle f, p_d \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \tilde{c}_{\mu,d} \neq 0$ .

### Proof.

1.  $p_k$  is orthogonal to degree  $d$  polynomials.
2.  $f \notin \text{span}(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1})$ .





## Variance bound 1d

Let  $X \sim \mu$  be random variable with infinite support. Apply the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  in  $L^2(\mu)$  and consider the resulting orthogonal polynomials  $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ .

### Lemma

Let  $f(x) = x^d$ . Then,

1.  $\langle f, p_k \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = 0$  for  $k > d$ .
2.  $\langle f, p_d \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \tilde{c}_{\mu,d} \neq 0$ .

### Proof.

1.  $p_k$  is orthogonal to degree  $d$  polynomials.
2.  $f \notin \text{span}(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1})$ .



## Variance bound 1d

Let  $X \sim \mu$  be random variable with infinite support. Apply the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  in  $L^2(\mu)$  and consider the resulting orthogonal polynomials  $\{p_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ .

### Lemma

Let  $f(x) = x^d$ . Then,

1.  $\langle f, p_k \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = 0$  for  $k > d$ .
2.  $\langle f, p_d \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \tilde{c}_{\mu,d} \neq 0$ .

### Proof.

1.  $p_k$  is orthogonal to degree  $d$  polynomials.
2.  $f \notin \text{span}(1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{d-1})$ .



## Dimension-free variance bounds

Observe  $L^2(\mu^{\otimes n}) = L^2(\mu)^{\otimes n}$ . So, an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})$  is given by  $\{p_I\}$ , where for  $I = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n)$ ,

$$p_I(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{I_i}(x_i).$$

### Lemma

Let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$ , be of degree  $d$ . Then, for  $|J| = d$ ,

1.  $\langle f, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \geq v_J \cdot c_{\mu, d}$

## Dimension-free variance bounds

Observe  $L^2(\mu^{\otimes n}) = L^2(\mu)^{\otimes n}$ . So, an orthonormal basis for  $L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})$  is given by  $\{p_I\}$ , where for  $I = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n)$ ,

$$p_I(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{I_i}(x_i).$$

### Lemma

Let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$ , be of degree  $d$ . Then, for  $|J| = d$ ,

1.  $\langle f, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \geq v_J \cdot c_{\mu, d}$

**Proof.**

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} &= \sum v_I \langle x^I, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} = v_J \langle x^J, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \\ &= v_J \prod_{i=1}^d \langle x^{J_i}, p_{J_i} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = v_J \prod_{i=1}^d \tilde{c}_{\mu, J_i}.\end{aligned}$$

□

An  $L^2$  decomposition gives

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) = \sum_{I \neq 0} \langle f, p_I \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})}^2.$$

and we are ready to prove the theorem.

## Dimension-free variance bounds

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} &= \sum v_I \langle x^I, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} = v_J \langle x^J, p_J \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} \\ &= v_J \prod_{i=1}^d \langle x^{J_i}, p_{J_i} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = v_J \prod_{i=1}^d \tilde{c}_{\mu, J_i}.\end{aligned}$$

□

An  $L^2$  decomposition gives

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) = \sum_{I \neq 0} \langle f, p_I \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})}^2.$$

and we are ready to prove the theorem.

## Proof of Theorem.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(X) &= \langle f, f \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})} - \langle \mathbf{1}, f \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})}^2 = \sum_{I \neq \emptyset} \langle f, p_I \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})}^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{|I|=d} \langle f, p_I \rangle_{L^2(\mu^{\otimes n})}^2 \geq c_{\mu,d}^2 \sum_{|I|=d} v_I^2 \\ &= c_{\mu,d}^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

When  $\mu$  is log-concave isotropic, by a comparison to an interval, we get  $c_{\mu,d} = c^d$ .

## From variance bounds to sub-level estimates

We can now combine our result with the Carbery-Wright inequality.

### Corrolary

Let  $X$  be a log-concave with a product law and let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$ , be of degree  $d$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| \leq \varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| \leq \varepsilon) \lesssim \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[f(X)^2]}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{c^d} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

□



## From variance bounds to sub-level estimates

We can now combine our result with the Carbery-Wright inequality.

### Corrolary

Let  $X$  be a log-concave with a product law and let  $f(x) = \sum_I v_I x^I$ , be of degree  $d$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| \leq \varepsilon) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

### Proof.

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X) - t| \leq \varepsilon) \lesssim \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}[f(X)^2]}} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{c^d} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

□

# Multivariate Van der Corput

Let  $f(x) = \sum_l v_l x^l$  with  $|v_l| \geq 1$  for some  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ . We wish to bound,

$$J(\lambda) := \int_{[-1,1]^n} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx.$$

Define,

$$A := \left\{ x \in [-1, 1]^n \mid \left| \frac{d}{dx_n^{l_n}} f(x) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

So,

$$J(\lambda) \leq \left| \int_A e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right|.$$

# Multivariate Van der Corput

Let  $f(x) = \sum_l v_l x^l$  with  $|v_l| \geq 1$  for some  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ . We wish to bound,

$$J(\lambda) := \int_{[-1,1]^n} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx.$$

Define,

$$A := \left\{ x \in [-1, 1]^n \mid \left| \frac{d}{dx_n^{l_n}} f(x) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

So,

$$J(\lambda) \leq \left| \int_A e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right|.$$

# Multivariate Van der Corput

Let  $f(x) = \sum_l v_l x^l$  with  $|v_l| \geq 1$  for some  $l = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ . We wish to bound,

$$J(\lambda) := \int_{[-1,1]^n} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx.$$

Define,

$$A := \left\{ x \in [-1, 1]^n \mid \left| \frac{d}{dx_n^{l_n}} f(x) \right| \geq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

So,

$$J(\lambda) \leq \left| \int_A e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right|.$$

We first bound  $\bar{A}$ .

The main observation is that  $\frac{d}{dx_n^{l_n}} f$  is a polynomial of degree  $d - l_n$  with sum of coefficients at least 1,

$$\left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right| \leq \int_{\bar{A}} 1 dx = \mathbb{P} \left( \left| \frac{d}{dx_n^{l_n}} f(X) \right| \leq \varepsilon \right) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d-l_n}}.$$

We also bound

$$\left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{(|\lambda|\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{l_n}}}.$$

High-level idea:

- Decompose  $x = (\tilde{x}, x_n)$  and  $f_{\tilde{x}}(x_n) = f(x)$ .
- On  $A$ , for every  $\tilde{x}$ ,  $|f_{\tilde{x}}^{(l_n)}| \geq \varepsilon$ .
- Use one-dimensional results for  $f_{\tilde{x}}$ .

We also bound

$$\left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{i\lambda f(x)} dx \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{(|\lambda|\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{l_n}}}.$$

High-level idea:

- Decompose  $x = (\tilde{x}, x_n)$  and  $f_{\tilde{x}}(x_n) = f(x)$ .
- On  $A$ , for every  $\tilde{x}$ ,  $|f_{\tilde{x}}^{(l_n)}| \geq \varepsilon$ .
- Use one-dimensional results for  $f_{\tilde{x}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} J(\lambda) &\leq \left| \int_A e^{if\lambda(x)} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{if\lambda(x)} dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(|\lambda|\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{ln}}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d-ln}}. \end{aligned}$$

Optimize over  $\varepsilon$  to get,

$$J(\lambda) \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d}}}.$$

## Question

Is the condition  $|v_l| \geq 1$  necessary?



$$\begin{aligned} J(\lambda) &\leq \left| \int_A e^{if\lambda(x)} dx \right| + \left| \int_{\bar{A}} e^{if\lambda(x)} dx \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(|\lambda|\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{ln}}} + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d-ln}}. \end{aligned}$$

Optimize over  $\varepsilon$  to get,

$$J(\lambda) \lesssim \frac{1}{|\lambda|^{\frac{1}{d}}}.$$

## Question

Is the condition  $|v_l| \geq 1$  necessary?

## Beyond products

Recall that if  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(B_p^n)$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|x\|_p^p$ ,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) = o(1).$$

However, in these cases we have,

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2] = \omega(1).$$

Can we get dimension-free estimates on  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( X^{\otimes d} \right) \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)^T \right]$ ,  
instead of  $\text{Cov} \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)$ ?

Is  $\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2]$  large, when  $\sum v_j^2 = 1$ ?

## Beyond products

Recall that if  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(B_p^n)$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|x\|_p^p$ ,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) = o(1).$$

However, in these cases we have,

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2] = \omega(1).$$

Can we get dimension-free estimates on  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( X^{\otimes d} \right) \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)^T \right]$ ,  
instead of  $\text{Cov} \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)$ ?

Is  $\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2]$  large, when  $\sum v_j^2 = 1$ ?

## Beyond products

Recall that if  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(B_p^n)$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|x\|_p^p$ ,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) = o(1).$$

However, in these cases we have,

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2] = \omega(1).$$

Can we get dimension-free estimates on  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( X^{\otimes d} \right) \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)^T \right]$ ,  
instead of  $\text{Cov} \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)$ ?

Is  $\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2]$  large, when  $\sum v_j^2 = 1$ ?

## Beyond products

Recall that if  $X \sim \text{Uniform}(B_p^n)$  and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \|x\|_p^p$ ,

$$\text{Var}(f(X)) = o(1).$$

However, in these cases we have,

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2] = \omega(1).$$

Can we get dimension-free estimates on  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \left( X^{\otimes d} \right) \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)^T \right]$ ,  
instead of  $\text{Cov} \left( X^{\otimes d} \right)$ ?

Is  $\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2]$  large, when  $\sum v_i^2 = 1$ ?

Let  $Y \sim \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\|x\|_p^p} dx$  and  $U \sim \text{Uniform}([0, 1])$ . Then

$$X = n^{\frac{1}{p}} U \frac{Z}{\|Z\|_p}.$$

Since  $Z$  is a product measure, for any homogeneous function,

$$\mathbb{E} [f(X)^2] \simeq n^{\frac{2d}{p}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{f(Z)^2}{\|Z\|_p^{2d}} \right] \gtrsim 1.$$

1. If  $X$  is uniform on the isotropic Euclidean ball, we identify all eigenvalues of  $\text{Cov}(X^{\otimes d})$ .
2. Eigenvectors are given by  $\|x\|_2^{2k} H_{d-2k}$ , where  $H_{d-2k}$  are degree  $d - 2k$  spherical harmonics.
3. If  $f(x) = \|x\|_2^2$ ,  $\text{Var}(f(X)) \simeq \frac{1}{n}$ .
4. If  $f$  is orthogonal to  $\|x\|_2^2$ ,  $\text{Var}(f(X)) = \Omega(1)$ .

*Thank You*