

ORBITAL INTEGRAL BOUNDS THE CHARACTER FOR CUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell((t)))$

AVRAHAM AIZENBUD, DMITRY GOUREVITCH, DAVID KAZHDAN,
AND EITAN SAYAG

ABSTRACT. We prove that the character of an irreducible cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell((t)))$ is locally bounded up to a logarithmic factor by the orbital integral of a matrix coefficient of this representation.

The characteristic 0 analog of this result is part of the proof of the celebrated Harish-Chandra's integrability theorem.

In a sequel work [AGKS] we use this result in order to prove a positive characteristic analog of Harish-Chandra's integrability theorem under some additional assumptions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Orbital integrals	2
1.2. Main results	2
1.3. Background and motivation	3
1.4. Idea of the proof	3
1.5. Comparison to the characteristic zero case	6
1.6. The Lie algebra case	7
1.7. Structure of the paper	7
1.8. Acknowledgments	8
2. Conventions and Notation	8
2.1. Conventions	8
2.2. Notations	9
3. Weak convergence of the averaging to the character - Proof of Theorem 1.4.2	10
4. Norms	11
5. Some bounds on norms	13
5.1. Statements and ideas of proof	13
5.2. Proof of Lemma 5.1.1	14
5.3. Bounds on roots of polynomials	15
5.4. Norms on S^{el}	16
5.5. Norms on $S^{\mathrm{el}, \times}$	19
5.6. Norms on S^\times	20

Date: February 17, 2026.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14L99, 20G25, 28C15, 28C05.

Key words and phrases. orbital integral, matrix coefficient, character, general linear group, reductive group, Harish-Chandra's integrability, positive characteristic.

5.7. Norms on Com^{rss} and the proof of Proposition 5.1.4	23
5.8. Proof of Lemma 5.1.2	24
6. Bound on averaging of a function - Proof of Theorem D	24
7. Application of norm bounds	27
8. A -cuspidal functions	28
8.1. Effective cuspidality	29
8.2. Conjugation of congruence subgroups	31
8.3. Vanishing of the integral on xK_iy	32
8.4. Proof of Theorem 8.0.3	34
9. Proof of Theorems C and B	35
10. Lie algebra versions of the main results	37
Index	37
References	39

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper we fix a non-Archimedean local field \mathbf{F} of arbitrary characteristic. Denote by ℓ the size of the residue field of \mathbf{F} . All the algebraic varieties and algebraic groups that we will consider are defined over \mathbf{F} . We will also fix a natural number n and set $\mathbf{G} = (\mathrm{GL}_n)_{\mathbf{F}}$. Denote $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{F})$.

We will denote by $\mathcal{C}^{-\infty}(G)$ the space of generalized functions on G , *i.e.* functionals on the space of smooth compactly supported measures.

1.1. Orbital integrals. Our main result involves the notion of the orbital integral of a function on G . Let us first define this notion:

Let G^{rss} be the collection of regular semisimple elements in G .

- Denote by $\mu_{\mathbf{G}}$ the Haar measure on G normalized such that the measure of a maximal compact subgroup in G is 1.
- For $x \in G^{rss}$ denote by μ_{G_x} the Haar measure on the torus G_x normalized such that the measure of the maximal compact subgroup of G_x is 1.
- For $x \in G^{rss}$ denote by $\mu_{G \cdot x}$ the $Ad(G)$ -invariant measure on the conjugacy class $G \cdot x := Ad(G) \cdot x$ that corresponds to the measures μ_G and μ_{G_x} under the identification $Ad(G) \cdot x \cong G/G_x$.
- Let $f \in C^\infty(G)$ have compact support modulo the center of G . Let $\Omega(f) : G^{rss} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the function defined by $\Omega(f)(x) = \int f|_{G \cdot x} \mu_{G \cdot x}$.

1.2. Main results. For an irreducible representation ρ of G we denote by χ_{ρ} its character, which is a generalized function on G . Our main result consists of a bound on this character in terms of the orbital integral of a function on G . In order to formulate the bound we need some notation:

- For $x \in G^{rss}$ denote by $\Delta(x)$ the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of x .
- For $x \in G$ let $ov_{\mathbf{G}}(x) := \max(\max_{i,j}(-\mathrm{val}(x_{ij})), \mathrm{val}(\det(x)))$ where x_{ij} are the entries of x .

- For $x \in G^{rss}$ let $ov_{G^{rss}}(x) = \max(ov_G(x), \text{val}(\Delta(x)))$.

Theorem A (§1.4). *Let ρ be a cuspidal irreducible representation of G . Let m be a matrix coefficient of ρ $m(1) \neq 0$. Then there exists a polynomial $\alpha^{\rho, m} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for every $\eta \in C_c^\infty(G)$ we have*

$$|\langle \chi_\rho, \eta \cdot \mu_G \rangle| < \langle f \cdot \Omega(|m|), (|\eta| \cdot \mu_G)|_{G^{rss}} \rangle,$$

where $f \in C^\infty(G^{rss})$ is defined by $f(g) = \alpha^{\rho, m}(ov_{G^{rss}}(g))$.

Remark. *A priori, the right hand side of the above inequality can be infinity. We interpret the statement in that case as void.*

1.3. Background and motivation. When the characteristic of F is zero, Theorem A is proven in [HC70, page 102]¹. This is an important step in the proof of Harish-Chandra's integrability theorem: “*The character of an irreducible cuspidal representation of a p -adic reductive group is given by a locally integrable function*”, [HC70]. The proof in [HC70, page 102], as well as our proof of Theorem A, is based on the fact that averaging of cuspidal functions on G is bounded (up to a logarithmic factor) by their orbital integral. See Theorem B below.

This fact (in characteristic 0) is also an important step in the proof of Harish-Chandra's integrability theorem for general (not necessarily cuspidal) irreducible representations.

In a sequel work [AGKS] we use Theorem A in order to prove an analog of Harish-Chandra's integrability theorem for cuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell((t)))$ under some additional assumptions.

1.4. Idea of the proof. In our argument we will use the following language.

Several statements in this paper will concern the existence of certain polynomials in $\mathbb{N}[t]$ that satisfy some conditions. In the formulation of each such statement we assign a name for the corresponding polynomial. It is implied that after each such statement we fix such a polynomial and we will refer to it later by this name. Nothing significant will depend on the choices of these polynomials.

We note that in many of the statements one can actually choose this polynomial to be a linear function, but this is not essential to our argument.

Following [HC70] our proof can be divided into 2 steps:

- (1) The character of ρ is, up to a scalar, the (weak) limit of the sequence of functions $\mathcal{A}_i(m)$, where m is a matrix coefficient of ρ , and $\mathcal{A}_i(m)$ is the averaging of m w.r.t. a ball G_i in G . See Theorem 1.4.2 below.
- (2) Given $x \in G^{rss}$ one can bound all $\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)$ in terms of $ov_{G^{rss}}(x)$ and $\Omega(m)(x)$, uniformly in i .

We now provide a formal description of these ingredients. In order to formulate the first ingredient, let us define the notion of averaging:

Definition 1.4.1. Denote

¹This is an immediate corollary of the 3rd displayed formula in [HC70, page 102] together with the first equality in (ii) in that page.

- $Z(G)$ to be the center of G .
- $G^{ad} := G/Z(G)$.
- $G_i := \{x \in G \mid ov_G(x) \leq i\}$.
- $(G^{ad})_i$ to be the image of G_i under the map $G \rightarrow G^{ad}$.
- $\mu_{Z(G)}$ to be the Haar measure on $Z(G)$ normalized such that the measure of the maximal compact subgroup of $Z(G)$ is 1.
- $\mu_{G^{ad}}$ to be the Haar measure on G^{ad} corresponding to μ_G and $\mu_{Z(G)}$.
- For a function $f \in C^\infty(G)$, denote its averaging $\mathcal{A}_i(f) \in C^\infty(G)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_i(f)(x) := \int_{(G^{ad})_i} f(Ad(g)x) dg,$$

where dg is the Haar measure $\mu_{G^{ad}}$.

Let us recall the notion of matrix coefficient of a representation (ρ, V_ρ) . For a pair $v \in V_\rho, \varphi \in \widetilde{V}_\rho$, the corresponding matrix coefficient is a smooth function on G defined by $m_{v,\varphi}(g) = \varphi(\rho(g)v)$.

We can now formulate the formula for the character of a cuspidal representation:

Theorem 1.4.2 (§3, cf. [HC70, Theorem 9]). *Let (ρ, V_ρ) be an irreducible cuspidal representation of G . Then there exists a positive number $d(\rho)$ such that for every matrix coefficient m of ρ we have $\mathcal{A}_i(m) \xrightarrow[i \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{m(1)}{d(\rho)} \chi_\rho$, where the convergence is in the weak topology on $C^{-\infty}(G)$.*

In fact, $d(\rho)$ is the formal dimension of ρ (see [HC70, Theorem 1]).

The proof of Theorem 1.4.2 in [HC70] is valid in arbitrary characteristic. However, the result is not formulated there in this language. For completeness we include the proof of Theorem 1.4.2 in §3 below.

In order to formulate the second ingredient we need the notion of a cuspidal function on G :

Definition 1.4.3. *Let $f \in C^\infty(G)$.*

- We say that f is *cuspidal* if for any unipotent radical U of a proper parabolic subgroup of G and any $x \in G$ the function $h : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $h(u) := f(ux)$ is compactly supported and

$$\int h \mu_U = 0,$$

where μ_U is a Haar measure on U .

- We denote the collection of cuspidal functions by $C^\infty(G)^{cusp}$.

Now we can formulate the second ingredient:

Theorem B (§9). *For any $m \in C^\infty(G)^{cusp}$ which has compact support modulo the center, there exists a polynomial $\alpha^m \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any $x \in G^{rss}$ we have*

$$|\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)| \leq \alpha^m(ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) \Omega(|m|)(x).$$

Theorem A follows now from **Theorem B** and **Theorem 1.4.2** using the standard fact that a matrix coefficient of a cuspidal representation is cuspidal.

1.4.1. *Idea of the proof of Theorem B.* The proof of **Theorem B** is based on the following 2 ingredients:

- (1) For any given $x \in G^{rss}$, the sequence $\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)$ stabilizes. Moreover, there is an effective way to bound the time needed to achieve saturation in terms of $ov_{G^{rss}}(x)$.
- (2) One can bound $\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)$ in terms of $ov_{G^{rss}}(x)$, i and $\Omega(m)(x)$.

Both ingredients involve uniform work on $x \in G^{rss}$. We use the theory of norms developed in [Kot05] in order to work uniformly on algebraic varieties. Then we prove some bounds on these norms on several algebraic varieties related to G^{rss} – see §5.

Let us now describe these ingredients in more details. The first one is the following stabilization result:

Theorem C (§9). *For any $m \in C^\infty(G)^{cusp}$ which has compact support modulo the center, there exists a polynomial $\alpha_{ad-stab}^m \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for every $x \in G^{rss}$ and every $i > i_0 := \alpha_{ad-stab}^m(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))$ we have*

$$\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x) = \mathcal{A}_{i_0}(m)(x).$$

Sections 7-9 are dedicated to the proof of this theorem. The proof itself is in §9. Let us briefly explain the idea of the proof.

- (1) For any x in G we consider the adjoint action map $\phi_x : G^{ad} \rightarrow G$ defined by $\phi_x([g]) = gxg^{-1}$. Here g is a representative in G of a class $[g] \in G^{ad}$.

We study the averaging of m w.r.t. the adjoint action using the averaging of $\phi_x^*(m)$ w.r.t. the multiplication action.

- (2) Note that while m is a cuspidal function (i.e. its integrals over cosets of unipotent radicals of proper parabolic subgroups of G vanish), the function $\phi_x^*(m)$ is not cuspidal. However, it turns out that some of the cuspidality survives. Namely, we show, in **Lemma 9.0.2** below, that if $M < G$ is a Levi subgroup and $x \in M \cap G^{rss}$ then $\phi_x^*(m)$ is cuspidal w.r.t. parabolic subgroups corresponding to elements of the center A of M . We call this property A -cuspidality, see **Definition 8.0.2** below. Moreover, if x is elliptic in M then $\phi_x^*(m)$ has compact support modulo A .
- (3) We prove a version of **Theorem C** for A -cuspidal functions with compact support modulo A , where the averaging is taken w.r.t. the multiplication action. See **Theorem 8.0.3** below. In view of the previous step this is already enough in order to give the stabilization for each x separately. However, we need a more uniform result.
- (4) For elliptic $x \in M$ which is also an element of G^{rss} , we bound the support of $\phi_x^*(m)$ modulo- A in terms of the support of m . See

[Lemma 7.0.3](#) below. The proof of this lemma is based on the results of [§5](#) and it is essentially different from the proof in the zero characteristic case.

- (5) The steps above give us [Theorem C](#) for the collection of all the elliptic elements in all the standard Levi subgroups of G , which are regular semi-simple in G .
- (6) In order to complete the proof we use the theory of Norm Descent Property developed in [\[Kot05\]](#). We prove the Norm Descent Property of a certain map (see [Lemma 5.1.2](#) below). This allows us to enhance the results above to obtain uniformity on G^{rss} .

The second ingredient in the proof of [Theorem B](#) is the following:

Theorem D ([§6](#)). *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{av} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any*

- $m \in C^\infty(G)$ which has compact support modulo the center
- $x \in G^{rss}$
- $i \in \mathbb{N}$

we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)| \leq \alpha_{av}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x))\Omega(|m|)(x).$$

We prove this theorem using the results of [§5](#).

1.5. Comparison to the characteristic zero case. Our proof of [Theorem B](#) is similar to the original Harish-Chandra's argument in the characteristic 0 case with the following essential difference: in the characteristic zero case, one can work for each torus separately, since there are finitely many tori up to conjugation. This is not the case in positive characteristic. Therefore, we need to give more uniform bounds. For this we use the theory of norms developed in [\[Kot05\]](#) and prove uniform bounds on certain norms, see [§5](#).

In more details, in the characteristic zero case, one can replace Theorems [C](#) and [D](#) with the following less uniform versions:

Theorem 1.5.1 (cf. [\[HC70, page 101\]](#)²). *Let $m \in C^\infty(G)^{cusp}$ be a cuspidal function which has compact support modulo the center. Let $\Gamma < G$ be a maximal (not necessarily split) torus. Then there exists a polynomial $\alpha_{ad-stab}^{m,\Gamma} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for every:*

- $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap G^{rss}$
- $y \in G$
- $i > i_0 := \alpha_{ad-stab}^{m,\Gamma}(ov_{\Gamma \cap G^{rss}}(\gamma) + ov_G(y))$

we have

$$\mathcal{A}_i(m)(y\gamma y^{-1}) = \mathcal{A}_{i_0}(m)(y\gamma y^{-1})$$

Theorem 1.5.2 (cf [\[HC70, 2nd displayed formula in page 102\]](#)). *Let $\Gamma < G$ be a maximal torus. Then there exists a polynomial $\alpha_{av}^\Gamma \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any*

²the first display formula of page [\[HC70, page 101\]](#) gives a slightly weaker statement, but the proof of this formula in fact gives the theorem.

- $m \in C^\infty(G)$ which has compact support modulo the center
- $\gamma \in \Gamma \cap G^{rss}$
- $y \in G$
- $i \in \mathbb{N}$

we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_i(m)(y\gamma y^{-1})| \leq \alpha_{av}^\Gamma(i + ov_{\Gamma \cap G^{rss}}(\gamma) + ov(y))\Omega(|m|)(y\gamma y^{-1}).$$

Harish-Chandra's proof of these 2 theorems works (with minor changes) for the positive characteristic case. However, while in the characteristic zero case these 2 theorems imply Theorems C and D, this is no longer true in positive characteristic. The reason is that, in this case, there are infinitely many conjugacy classes of tori.

Therefore we use a different argument. Our argument is based on [Kot05], §5, and ideas of the original Harish-Chandra's argument.

1.5.1. *The role of the assumption $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$.* We used the assumption $\mathbf{G} = \mathrm{GL}_n$ in order to make all explicit computations easier. However, our argument does not use any statement that inherently depend on this assumption (such as existence of mirabolic subgroup, stability of adjoint orbits, or the Richardson property of all nilpotent orbits).

1.6. **The Lie algebra case.** Harish-Chandra used the characteristic 0 case of Theorem A not only to prove integrability of characters of cuspidal representations, but also to prove integrability of characters of general irreducible representations, see [HC99]. More precisely, he used a Lie algebra version of this theorem. In positive characteristic, like in characteristic 0, the proof of Theorem A also fits its Lie algebra version. Specifically one can prove the following:

Theorem A' (§10). *Let $x \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$ be an elliptic (regular semi-simple) element. Let μ be an $\mathrm{ad}(G)$ -invariant measure on $\mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$ supported in $\mathrm{ad}(G)x$. Let $\hat{\mu} \in C^{-\infty}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ be its Fourier transform.*

Then there exists a polynomial $\alpha^\mu \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for every compact open $B \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n(F)$ there exists $m \in C_c^\infty(\mathfrak{gl}_n(F))$ such that for every $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B)$ we have

$$|\langle \hat{\mu}, \eta \cdot \mu_{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle| < \langle f \cdot \Omega(m), (|\eta| \cdot \mu_{\mathfrak{g}})|_{\mathfrak{g}^{rss}} \rangle,$$

where $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^{rss})$ is defined by $f(x) = \alpha^\mu(ov_{\mathfrak{g}^{rss}}(x))$, and $ov_{\mathfrak{g}^{rss}}$ is defined analogously to $ov_{G^{rss}}$.

In §10 we explain how to adapt the proof of Theorem A in order to prove Theorem A'. We also formulate there a Lie algebra version of Theorem B.

1.7. **Structure of the paper.** In §2 we fix some conventions and notation.

In §3 we prove Theorem 1.4.2.

In §4 we give an overview of the theory of norms on algebraic varieties over local fields developed in [Kot05, §18]. In particular we recall the notion of norms and of the Norm Descent Property (NDP) of algebraic maps.

In §5, we establish results showing that a certain function defines a norm, and establish the NDP properties of certain maps (see Lemmas 5.1.1, 5.1.2 and Proposition 5.1.4). This preparation is needed in order to make our bounds more effective and thus torus independent.

In §6 we prove Theorem D.

In §7 we adapt the results of §5 to fit the needs of Theorem C.

In §8 we discuss the notion of A -cuspidal function where A is the center of a standard Levi-subgroup of G . This is “what survives” from cuspidality when we pull a cuspidal function w.r.t. the adjoint action. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 8.0.3 which is an analog of Theorem C for A -cuspidal functions.

In §9 we prove Theorems C and Theorem B.

In §10 we discuss the Lie algebra version of the main results. In particular we prove Theorem A', and formulate and prove Theorem B', which is a Lie algebra version of Theorem B.

1.8. Acknowledgments. During the preparation of this paper, A.A., D.G. and E.S. were partially supported by the ISF grant no. 1781/23. D.K. was partially supported by an ERC grant 101142781.

2. CONVENTIONS AND NOTATION

2.1. Conventions.

- (1) By a **variety** we mean a reduced scheme of finite type over F .
- (2) When we consider a fiber product of varieties, we always consider it in the category of schemes. We use set-theoretical notations to define subschemes, whenever no ambiguity is possible.
- (3) We will usually denote algebraic varieties by bold face letters (such as \mathbf{X}) and the spaces of their F -points by the corresponding usual face letters (such as $X := \mathbf{X}(F)$). We use the same conventions when we want to interpret vector spaces as algebraic varieties.
- (4) We will use the same letter to denote a morphism between algebraic varieties and the corresponding map between the sets of their F -points.
- (5) We will use the symbol \square in a middle of a square diagram in order to indicate that the square is Cartesian.
- (6) By an **F -analytic manifold** we mean an analytic manifold over F in the sense of [Ser92].
- (7) As we are proving a theorem for GL_n , many of the objects that we consider depend on the parameter n . Since we fixed n , our notations will usually not include n . However, if we want to consider a certain object for different values of n , we will put these values as left super scripts. For example ${}^k\mathbf{G} := \mathrm{GL}_k$ and other uses of left-super-index such as ${}^k\mathbf{C}, {}^k\mathbf{S}$.

(8) If the left superscript is a tuple of natural numbers then we refer to the product of the corresponding objects. For example

$$^{(k_1, k_2)}\mathbf{G} := {}^{k_1}\mathbf{G} \times {}^{k_2}\mathbf{G} := \mathrm{GL}_{k_1} \times \mathrm{GL}_{k_2}$$

(9) A **standard Levi subgroup** of G (resp. \mathbf{G}) is a subgroup consisting of block diagonal matrices in G (resp. \mathbf{G}) with respect to a certain block partition.

(10) A **standard torus** of G (resp. \mathbf{G}) is the center of a standard Levi subgroup of G (resp. \mathbf{G}).

(11) When no ambiguity is possible we will denote the adjoint action simply by “ \cdot ”.

(12) We will use the symbol $<$ to denote the (not necessarily proper) containment relation for groups.

2.2. Notations.

We denote by:

- (1) $\mathbf{T} < \mathbf{G}$ the maximal standard torus.
- (2) $T := \mathbf{T}(F)$.
- (3) For a composition λ of n denote by \mathbf{T}_λ the standard torus corresponding to this composition. Denote also $T_\lambda := \mathbf{T}_\lambda(F)$.
- (4) For a group (or an algebraic group) H we denote by $Z(H)$ the center of H .
- (5) O_F the ring of integers in F .
- (6) $K_0 := \mathbf{G}(O_F) < G$ with respect to the standard O_F -structure on \mathbf{G} .
- (7) $K_i < K_0$ the i -th congruence subgroup.
- (8) $K_i^{\mathrm{ad}} = K_i/Z(K_i)$.
- (9) $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ad}} := \mathbf{G}/Z(\mathbf{G})$. Note that $G^{\mathrm{ad}} \not\leq \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{ad}}(F)$.
- (10) \mathbf{C} – the variety of monic polynomials of degree n that do not vanish at 0. We will identify it with $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^{n-1}$. We equip \mathbf{C} with a group structure using this identification.
- (11) $C := \mathbf{C}(F)$.
- (12) $p : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ – the Chevalley map, i.e. the map that sends a matrix to its characteristic polynomial.
- (13) μ_C – the Haar measure on C , given by the identification $C \cong F^\times \times F^{n-1}$, normalized on the maximal compact subgroup of C .
- (14) μ_C the measure on C corresponding to the standard Haar measure on $F^\times \times F^{n-1}$ under the standard identification $C \cong F^\times \times F^{n-1}$.
- (15) Δ the discriminant considered as a regular function on \mathbf{G} .
- (16) $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{rss}} \subset \mathbf{G}$ the non-vanishing locus of Δ . This is the locus of regular-semi-simple elements.
- (17) $G^{\mathrm{rss}} := \mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{rss}}(F)$.
- (18) \mathbf{G}^{el} the collection of elliptic elements in G . i.e. matrices whose characteristic polynomial is separable and irreducible.
- (19) For a standard Levi subgroup $M < G$ we denote by M^{el} the collection of elliptic elements in M , i.e. block matrices with each of the blocks being elliptic.
- (20) $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{rss}}$ and $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{rss}}$ the images (under p) of $\mathbf{G}^{\mathrm{rss}}$ and G^{rss} in \mathbf{C} and C .

- (21) $p^{rss} : \mathbf{G}^{rss} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{rss}$ the restriction of p .
- (22) Δ_C the discriminant considered as a function on \mathbf{C} .
- (23) \mathbf{C}^{el} the image (under p) of G^{el} in C .
- (24) Similarly \mathbf{C}^{rss} (resp. C^{rss}) is identified with the collection of all separable polynomials in \mathbf{C} (resp. C), and C^{el} with the collection of all irreducible polynomials in C^{rss} .

3. WEAK CONVERGENCE OF THE AVERAGING TO THE CHARACTER - PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4.2

Set $d(\rho)$ to be the formal dimension of ρ , as defined in [HC70, Theorem 1] taken w.r.t. the Haar measure $\mu_{G^{ad}}$. Let $m = m_{v,\varphi}$ be a matrix coefficient of ρ .

Case 1. ρ is unitarizable.

Let (\cdot, \cdot) be the inner product on V_ρ . Choose $u \in V_\rho$ such that $\varphi(\cdot) = (\cdot, u)$. Then $m(y) = (\rho(y)v, u)$.

We will show that for any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ we have

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_i(m), f \mu_G \rangle \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(1)}{d(\rho)} \langle \chi_\rho, f \mu_G \rangle$$

Notice that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_i(m), f \mu_G \rangle = \int_G f(x) \left(\int_{(G^{ad})_i} m(gxg^{-1}) dg \right) dx,$$

where dg is the measure $\mu_{G^{ad}}$. As $(G^{ad})_i$ is compact we can interchange the order of iterated integration:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}_i(m), f \mu_G \rangle &= \int_{G_i^{ad}} \left(\int_G f(x) m(gxg^{-1}) dx \right) dg \\ &= \int_{G_i^{ad}} \left(\int_G f(x) (\rho(gxg^{-1})v, u) dx \right) dg \end{aligned}$$

By [HC70, Theorem 9]:

$$(v, u) \langle \chi_\rho, f \mu_G \rangle = d(\rho) \int_{G^{ad}} \left(\int_G f(x) (\rho(g^{-1}xg)v, u) dx \right) dg$$

The result follows.

Case 2. The general case.

Let w_ρ be the central character of ρ . we can write $w_\rho = w_1 w_2$ where w_1 is a unitary character of $Z(G)$ and $w_2 := |w_\rho|$ is a character of $Z(G)$ that can be extended to a character w' of G . Let $\rho_1 = (w')^{-1} \rho$. It is easy to see that ρ_1 is unitarizable. The assertion follows now from the previous case.

Remark 3.0.1. We use [HC70, Theorems 1 and 9] in the proof. Formally, [HC70] assumes characteristic zero, but the proofs of these results do not depend on this assumption.

4. NORMS

In this section, we recall basic parts of the theory of norms developed in [Kot05, §18]. We will use the following notions from [Kot05, §18].

- (1) An **abstract norm** on a set Z is a real-valued function $\|\cdot\|_Z$ on Z such that $\|x\|_Z \geq 1$ for all $x \in Z$.
- (2) For two abstract norms $\|\cdot\|_Z^1, \|\cdot\|_Z^2$ on Z we say that $\|x\|_Z^1 \prec \|x\|_Z^2$ if there is a constant $c > 1$ such that $\|x\|_Z^1 < c(\|x\|_Z^2)^c$.
- (3) We say that two abstract norms $\|\cdot\|_Z^1, \|\cdot\|_Z^2$ on Z are equivalent if $\|x\|_Z^1 \prec \|x\|_Z^2 \prec \|x\|_Z^1$. We denote this as

$$\|\cdot\|_Z^1 \sim \|\cdot\|_Z^2.$$

- (4) Let \mathbf{M} be an algebraic variety. In [Kot05, §18] there is a definition of a canonical equivalence class of abstract norms in $M := \mathbf{M}(F)$. The abstract norms in this class are called norms on M .
- (5) For a map $\phi : Z_1 \rightarrow Z_2$ between sets and abstract norms $\|\cdot\|_{Z_1}, \|\cdot\|_{Z_2}$ on these sets, [Kot05, §18] defines norms $\phi_*(\|\cdot\|_{Z_1})$ and $\phi^*(\|\cdot\|_{Z_2})$ on $Im(\phi)$ and Z_1 correspondingly by $\phi_*(\|\cdot\|_{Z_1})(z) := \inf_{y \in \phi^{-1}(z)} \|y\|_{Z_1}$, and $\phi^*(\|\cdot\|_{Z_2})(y) := \|\phi(y)\|_{Z_2}$.
- (6) We say that a map $\phi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ of algebraic varieties satisfies the **Norm Descent Property** (in short **NDP**) if for any two norms $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_N$ on $M := \mathbf{M}(F)$ and $N := \mathbf{N}(F)$ we have

$$(\|\cdot\|_N)|_{\phi(M)} \sim \phi_*(\|\cdot\|_M).$$

Notation 4.0.1. As a rule, we will put the domain of definition of a norm in a subscript in the notation for that norm. Given an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ on a set X , we denote:

- (1) by $ov_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the map given by $ov_X(x) = \log_\ell(\|x\|_X)$.
- (2) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X_i := \{x \in X \mid ov_X(x) \leq i\}$. Note that this notation might be ambiguous with the notation K_i , but we will not consider norms on K so that there is no actual ambiguity.

If we consider more than one norm on the same set X , we will distinguish between them and the related notation ov_X and X_i using super-scripts.

Notation 4.0.2. For two sets Z_1, Z_2 , and abstract norms $\|\cdot\|_{Z_1}, \|\cdot\|_{Z_2}$ on them we define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|_{Z_1} \times \|\cdot\|_{Z_2} := \|\cdot\|_{Z_1 \times Z_2}$, where

$$\|(a, b)\|_{Z_1 \times Z_2} := \max(\|a\|_{Z_1}, \|b\|_{Z_2}).$$

We will also use the following facts from [Kot05, §18].

Lemma 4.0.3 ([Kot05, Proposition 18.1(1)]). Given a morphism of algebraic varieties $\phi : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ and norms $\|\cdot\|_M$ on $M := \mathbf{M}(F)$ and $\|\cdot\|_N$ on $N := \mathbf{N}(F)$, we have

- (1) $\phi^*(\|\cdot\|_N) \prec \|\cdot\|_M$.
- (2) If ϕ is a finite map then $\phi^*(\|\cdot\|_N) \sim \|\cdot\|_M$.

Corollary 4.0.4. Finite maps satisfy the NDP.

Lemma 4.0.5 (cf. [Kot05, 18.4]). *Consider morphisms*

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{M}_2 \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{M}_3$$

of algebraic varieties. Assume that the map $f : \mathbf{M}_1(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_2(F)$ is surjective. Then:

- (1) *If f and g satisfy the norm descent property, then so does $g \circ f$.*
- (2) *If $g \circ f$ satisfies the norm descent property, then so does g .*

Lemma 4.0.6 ([Kot05, Theorem 18.2]). *Let $\gamma : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ be a morphism of algebraic varieties. For an open subset $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{N}$, write $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ for the morphism $\gamma^{-1}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ obtained by restriction from γ .*

- (1) *The norm descent property for $\gamma : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ is local with respect to the Zariski topology on \mathbf{N} . In other words, for any cover of \mathbf{N} by affine open subsets, the morphism γ has the norm descent property if and only if the morphisms $\gamma_{\mathbf{U}}$ have the norm descent property for every member \mathbf{U} of the open cover.*
- (2) *If the morphism $\gamma : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ admits a section, then γ has the norm descent property. More generally, if $\gamma : \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ admits sections locally in the Zariski topology on \mathbf{N} , then γ has the norm descent property.*

Lemma 4.0.7 (cf. [Kot05, Lemma 18.9]). *For any torus $\mathbf{S} < \mathbf{G}$, the map $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{S}$ has the NDP.*

The following two lemmas are straightforward:

Lemma 4.0.8. *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{M}_2 \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{M}_3 & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbf{M}_4 \end{array}$$

be a Cartesian square of algebraic varieties. If g has NDP then so does f .

Lemma 4.0.9. *Consider morphisms*

$$\mathbf{M}_1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{M}_2 \xrightarrow{g} \mathbf{M}_3$$

of algebraic varieties. Assume that the map $g : \mathbf{M}_2(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_3(F)$ is injective. If f and g satisfy the NDP, then so does $g \circ f$.

We fix the following norms:

- (1) $\|x\|_F = \max(|x|, 1)$
- (2) $\|\cdot\|_{F^k} := \|\cdot\|_F \times \cdots \times \|\cdot\|_F$. We will use this notation for affine spaces that are equipped with standard basis.
- (3) $\|g\|_G = \max\{\|g\|_{\mathfrak{g}}, \|\det g\|_F^{-1}\}$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n(F) = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(F)$.
- (4) $\|f\|_C = \max(\|f\|_{\mathfrak{c}}, \|f(0)^{-1}\|)$, where \mathfrak{c} is the space of monic polynomials of degree n with coefficients in F .
- (5) $\|\cdot\|_{G^{ad}} := ad_*(\|\cdot\|_G)$ where $ad : G \rightarrow G^{ad}$ is the quotient map.
- (6) $\|g\|_{G^{rss}} = \max(\|g\|_G, |\Delta(g)^{-1}|)$.

- (7) $\|f\|_{C^{rss}} := \max(\|f\|_C, \|\Delta(f)^{-1}\|).$
- (8) For any standard torus $A < T$ set $\|\cdot\|_{G/A} := pr_*(\|\cdot\|_G)$ where $pr : G \rightarrow G/A$ is the projection.
- (9) For any other variety \mathbf{V} we choose a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{V}}$ on $V := \mathbf{V}(F)$.

5. SOME BOUNDS ON NORMS

The role of this section is to provide bounds on norms, that enable us to make Harish-Chandra's bounds uniform on the entire group.

5.1. Statements and ideas of proof. In this subsection we formulate some norm bounds - Lemmas 5.1.1 and 5.1.2, and Proposition 5.1.4. These will be important for the proof of Theorem B.

Lemma 5.1.1. *The map $\phi : \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}^{rss} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{rss} \times \mathbf{G}^{rss}$ given by $\phi(g, h) = (g^{-1}hg, h)$ has the NDP.*

We will prove this lemma in §5.2. The proof is based on the notion of companion matrix.

Lemma 5.1.2. *Let $\mathbf{M} < \mathbf{G}$ be a standard Levi. Then the adjoint action map $\mathbf{G} \times (\mathbf{M} \cap \mathbf{G}^{rss}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{rss}$ has NDP.*

We will prove this lemma in §5.8. The proof is based on the previous lemma and the NDP property of products of polynomials (Lemma 5.6.6).

Notation 5.1.3.

- Define $\mathbf{Com}^{rss} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{G}^{rss} \times \mathbf{G} \mid xy = yx\}$. Note that it is reduced (in fact even smooth), so we consider it as a variety.
- For any $x \in G^{rss}$, define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|'_{G_x}$ in the following way. Let $\prod p_i$ be the decomposition of the characteristic polynomial of x into irreducible monic polynomials. Identify G_x with $\prod E_i^\times$, where $E_i = F[t]/p_i$. Let $\|\cdot\|'_{E_i^\times} = \max(|\cdot|_{E_i}, |\cdot|_{E_i}^{-1})$. Using the identification above, define

$$(5.1) \quad \|\cdot\|'_{G_x} := \|\cdot\|'_{E_1^\times} \times \cdots \times \|\cdot\|'_{E_k^\times}$$

- Define $\|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}}^R$ by

$$(5.2) \quad \|(x, y)\|_{Com^{rss}}^R := \|y\|'_{G_x}$$

- Define $\|\cdot\|'_{Com^{rss}}$ by

$$(5.3) \quad \|\cdot\|'_{Com^{rss}} := \max(pr^* \|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}}, \|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}}^R),$$

where $pr : Com^{rss} \rightarrow G^{rss}$ is the projection on the first coordinate.

Proposition 5.1.4. $\|\cdot\|'_{Com^{rss}}$ is a norm.

Subsections 5.3-5.7 are devoted to the proof of this proposition, the proof itself is in §5.7. Let us first summarize the idea of the proof. The intuitive meaning of the proposition is that given an element $x \in G^{rss}$ and an element y in its centralizer, we can bound (from above and below) the value $\|y\|'_{G_x}$

in terms of the norm of the pair $(x, y) \in \text{Com}^{rss}$. The value $\|y\|'_{G_x}$ can be interpreted as the “distance” of y from the maximal compact subgroup of the centralizer of x .

Step 1. Consider the set $S^{el} := C^{el} \times P_{n-1}$ where P_{n-1} is the collection of polynomials of degree $\leq n-1$. For each $f \in C^{el}$ we can identify P_{n-1} with the field extension $F[t]/f$. This gives us an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|'_{S^{el}}$ on S^{el} analogous to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Com}^{rss}}$, see [Notation 5.4.1 \(5-8\)](#) below. We prove that this abstract norm is equivalent to the restriction of the chosen norm on $S := C^{rss} \times P_{n-1}$. This step is performed in [Lemma 5.4.2](#).

The intuitive meaning of this lemma is that given a monic irreducible polynomial f and a polynomial g we can bound the norm of g considered as an element in the field $F[t]/f$ in terms of the norm of the pair $(f, g) \in S$.

The proof of this lemma is based on an inductive argument and on a bound on the minimal distance between roots of a polynomial $f \in C^{rss}$ in terms of its norm in C^{rss} , that is given in [Corollary 5.3.5](#) below.

Step 2. We consider a subset $S^\times \subset S$ consisting of pairs of co-prime polynomials. We also consider the set $S^{el, \times} := S^\times \cap S^{el}$. We define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|'_{S^{el, \times}}$ analogously to $\|\cdot\|'_{S^{el}}$ (see [Notation 5.5.1](#) below) and prove that it is equivalent to the restriction of the chosen norm on S^\times . This step is performed in [Lemma 5.5.2](#).

Step 3. Analogously we construct an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|'_{S^\times}$ (see [Notation 5.5.1](#) below) and prove that it is a norm. This step is performed in [Lemma 5.6.1](#).

Step 4. We deduce the proposition. The relation between the previous step and this proposition is given by a map $\theta : \text{Com}^{rss} \rightarrow S^\times$ defined by $\theta(x, y) = (p(x), g)$ where g is a polynomial such that $y = g(x)$. This step is performed in [§5.7](#).

5.2. Proof of Lemma 5.1.1. For the proof we will need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.2.1. *Let \mathbf{V} be a vector space and \mathbf{M} be an affine variety. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{V})$ be a regular function. Assume that for any $x \in \mathbf{M}(\bar{F})$ the function $f|_{x \times \mathbf{V}(\bar{F})}$ is not identically 0. Then the collection*

$$\{\mathbf{M}^v := \{x | f(x, v) \neq 0\} | v \in \mathbf{V}(\bar{F})\}$$

covers \mathbf{M} .

Proof. Follows from the fact that $\mathbf{V}(\bar{F})$ is Zariski dense in \mathbf{V} . \square

Proof of Lemma 5.1.1. Let $c : C \rightarrow G$ be the map defined by mapping a polynomial to its companion matrix. Let $a : G \times C^{rss} \rightarrow G^{rss}$ be the map defined by $a(g, f) := gc(f)g^{-1}$.

Step 1. a is onto on the level of F -points, and has the norm descent property.

By [Lemma 4.0.6](#), it is enough to construct a section of a , locally in the Zariski topology. For any $v \in F^n$, we say that $A \in G$ is v -regular if and only if the matrix $[v, A(v), \dots, A^{n-1}(v)]$ whose columns are $v, A(v), \dots, A^{n-1}(v)$ is invertible.

We denote the collection of v -regular matrices by $\mathbf{G}^{r,v}$.

Define $\nu_v : \mathbf{G}^{r,v} \cap \mathbf{G}^{rss} \rightarrow \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{C}^{rss}$ by

$$\nu_v(A) := ([v, A(v), \dots, A^{n-1}(v)], p(A))$$

It is easy to see that ν_v is a section for a . Also, by [Lemma 5.2.1](#) the collection

$$\{\mathbf{G}^{r,v} \cap \mathbf{G}^{rss} \mid v \in F^n\}$$

covers \mathbf{G}^{rss} . So we are done by [Lemma 4.0.6](#).

Step 2. ϕ has the norm descent property.

Let us first explain the idea of the proof. Given two conjugated elements $x_1, x_2 \in G^{rss}$, we have to find an element $g \in G$ that conjugates x_1 to x_2 , with an effective bound on its norm. By the previous step, we can find elements g_1, g_2 such that g_i conjugates x_i to its companion matrix. Taking the ratio of g_i we get the required element g .

For the formal proof, consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{C}^{rss} \times \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{C}^{rss} & \xrightarrow{a \times a} & \mathbf{G}^{rss} \times \mathbf{G}^{rss} & \leftarrow & \\ \gamma \uparrow & & \square & & i \uparrow \\ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{C}^{rss} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} & \mathbf{G}^{rss} \times_{\mathbf{C}^{rss}} \mathbf{G}^{rss} & & \\ \downarrow Id_{\mathbf{G}} \times a & & & & \phi \\ \mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}^{rss} & & & & \end{array}$$

Here, γ is given by $\gamma(g_1, g_2, f) = (g_1, f, g_2, f)$ and \tilde{a} is defined by the Cartesian square.

By the previous step, the map $a \times a$ has NDP. Thus by [Lemma 4.0.8](#), the map \tilde{a} has NDP. Since i is a closed embedding, by [Lemma 4.0.9](#) this implies that $i \circ \tilde{a}$ has NDP. So $\phi \circ Id_{\mathbf{G}} \times a = i \circ \tilde{a}$ also has NDP. By the previous step $Id_{\mathbf{G}} \times a$ is surjective on the level of points and has NDP. Therefore, by [Lemma 4.0.5\(2\)](#) we obtain that ϕ has NDP as required.

□

5.3. Bounds on roots of polynomials. In this subsection we prove that the product map on polynomials has NDP and give a bound on distances between roots of a polynomial in terms of its norm in \mathbf{C}^{rss} .

Recall that for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we denote by ${}^k \mathbf{C}$ the variety of monic polynomials of degree k .

Lemma 5.3.1. *For any $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the multiplication map $m_{(k,l)} : {}^k \mathbf{C} \times {}^l \mathbf{C} \rightarrow {}^{k+l} \mathbf{C}$ is finite.*

Proof. Consider the map $\mu : {}^1\mathbf{C}^{k+l} \rightarrow {}^k\mathbf{C} \times {}^l\mathbf{C}$ defined by

$$(5.4) \quad \mu(f_1, \dots, f_{k+l}) = (f_1 \cdots f_k, f_{k+1} \cdots f_{k+l}).$$

It is easy to see that this map is dominant. The composition $m_{(k,l)} \circ \mu : {}^1\mathbf{C}^{k+l} \rightarrow {}^k\mathbf{C} \times {}^l\mathbf{C} \rightarrow {}^{k+l}\mathbf{C}$ is finite. Thus $m_{(k,l)}$ is finite. \square

By Corollary 4.0.4 this lemma gives the following corollary.

Corollary 5.3.2. *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the multiplication map $m_{(k,l)} : {}^k\mathbf{C} \times {}^l\mathbf{C} \rightarrow {}^{k+l}\mathbf{C}$ satisfies the norm descent property.*

Notation 5.3.3.

- For $f \in C$, denote $\|f\|_C^{\text{root}} = \max\{\|\lambda\|_{\bar{F}} \mid \lambda \in \bar{F} \text{ with } f(\lambda) = 0\}$.
- For $f \in C^{\text{rss}}$, denote

(5.5)

$$\|f\|_{C^{\text{rss}}}^{\delta\text{-root}} = \max \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \right\|_{\bar{F}} : \lambda, \mu \in \bar{F} \text{ with } f(\lambda) = f(\mu) = 0 \text{ and } \lambda \neq \mu \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.3.4. *We have $\|\cdot\|_C^{\text{root}} \prec \|\cdot\|_C$.*

Proof. The classical bounds of Cauchy and Lagrange give $\|\cdot\|_C^{\text{root}} \leq \|\cdot\|_C$. This implies the assertion. \square

Corollary 5.3.5. *We have $\|\cdot\|_{C^{\text{rss}}}^{\delta\text{-root}} \prec \|\cdot\|_{C^{\text{rss}}}$.*

Proof. Let $f \in C^{\text{rss}}$ and let λ_i be the roots of f in \bar{F} . We have

$$(5.6) \quad |\Delta(f)|_F = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|_{\bar{F}}.$$

Thus, for any $i \neq j$ we have

$$(5.7) \quad |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|_{\bar{F}} \geq |\Delta(f)|_F (\|f\|_C^{\text{root}})^{-n^2} \geq (\|f\|_{C^{\text{rss}}}^{\delta\text{-root}})^{-1} (\|f\|_C^{\text{root}})^{-n^2}$$

By the previous lemma (Lemma 5.3.4), this implies the assertion. \square

5.4. Norms on S^{el} . In this subsection we define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|'_{S^{\text{el}}}$ and prove that it is a norm.

Notation 5.4.1. *Let $k < n$ be an integer. We introduce the following notation.*

- (1) \mathbf{P}_k - the variety of polynomials of degree $\leq k$.
- (2) $\mathbf{S}_k := \mathbf{C}^{\text{rss}} \times \mathbf{P}_k$, $S_k := \mathbf{S}_k(F)$.
- (3) $S_k^{\text{el}} := (C^{\text{el}} \times P_k)$.
- (4) $\mathbf{S} := \mathbf{S}_{n-1}$, $S := S_{n-1}$, $S^{\text{el}} := S_{n-1}^{\text{el}}$.
- (5) For any $f \in C^{\text{rss}}$, define an abstract norm $\|\cdot\|'_{(F[t]/f)}$ in the following way. Let $f = \prod f_i$ be the decomposition of f into irreducible monic polynomials. Identify $F[t]/f$ with $\prod E_i$, where $E_i := F[t]/f_i$. Using this identification, define

$$\|\cdot\|'_{(F[t]/f)} := \|\cdot\|_{E_1} \times \cdots \times \|\cdot\|_{E_d}$$

$$(6) \quad \|(f, g)\|_{S_k}^R := \|(g \bmod f)\|'_{(F[t]/f)}$$

(7) $\|\cdot\|'_{S_k} := \max(pr^*(\|\cdot\|_{C^{rss}}), \|(f, g)\|_{S_k}^R)$, where $pr : S_k \rightarrow C^{rss}$ is the projection.

(8) $\|\cdot\|_{S^{el}}^R := (\|\cdot\|_S^R)|_{S^{el}}; \|\cdot\|'_{S^{el}} := (\|\cdot\|'_S)|_{S^{el}}; \|\cdot\|_{S^{el}} := (\|\cdot\|_S)|_{S^{el}}$

Lemma 5.4.2. For any $k < n$ we have $\|\cdot\|'_{S_k^{el}} \sim \|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}}$

Before giving the formal proof let us indicate its idea: The main part is the inequality $\|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}} \prec \|\cdot\|'_{S_k^{el}}$. Its intuitive meaning is that for a pair $(f, g) \in S^{el}$ we can bound (from above) the coefficients of g by the norm of $f \in C^{rss}$ and the norm of $g(t)$ considered as an element in $F[t]/f$. The proof is by induction on the degree of g . The main step is to bound the norm of the leading coefficient of g . This is done in Steps 1,2 below. The bound on the rest of the coefficients follows by induction (see Steps 3,4 below).

The proof of the bound on the leading coefficient of g is based on a relation between the norm of this leading coefficient, the norm of $g(t)$ and the distances between the roots of f and of g (see (5.16) below). This relation implies that if the leading coefficient is too large, then one of the roots of f is very close to one of the roots of g . Using the Galois action, we deduce that any root of f is very close to some root of g . Since we can bound the distance between roots of f (Corollary 5.3.5), this contradicts the fact that $\deg(g) < \deg(f)$.

Proof of Lemma 5.4.2. We first show $\|\cdot\|'_{S_k^{el}} \prec \|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}}$. Let $(f, g) \in S_k^{el}$. We have

$$(5.8) \quad |g \bmod f|_{F[t]/f} = |res(f, g)|_F,$$

where $res(f, g)$ is the resultant of f and g . Consider res as a map $S_k^{el} \rightarrow F$. We obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}}^R = res^*(\|\cdot\|_F).$$

Thus by Lemma 4.0.3 we have $\|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}}^R \prec \|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}}$ and thus $\|\cdot\|'_{S_k^{el}} \prec \|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}}$.

It remains to show that $\|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}} \prec \|\cdot\|'_{S_k^{el}}$. We will prove this by induction on k . Define

- $T : S_k^{el} \rightarrow F$ by

$$(5.10) \quad T \left(\left(f, \sum_{i=0}^k a_i t^i \right) \right) = a_k$$

- $H : S_k^{el} \rightarrow S_{k-1}^{el}$ by

$$(5.11) \quad H \left(\left(f, \sum_{i=0}^k a_i t^i \right) \right) = \left(f, \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i t^i \right)$$

- $\|\cdot\|''_{S_k^{el}} := \max(T^*(\|\cdot\|_F), H^*(\|\cdot\|'_{S_{k-1}^{el}}))$.

Note that

$$(5.12) \quad \|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}} := \max(T^*(\|\cdot\|_F), H^*(\|\cdot\|'_{S_{k-1}^{el}})).$$

Thus, the induction hypothesis implies that $\|\cdot\|_{S_k^{el}} \prec \|\cdot\|''_{S_k^{el}}$. Thus it is enough to show that $\|\cdot\|''_{S_k^{el}} \prec \|\cdot\|'_{S_k^{el}}$.

Denote:

$$(5.13) \quad S_k^{el,1} = \left\{ (f, g) \in S_k^{el} : |g \bmod f|_{F[t]/f} \leq 1 \right\}$$

We divide the proof into the following steps.

Step 1. $(T^*(\|\cdot\|_F)|_{S_k^{el,1}} \prec \|\cdot\|'_{S_k^{el}}|_{S_k^{el,1}}$

Let

$$(5.14) \quad (f = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i t^i, g = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i t^i) \in S_k^{el,1}$$

By [Corollary 5.3.5](#), it is enough to show that

$$(5.15) \quad |a_k|_F \leq (\|f\|_{C^{rss}}^{\delta-root})^n \ell^n.$$

Assume the contrary. Let $E = F[t]/f$ and let E'/F be the normal closure of E/F . Choose a finite field extension L'/E' such that g splits in L' . Let L/F be the normal closure of L'/F . By [\[Sta25, Lemma 0BME\]](#) the map $\text{Aut}(L/F) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E'/F)$ is onto.

Let λ_i be the roots of f and μ_j be the roots of g in L . Consider $g(t)$ as an element in E . We have

$$(5.16) \quad 1 \geq |g(t)|_E = |a_k \prod_{j=1}^k (t - \mu_j)|_E = |a_k|_F \cdot \left| \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \mu_j) \right|_L^{\frac{1}{n}} >$$

$$(5.17) \quad > (\|f\|_{C^{rss}}^{\delta-root})^n \ell^n \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i - \mu_j|_L^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

So there exists $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that

$$(5.18) \quad |\mu_j - \lambda_i|_E < \|f\|_{C^{rss}}^{\delta-root} \ell^{-1}.$$

Fix such (i, j) .

On the other hand for any $i_1 \neq i_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have

$$|\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_2}|_E > \|f\|_{C^{rss}}^{\delta-root} \ell^{-1}.$$

For any $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\gamma_l \in \text{Aut}(L/F)$ be such that $\gamma_l \lambda_i = \lambda_l$. Set $\mu_l := \gamma_l \mu_j$. We have:

$$|\lambda_l - \mu_l|_L = |\lambda_j - \mu_i|_L < \min_{i_1, i_2} |\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{i_2}|$$

So μ_l are all distinct when l ranges over $\{1, \dots, n\}$. On the other hand μ_l are roots of g . So $\deg(g) \geq n$. Contradiction.

Step 2. $T^*(\|\cdot\|_F) \prec \|\cdot\|'_{S_k}$

By the previous step, we know that there exists $c > 1$ such that for every $(f, g) \in S_k^{el,1}$ we have $\|a_k\|_F < c(\|(f, g)\|'_{S_k})^c$.

Fix $(f, g = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i t^i) \in S_k^{el}$. It is enough to show that

$$\|a_k\|_F < c(\|(f, g)\|'_{A'_k})^{c+n}.$$

Let $M := |\sum a_i t^i|_{F[t]/f}$. We may assume that $M > 1$. Find $b \in F$ such that $M^n \geq |b| \geq M$. We have

$$\left| \frac{a_k}{b} \right|_F \leq \left| \left| \frac{a_k}{b} \right| \right|_F < c \left(\left| \left(f, \frac{g}{b} \right) \right|'_{S_k^{el}} \right)^c.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |a_k| &< |b| c \left(\left| \left(f, \frac{g}{b} \right) \right|'_{S_k^{el}} \right)^c \\ &\leq M^n c \left(\left| \left(f, \frac{g}{b} \right) \right|'_{S_k^{el}} \right)^c \leq c \left(\left| \left(f, g \right) \right|'_{S_k^{el}} \right)^{c+n} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|T((f, g))\| = \|a_k\|_F < c \left(\left| \left(f, g \right) \right|'_{S_k^{el}} \right)^{c+n}.$$

Step 3. $H^* \left(\left| \cdot \right|'_{S_{k-1}^{el}} \right) \prec \max \left(\left(\left| \cdot \right|'_{S_k^{el}}, T^* \left(\left| \cdot \right|_F \right) \right)$.

Let $y := \left(f = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i t^i, g = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i t^i \right) \in S_k^{el}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i t^i \right|_E &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^k a_i t^i - a_k t^k \right|_E \leq \left| \sum_{i=0}^k a_i t^i \right|_E + |a_k t^k|_E \\ &\leq \|y\|'_{S_k^{el}} + \|T(y)\|_{S_k^{el}} \cdot (|t|_E)^k \\ &= \|y\|'_{S_k^{el}} + \|T(y)\|_{S_k^{el}} \cdot |b_0|_F^{k/n} \\ &\leq \|y\|'_{S_k^{el}} + \|T(y)\|_{S_k^{el}} \cdot \|y\|'_{S_k^{el}} \\ &\leq 2 \max(\|y\|'_{S_k^{el}}, \|T(y)\|_{S_k^{el}})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|H(y)\|'_{S_k^{el}} < 2 \max(\|y\|'_{S_k^{el}}, \|T(y)\|_{S_k^{el}})^2$.

Step 4. $\left| \cdot \right|''_{S_k^{el}} \prec \left| \cdot \right|'_{S_k^{el}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \cdot \right|''_{S_k^{el}} &= \max \left(H^* \left(\left| \cdot \right|'_{S_{k-1}^{el}} \right), T^* \left(\left| \cdot \right|_F \right) \right) \\ &\prec \max(\max(\left| \cdot \right|'_{S_k^{el}}, T^* \left(\left| \cdot \right|_F \right)), T^* \left(\left| \cdot \right|_F \right)) \\ &\prec \max(\max(\left| \cdot \right|'_{S_k^{el}}, \left| \cdot \right|'_{S_k^{el}}), \left| \cdot \right|'_{S_k^{el}}) = \left| \cdot \right|'_{S_k^{el}} \end{aligned}$$

□

5.5. **Norms on $S^{el, \times}$.** Let $\mathbf{S}^\times \subset \mathbf{S}$ denote the collection of pairs of coprime polynomials, and $\mathbf{S}^{\times \times} := \mathbf{S}^\times(F)$. In this subsection we define an abstract norm $\left| \cdot \right|'_{S^{el, \times}}$ on $\mathbf{S}^{el, \times} := S^{el} \cap S^\times$ and prove that it is equivalent to $\left| \cdot \right|_{S^{el, \times}} := \left| \cdot \right|_{S^\times|_{S^{el, \times}}}$.

Notation 5.5.1. Define:

- (1) For any $f \in C^{rss}$, define an abstract norm $\left| \cdot \right|'_{(F[t]/f)^\times}$ in the following way. Let $f = \prod f_i$ be the decomposition of f into irreducible monic

polynomials. Identify $(F[t]/f)^\times$ with $\prod E_i^\times$, where $E_i := F[t]/f_i$. Using this identification, define

$$\|\cdot\|'_{(F[t]/f)^\times} := \|\cdot\|_{E_1^\times} \times \cdots \times \|\cdot\|_{E_k^\times}$$

- (2) $\|(\mathbf{f}, g)\|_{S^\times}^R := \|(g \bmod f)\|'_{(F[t]/f)^\times}$
- (3) $\|\cdot\|'_{S^\times} := \max(pr_\times^*(\|\cdot\|_{C^{rss}}), \|(f, g)\|_{S^\times}^R)$, where $pr_\times : S^\times \rightarrow C^{rss}$ is the projection.
- (4) $\|\cdot\|_{S^{el,\times}}^R := (\|\cdot\|_{S^\times}^R)|_{S^{el,\times}}; \|\cdot\|'_{S^{el,\times}} := (\|\cdot\|'_{S^\times})|_{S^{el,\times}};$
 $\|\cdot\|_{S^{el,\times}} := (\|\cdot\|_{S^\times})|_{S^{el,\times}}$;

Lemma 5.5.2. We have $\|\cdot\|'_{S^{el,\times}} \sim \|\cdot\|_{S^{el,\times}}$

Proof. As in (5.9) we have:

$$(5.19) \quad \|\cdot\|_{S^{el,\times}}^R = res^*(\|\cdot\|_{F^\times}).$$

Therefore

$$\|\cdot\|'_{S^{el,\times}} = \max(\|\cdot\|'_{S^{el}}, res^*(\|\cdot\|_{F^\times})).$$

On the other hand, we have:

$$\|\cdot\|_{S^{el,\times}} \sim \max(\|\cdot\|_{S^{el}}, res^*(\|\cdot\|_{F^\times}))$$

The lemma follows now from Lemma 5.4.2. \square

5.6. Norms on S^\times . In this subsection we prove:

Lemma 5.6.1. $\|\cdot\|_{S^\times} \sim \|\cdot\|'_{S^\times}$.

Let us first explain the idea of the proof. The proof is by induction on n .

Let $\lambda = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ be a composition of n , namely $n = k_1 + \cdots + k_r$. Recall our convention of using k_i and λ as left super-script (§2.1(7-8)).

The proof is based on the construction of the following Cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^\lambda S^\times & \xleftarrow{\quad} & {}^\lambda S^{cop,\times} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & S^\times \\ \downarrow & \square & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ {}^\lambda C^{rss} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & {}^\lambda C^{cop,rss} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^{rss} \end{array}$$

In this diagram the left horizontal arrows are open embeddings, the lower right horizontal arrow is coming from polynomial multiplication and the upper right horizontal arrow is based on polynomial multiplication on the C -coordinates and on the Chinese remainder theorem on the P -coordinates.

We prove that the right horizontal arrows have the NDP. Based on this we show that, for a proper composition λ , the induction hypothesis implies the required equivalence on the image of the upper right horizontal arrow. When we take the union of these images ranging over all such λ we are left with $S^{el,\times}$. So the lemma will follow from Lemma 5.5.2.

Throughout this subsection $\lambda = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ denotes a composition of n .

Notation 5.6.2. We introduce the following notation.

- (1) ${}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop} \subset {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}$ - the open subset of tuples consisting of pairwise co-prime polynomials.

(2) ${}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss, cop} := {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss} \cap {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop}$
(3) ${}^\lambda \mathbf{S}^{cop} \subset {}^\lambda \mathbf{S}$ by ${}^\lambda \mathbf{S}^{cop} \cong {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop, rss} \times \mathbf{P}_{k_1-1} \times \cdots \mathbf{P}_{k_r-1}$ under the identification

$${}^\lambda \mathbf{S} \cong {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss} \times \mathbf{P}_{k_1-1} \times \cdots \mathbf{P}_{k_r-1}.$$

(4) Similarly we define ${}^\lambda \mathbf{S}^{\times, cop}$.

We now define the notions of division with residue and modular inversions of polynomials as a morphism of algebraic varieties.

Definition 5.6.3.

- Define $mod_{l,k} : {}^k \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}_l \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k-1}$ in the following way: for any unital commutative ring A , and any $f \in {}^k \mathbf{C}(A), g \in \mathbf{P}_l(A)$ we set $mod(f, g) \in \mathbf{P}_{k-1}(A)$ to be the unique element such that

$$g \equiv mod(f, g) \pmod{f}.$$

- Define $inv_{l,k} : {}^{(l,k)} \mathbf{C}^{cop} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k-1}$ in the following way: for any unital commutative ring A , and any $(f, g) \in {}^{(l,k)} \mathbf{C}^{cop}(A)$ we set $inv_{l,k}(f, g) \in \mathbf{P}_{k-1}(A)$ to be the unique element such that

$$inv(f, g)f \equiv 1 \pmod{g}.$$

Notation 5.6.4.

(1) Define $mod_\lambda : {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop, rss} \times \mathbf{P}_{n-1} \rightarrow {}^\lambda \mathbf{S}^{cop}$ by
 $mod_\lambda((f_1, \dots, f_r), g) := ((f_1, mod_{n-1, k_1}(g, f_1)), \dots, (f_r, mod_{n-1, k_r}(g, f_r))).$

(2) $m_\lambda^{C^{rss}} : {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop, rss} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{rss}$ to be the map given by the product of polynomials.

(3) $m_\lambda^S := m_\lambda^{C^{rss}} \times Id_{\mathbf{P}_{n-1}} : {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop, rss} \times \mathbf{P}_{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{rss} \times \mathbf{P}_{n-1} =: \mathbf{S}$

To sum up we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} {}^\lambda \mathbf{S}^{cop} & \xleftarrow{mod_\lambda} & {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop, rss} \times \mathbf{P}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{m_\lambda^S} & \mathbf{S} \\ & & \downarrow & \square & \downarrow \\ & & {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{cop, rss} & \xrightarrow{m_\lambda^{C^{rss}}} & \mathbf{C}^{rss} \end{array}$$

Lemma 5.6.5 (Relative version of the Chinese remainder theorem). mod_λ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The proof follows the proof of the Chinese remainder theorem. By induction, it is enough to prove the lemma for the case when $\lambda = (k_1, k_2)$ is of length 2. In this case, we can define the inverse morphism by the following formula.

$$((f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)) \mapsto ((f_1, f_2), mod_{2(k_1+k_2), n}(g_1 f_2 inv_{k_2, k_1}(f_2, f_1) + g_2 f_1 inv_{k_1, k_2}(f_1, f_2), g_2))$$

□

Lemma 5.6.6. $m_\lambda^{C^{rss}}$ is a finite map, in particular it has the NDP property.

Proof. By induction, it is enough to show this for λ of length 2. This follows from [Lemma 5.3.1](#). \square

Corollary 5.6.7. m_λ^S is a finite map.

Notation 5.6.8.

- (1) Define $\text{chin}_\lambda := m_\lambda \circ \text{mod}_\lambda^{-1} : {}^\lambda \mathbf{S}^{\text{cop}} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$
- (2) Define $\text{chin}_\lambda^\times : {}^\lambda \mathbf{S}^{\text{cop}}, \times \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^\times$ to be the restriction of chin_λ .

From [Corollary 5.6.7](#) we obtain

Corollary 5.6.9. The maps chin_λ and $\text{chin}_\lambda^\times$ are finite and thus satisfy NDP.

Notation 5.6.10.

- (1) Denote $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^\times}^R$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^\times}^1$ to be the natural analogues of $\|\cdot\|_{S^\times}^R$ and $\|\cdot\|_{S^\times}^1$.
- (2) Denote $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}^R := (\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^\times}^I|)_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}^1 &:= \max(\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}^R, \text{pr}_{\lambda C^{\text{rss}, \text{cop}}}^*(\|\cdot\|_{\lambda C^{\text{rss}, \text{cop}}})) = \\ &\quad \max((\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^\times}^1|)_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}, \text{pr}_{\lambda C^{\text{rss}, \text{cop}}}^*(\|\cdot\|_{\lambda C^{\text{rss}, \text{cop}}})) \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{pr}_{\lambda C^{\text{rss}, \text{cop}}} : {}^\lambda S^{\text{cop}, \times} \rightarrow {}^\lambda C^{\text{rss}, \text{cop}}$ is the projection.

Proof of Lemma 5.6.1. We prove the Lemma by induction. Thus from now on we assume that it holds for any smaller value of n . Let λ be a proper composition of n .

Step 1. $\|\cdot\|'_{\lambda S^\times} \sim \|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^\times}$.

Follows immediately from the induction hypothesis.

Step 2. $\|\cdot\|'_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times} \sim \|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}$.

Follows immediately from the previous step.

Step 3. $(\|\cdot\|'_{S^\times})|_{\text{chin}_\lambda^\times(\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times)} \sim (\|\cdot\|_{S^\times})|_{\text{chin}_\lambda^\times(\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times)}$.

Consider the following Cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^\lambda S^{\text{cop}, \times} & \xrightarrow{\text{chin}_\lambda^\times} & S^\times \\ \downarrow \text{pr}_{\text{cop}} & \square & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ {}^\lambda C^{\text{cop}, \text{rss}} & \xrightarrow{m_\lambda^{\text{C}^{\text{rss}}}} & C^{\text{rss}} \end{array}$$

Here, pr_{cop} and pr are the projections on the first coordinates. By [Corollary 5.6.9](#), $\text{chin}_\lambda^\times$ has NDP and hence

$$(\|\cdot\|_{S^\times})|_{\text{chin}_\lambda^\times(\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times)} \sim (\text{chin}_\lambda^\times)_*(\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}).$$

By definition

$$(\|\cdot\|_{S^\times}^R)|_{\text{chin}_\lambda^\times(\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times)} \sim (\text{chin}_\lambda^\times)_*(\|\cdot\|_{\lambda S^{\text{cop}}, \times}^R).$$

By [Lemma 5.6.6](#),

$$(\|\cdot\|_{C^{\text{rss}}}^R)|_{m_\lambda^{\text{C}^{\text{rss}}}(\lambda C^{\text{rss}})} \sim (m_\lambda^{\text{C}^{\text{rss}}})_*(\|\cdot\|_{\lambda C^{\text{cop}, \text{rss}}}).$$

Therefore,

$$(pr^*(|| \cdot ||_{C^{rss}}))|_{chin_{\lambda}^{\times}(\lambda S^{\times})} \sim (chin_{\lambda}^{\times})_*(pr_{cop}^*(|| \cdot ||_{\lambda C^{cop,rss}})).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (|| \cdot ||_{S^{\times}})|_{chin_{\lambda}^{\times}(\lambda S^{cop,\times})} &\sim (chin_{\lambda}^{\times})_*(|| \cdot ||_{\lambda S^{cop,\times}}) \sim (chin_{\lambda}^{\times})_*(|| \cdot ||'_{\lambda S^{cop,\times}}) = \\ &= (chin_{\lambda}^{\times})_*(\max(|| \cdot ||_{\lambda S^{cop,\times}}^R, pr_{cop}^*(|| \cdot ||_{\lambda C^{cop,rss}}))) = \\ &\max((chin_{\lambda}^{\times})_*(|| \cdot ||_{\lambda S^{cop,\times}}^R), (chin_{\lambda}^{\times})_*pr_{cop}^*(|| \cdot ||_{\lambda C^{cop,rss}})) \sim \\ &\max\left((|| \cdot ||_{\lambda S^{\times}}^R)|_{chin_{\lambda}^{\times}(\lambda S^{\times})}, (pr^*(|| \cdot ||_{C^{rss}}))|_{chin_{\lambda}^{\times}(\lambda S^{\times})}\right) = \\ &\max((|| \cdot ||_{S^{\times}}^R, pr^*(|| \cdot ||_{C^{rss}}))|_{chin_{\lambda}^{\times}(\lambda S^{\times})} = (|| \cdot ||'_{S^{\times}})|_{chin_{\lambda}^{\times}(\lambda S^{\times})} \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. $|| \cdot ||_S \sim || \cdot ||'_S$

This follows from the previous step, [Lemma 5.4.2](#) and the following equality

$$S^{\times} = \left(\bigcup_{\lambda}^{\lambda} S^{\times} \right) \cup S^{el,\times},$$

where λ ranges over all proper compositions of n .

□

5.7. Norms on Com^{rss} and the proof of Proposition 5.1.4. The next lemma follows from standard linear algebra and basic algebro-geometric considerations.

Lemma 5.7.1. *Let \mathbf{X} be an algebraic variety and V be a vector space over F . Let $\psi, \phi_i : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow V$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ be morphisms of algebraic varieties. Assume that for any $x \in \mathbf{X}$ there exist unique $a_i \in F$ such that $\sum a_i \phi_i(x) = \psi(x)$. Then there exist regular functions $A_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{X})$ such that $\sum A_i \phi_i = \psi$.*

Corollary 5.7.2. *There exists a morphism $\xi : \mathbf{Com}^{rss} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_n$ such that for any ring A and any $(g_1, g_2) \in \mathbf{Com}^{rss}(A)$ we have $\xi((g_1, g_2))(g_1) = g_2$.*

Proof of Proposition 5.1.4. Let ξ be as in [Corollary 5.7.2](#). Define $\theta : \mathbf{Com}^{rss} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\times}$ by

$$\theta(g_1, g_2) = (p(g_1), \xi((g_1, g_2))).$$

By [Corollary 5.7.2](#) we have the following Cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Com}^{rss} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbf{S}^{\times} \\ \downarrow pr_G & \square & \downarrow pr_C \\ \mathbf{G}^{rss} & \xrightarrow[p|_{\mathbf{G}^{rss}}]{} & \mathbf{C}^{rss} \end{array}$$

Here pr_G, pr_C are the projections on the first coordinates. So by [Lemma 5.6.1](#),

$$(5.20) \quad \max(\theta^*(|| \cdot ||_{S^{\times}}), pr_G^*(|| \cdot ||_{G^{rss}})) \sim \max(\theta^*(|| \cdot ||'_{S^{\times}}), pr_G^*(|| \cdot ||_{G^{rss}})).$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}} &\sim \max(\theta^*(\|\cdot\|_{S^\times}), pr_G^*(\|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}})) \stackrel{(5.20)}{\sim} \max(\theta^*(\|\cdot\|'_{S^\times}), pr_G^*(\|\cdot\|'_{G^{rss}})) \sim \\
&\sim \max(\theta^*(\max(pr_C^*(\|\cdot\|_{C^{rss}}), \|\cdot\|_{S^\times}^R)), pr_G^*(\|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}})) = \\
&= \max(\theta^*(pr_C^*(\|\cdot\|_{C^{rss}})), \theta^*(\|\cdot\|_{S^\times}^R), pr_G^*(\|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}})) = \\
&= \max(pr_G^*(p|_{\mathbf{G}^{rss}}^*(\|\cdot\|_{C^{rss}})), \|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}}^R, pr_G^*(\|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}})) = \\
&= \max(pr_G^*(\max(p|_{\mathbf{G}^{rss}}^*(\|\cdot\|_{C^{rss}}), \|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}})), \|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}}^R) \stackrel{\text{Lem 4.0.3 (1)}}{\sim} \\
&\sim \max(pr_G^*(\|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}}), \|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}}^R) = \|\cdot\|'_{Com^{rss}}
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.8. Proof of Lemma 5.1.2. Let λ be a composition of n such that $\mathbf{M} = {}^\lambda \mathbf{G}$.

Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
{}^\lambda \mathbf{G} & \xrightarrow{{}^\lambda p} & {}^\lambda \mathbf{C} & & \\
\uparrow & & \square & & \uparrow \\
({}^\lambda \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{G}^{rss}) & \xrightarrow{{}^\lambda p^{rss,cop}} & {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss,cop} & & \\
\uparrow & & \square & & \uparrow \\
\mathbf{G} \times ({}^\lambda \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{G}^{rss}) & \xrightarrow{\phi_\lambda} & \mathbf{G}^{rss} \times_{{}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss,cop}} ({}^\lambda \mathbf{G} \cap \mathbf{G}^{rss}) & \xrightarrow{p'} & \mathbf{G}^{rss} \times_{{}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss,cop}} {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss,cop} \xrightarrow{pr_1} \mathbf{G}^{rss} \\
\downarrow i_1 & \square & \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow pr_2 \\
\mathbf{G} \times \mathbf{G}^{rss} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{G}^{rss} \times \mathbf{G} & & {}^\lambda \mathbf{C}^{rss,cop} \xrightarrow{m_\lambda^{rss}} \mathbf{C}^{rss}
\end{array}$$

were:

- i_1, i_2 are the natural embeddings.
- ϕ is defined in Lemma 5.1.1
- the rest of the maps are either defined above (see the index) or defined by the Cartesian squares.

By Lemma 5.6.6 the map m_λ^{rss} has NDP. Therefore, by Lemma 4.0.8, the map pr_1 has NDP. The companion matrix gives a section to ${}^\lambda p$. Therefore p' also has a section. Thus p' is onto on the level of F -points and, by Lemma 4.0.6, has NDP. Therefore, by Lemma 4.0.5, the composition $pr_1 \circ p'$ has NDP. By Lemma 5.1.1 ϕ has NDP. Thus by Lemma 4.0.8, the map ϕ_λ has NDP. Since any 2 regular semi-simple matrices with the same characteristic polynomial are conjugated, the map ϕ_λ is onto on the level of F -points. Therefore by Lemma 4.0.5, the composition $pr_1 \circ p' \circ \phi_\lambda$ has NDP as required.

6. BOUND ON AVERAGING OF A FUNCTION - PROOF OF THEOREM D

The idea of the proof of Theorem D is based on the analysis of the action map $\phi_x : G^{ad} \rightarrow G$.

The main step is to bound the measure $(\phi_x)_*(\mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i})|_{Ad(G) \cdot x}$ in terms of $\mu_{Ad(G) \cdot x}$. This is done in [Corollary 6.0.4](#) below. Let us start with some preparations:

Notation 6.0.1. For $x \in G^{rss}$ let:

- (i) $G_x^{ad} := G_x/Z(G)$.
- (ii) $\mu_{G_x^{ad}}$ be the Haar measure on G_x^{ad} corresponding to the Haar measures μ_{G_x} and $\mu_{Z(G)}$.
- (iii) For $g \in G^{ad}$ let $\mu_{gG_x^{ad}}$ be the measure on the coset gG_x^{ad} corresponding to the measure $\mu_{G_x^{ad}}$.

Lemma 6.0.2. There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{vol} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any $x \in G^{rss}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\mu_{G_x^{ad}}(G_x^{ad} \cap (G^{ad})_i) < \alpha_{vol}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)).$$

Proof. The proof is based on the comparison of different norms on Com^{rss} , which is given by [Proposition 5.1.4](#). We will use [Notation 5.1.3](#) and the conventions given in [Notation 4.0.1](#).

Since Com^{rss} is a closed subvariety of $G^{rss} \times G$, there exists $c > 1$ such that for any $(x, y) \in Com^{rss}$, we have

$$c^{-1} \max(\|x\|_{G^{rss}}, \|y\|_G)^{-c} < \|(x, y)\|_{Com^{rss}} < c \max(\|x\|_{G^{rss}}, \|y\|_G)^c.$$

By [Proposition 5.1.4](#) there exists $d > 1$ such that

$$d^{-1} \|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}}^{-d} < \|\cdot\|'_{Com^{rss}} < d \|\cdot\|_{Com^{rss}}^d$$

Set $\alpha_{vol}(i) := (2(n(d(ci + c) + d) + 1))^n$. Let $x \in G^{rss}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$(G^{ad})_i \cap G_x^{ad} = ((G_i \cap G_x)Z(G))/Z(G).$$

Define an embedding $\iota_x : G \hookrightarrow G \times G$ by $\iota_x(g) := (x, g)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_i \cap G_x &\subset \iota_x^{-1}((Com^{rss})_{c(ov(x)+i)+c}) \subset \iota_x^{-1}((Com^{rss})'_{d(c(ov(x)+i)+c)+d}) \subset \\ &\iota_x^{-1}((Com^{rss})^R_{d(c(ov(x)+i)+c)+d}) = (G_x)'_{d(c(ov(x)+i)+c)+d} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{G_x^{ad}}(G_x^{ad} \cap (G^{ad})_i) &\leq \mu_{G_x^{ad}}((G_x)'_{d(c(ov(x)+i)+c)+d}Z(G)/Z(G)) \\ &\leq \mu_{G_x}((G_x)'_{d(c(ov_{G^{rss}}(x)+i)+c)+d}) \leq (2(n(d(c(ov_{G^{rss}}(x)+i)+c)+d)+1))^n < \alpha_{vol}(ov_{G^{rss}}(x)+i) \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.0.3. With α_{vol} from [Lemma 6.0.2](#), the following holds: for any

- $x \in G^{rss}$
- $[g] \in G^{ad}$
- $i \in \mathbb{N}$

we have

$$\mu_{[g]G_x^{ad}}(\phi_x^{-1}(\phi_x([g])) \cap (G^{ad})_i) \leq \alpha_{vol}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x) + ov_{G^{ad}}([g]))$$

Proof. Fix $x, [g], i$ as above. WLOG we may assume that $\|g\|_G = \|[g]\|_{G^{ad}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi_x^{-1}(\phi_x([g])) \cap (G^{ad})_i) \cdot [g]^{-1} &= G_x^{ad} \cap ((G^{ad})_i \cdot [g]^{-1}) \\ &\subset G_x^{ad} \cap (G^{ad})_{i+ov_G(g)} \\ &= G_x^{ad} \cap (G^{ad})_{i+ov_{G^{ad}}([g])} \end{aligned}$$

So, by Lemma 6.0.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{[g]G_x^{ad}}(\phi_x^{-1}(\phi_x([g])) \cap (G^{ad})_i) &= \mu_{G_x^{ad}}((\phi_x^{-1}(\phi_x([g])) \cap (G^{ad})_i) [g]^{-1}) \leq \\ &\leq \mu_{G_x^{ad}}(G_x^{ad} \cap (G^{ad})_{i+ov_{G^{ad}}([g])}) \stackrel{\text{Lem 6.0.2}}{\leq} \\ &\leq \alpha_{vol}(i + ov_{G^{ad}}([g]) + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.0.4. *There is a polynomial $\alpha_{push} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$\sup \left(\frac{(\phi_x)_*(\mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i})|_{G \cdot x}}{\mu_{G \cdot x}} \right) < \alpha_{push}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)).$$

Here, $1_{(G^{ad})_i}$ is the characteristic function of the set $(G^{ad})_i$.

Proof. Take $\alpha_{push}(i) = \alpha_{vol}(2i + 1)$. Fix $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in G^{rss}$. Let $y \in \text{Supp} \left(\frac{(\phi_x)_*(\mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i})|_{G \cdot x}}{\mu_{G \cdot x}} \right)$. We can find $[g] \in (G^{ad})_i$ such that $\phi_x([g]) = y$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\phi_x)_*(\mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i})|_{G \cdot x}}{\mu_{G \cdot x}}(y) &= \frac{(\phi_x)_*(\mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i})|_{G \cdot x}}{\mu_{G \cdot x}}(\phi_x([g])) = \\ &= \mu_{[g]G_x^{ad}}((\phi_x^{-1}(\phi_x([g])) \cap (G^{ad})_i)) \stackrel{\text{Cor 6.0.3}}{\leq} \\ &\leq \alpha_{vol}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x) + ov_{G^{ad}}([g])) \leq \\ &\leq \alpha_{vol}(2i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) < \alpha_{push}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem D. Take $\alpha_{av} := \alpha_{push}$. Using the last corollary (Corollary 6.0.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)| &= |\langle \phi_x^*(m), \mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i} \rangle| = \\ &= |\langle m, (\phi_x)_*(\mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i}) \rangle| \leq \\ &\leq \langle (|m|)|_{G \cdot x}, (\phi_x)_*(\mu_{G^{ad}} 1_{(G^{ad})_i})|_{G \cdot x} \rangle \stackrel{\text{Cor 6.0.4}}{\leq} \\ &\leq \langle (|m|)|_{G \cdot x}, \alpha_{push}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) \mu_{G \cdot x} \rangle = \\ &= \alpha_{push}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) \langle (|m|)|_{G \cdot x}, \mu_{G \cdot x} \rangle = \\ &= \alpha_{av}(i + ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) \Omega(|m|)(x) \end{aligned}$$

□

7. APPLICATION OF NORM BOUNDS

In this section we explicate the results of §5 in a language suitable for further use. We will use [Notation 5.1.3](#) and the conventions given in [Notation 4.0.1](#).

We start with the following simple lemma:

Lemma 7.0.1. *Let $M < G$ be a standard Levi subgroup. Let $x \in M^{el} \cap G^{rss}$. Then $G_x = (G_x)'_{2n} Z(M)$.*

Proof. First note that $G_x = M_x$. As both RHS and LHS are products of factors that correspond to the blocks of M we can prove the equality for each block separately. So we can reduce the statement to the case when $M = G$ (note that the rank of each block might be smaller than n , but the statement becomes weaker when we enlarge n).

In this case $G_x \cong E^\times$ when E is certain field extension of F of degree $\leq n$, and under this identification $Z(M)$ is identified with F^\times . The assertion follows now from the equality:

$$E^\times = \{e \in E : \ell^{-2n} < |e|_E < \ell^{2n}\} F^\times$$

□

The following Lemma gives a uniform bound on the size of the compact part of maximal tori in G .

Lemma 7.0.2. *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{ell} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any standard Levi subgroup $M < G$, and any $x \in M^{ell} \cap G^{rss}$ we have $G_{\alpha_{ell}(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))} Z(M) \supset G_x$.*

Proof. By [Proposition 5.1.4](#) there exists $d \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that for any $x \in G^{rss}$ and $y \in G_x$ we have

$$(7.1) \quad \|y\|_G < d(\|y\|'_{G_x} \|x\|_{G^{rss}})^d.$$

Take $\alpha_{ell}(j) := d(2n + 1) + dj$. We obtain:

$$G_{\alpha_{ell}(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))} Z(M) \supset G_{d+2nd+dov_{G^{rss}}(x)} Z(M) \supset (G_x)'_{2n} Z(M) = G_x$$

Where the last equality follows from [Lemma 7.0.1](#). □

The following is a uniform version of [\[HC70, Corollary of Theorem 18\]](#) which is valid in arbitrary characteristic:

Lemma 7.0.3. *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{pull} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for any*

- $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- standard Levi $M \subset G$, and
- $x \in M^{el} \cap G^{rss}$

we have

$$\phi_x^{-1}(G_i) \subset G_{\alpha_{pull}(i+ov_{G^{rss}}(x))}^{ad} (Z(M)/Z(G))$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.1.1 there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that for any $x \in G^{rss}$ and $y \in Ad(G) \cdot x$ there is $g \in G$ such that:

$$(7.2) \quad gxg^{-1} = y$$

$$(7.3) \quad \|g\|_G < c(\|x\|_{G^{rss}} \|y\|_{G^{rss}})^c$$

Take

$$\alpha_{pull}(j) = c + 2cj + \alpha_{ell}(j).$$

Fix i, M, x as in the lemma. Let $z \in G$ such that $zxz^{-1} \in G_i$. We have to find $z_0 \in Z(M)$ such that zz such that $z_0 \in G_{\alpha_{pull}(i+ov_{G^{rss}}(x))}$. By the above we can find g such that

- $gxg^{-1} = zxz^{-1}$
- $\|g\|_G < c(\|x\|_{G^{rss}} \ell^i)^c$

Let $z_1 = g^{-1}z$. We get that $z_1 \in G_x$. By Lemma 7.0.2 we can write $z_1 = z_2 z_3$ such that $z_3 \in Z(M)$ and $ov_G(z_2) \leq \alpha_{ell}(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))$. Take $z_0 = z_3^{-1} \in Z(M)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|zz_0\|_G &= \|gz_1 z_3^{-1}\|_G = \|gz_2\|_G \leq \|g\|_G \|z_2\|_G \leq \\ &\leq c(\|x\|_{G^{rss}} \ell^i)^c \ell^{\alpha_{ell}(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))} = \\ &= c(\|x\|_{G^{rss}})^c \ell^{\alpha_{ell}(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))} \leq \ell^{\alpha_{pull}(i+ov_{G^{rss}}(x))} \end{aligned}$$

□

8. *A*-CUSPIDAL FUNCTIONS

Notation 8.0.1. For any $a \in T$, denote

$$V_a := \left\{ g \in G \mid \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} Ad(a)^i(g) = 1 \right\}$$

and

$$P_a := \left\{ g \in G \mid \text{the sequence } \{Ad(a)^i(g)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is bounded} \right\}.$$

It is well known (see e.g. [Del76]) that $P_a = \mathbf{P}_a(F)$ for a corresponding parabolic subgroup $\mathbf{P}_a < \mathbf{G}$ and $V_a = \mathbf{V}_a(F)$ where \mathbf{V}_a is the unipotent radical of \mathbf{P}_a . We also consider V_a as a subgroup of G^{ad} .

Definition 8.0.2. Let $A < T$ be a standard torus.

- (1) We say that a function $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$ is ***A*-cuspidal** if for any non-central $a \in A$, and any $x \in G$ we have

$$\int_{V_a} f(xu) du = 0,$$

where du is a Haar measure on V_a .

- (2) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$ is ***(A, i)*-adapted** if it:
 - (a) is *A*-cuspidal,
 - (b) is right-*A*/ $Z(G)$ -invariant, and
 - (c) satisfies $\text{Supp}(f) \subset G^{ad} \cdot (A/Z(G))$.

In this section we prove the following:

Theorem 8.0.3. *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{stab} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for any*

- *standard torus $A < T$,*
- *integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$,*
- *$y \in G_i$,*
- *(A, i) -adapted function $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$, and*
- *$j > j_0 := \alpha_{stab}(i)$*

we have

$$\int_{G_j^{ad}} f(xy)dx = \int_{G_{j_0}^{ad}} f(xy)dx,$$

where the integral is taken w.r.t. a Haar measure on G^{ad} .

Let us first describe the steps of the proof.

- (1) It is easy to deduce the theorem from the fact that the integral of f over xK_iy vanishes whenever x is far enough from the center (in comparison to i). This statement is [Proposition 8.3.4](#).
- (2) We deduce [Proposition 8.3.4](#) from the case $y = 1$. This case is [Corollary 8.3.3](#).
- (3) Since the support of f is close to A , we can write $x = x'a$ where $a \in A$ and x' is relatively small.
- (4) We use the right- A -invariance of f in-order to replace the integral by an integral over $x'aKa^{-1}$.
- (5) If a is far away from any proper standard subtorus $A' < A$, then aKa^{-1} is very similar to $V_{a^{-1}}$ (see [§8.2](#)). So we deduce the result from an effective version of cuspidality of f (see [Corollary 8.1.5](#) below). This case is treated in [Lemma 8.3.1](#) below.
- (6) In order to deduce [Corollary 8.3.3](#) in the general case we use the last step and induction on the rank of A .

We now perform these steps formally.

8.1. Effective cuspidality. In this subsection we give effective version of cuspidality, see [Corollary 8.1.5](#) below.

Lemma 8.1.1. *Let $\mathbf{A} < \mathbf{T}$ be a standard torus and let $a \in A$. Then the multiplication map $\mathbf{V}_a \times (\mathbf{A}/Z(\mathbf{G})) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}^{ad}$ has the norm descent property.*

Proof. Follows from [Corollary 4.0.4](#) as this map is a closed embedding. \square

Corollary 8.1.2. *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{int} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any*

- *standard torus $\mathbf{A} < \mathbf{T}$*
- *$a \in A$*
- *$i \in \mathbb{N}$*

we have:

$$G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G)) \cap V_a \subset G_{\alpha_{int}(i)}^{ad}.$$

Proof. Let $A < G$ be a standard torus. By [Lemma 8.1.1](#), for any $a \in A$, we can find $c_a \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$ such that for any $b \in A/Z(G)$ and $u \in V_a$ we have:

$$(8.1) \quad \|u\|_{G^{ad}} < c_a (\|ub\|_{G^{ad}})^{c_a}$$

29

Let $a_1, \dots, a_N \in A$ be such that

$$\{V_{a_k} | k = 1, \dots, N\} = \{V_a | a \in A\}.$$

Take

$$\alpha_{int}^A(i) = \sum_{k=1}^N (c_{a_k} + ic_{a_k}).$$

Fix A, a, i as in the corollary. Let $x \in (G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G))) \cap V_a$. We have to show that $ov_{G^{ad}}(x) \leq \alpha_{int}(i)$. Write $x = yb$ where $y \in G_i^{ad}$ and $b \in A/Z(G)$. We have $y = xb^{-1}$. By (8.1) above $\|x\|_{G^{ad}} < c_a (\|y\|_{G^{ad}})^{c_a} \leq c_a i^{c_a}$. Therefore

$$ov_{G^{ad}}(x) \leq c_a + ic_a \leq \alpha_{int}^A(i).$$

Take $\alpha_{int} = \sum_A \alpha_{int}^A$, where A ranges over all standard tori of G . We get that for any \mathbf{A}, a, i as above we have

$$(G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G))) \cap V_a \subset G_{\alpha_{int}^A(i)}^{ad} \subset G_{\alpha_{int}(i)}^{ad}.$$

□

Notation 8.1.3. For $x \in G$ and a subgroup $H < G$ denote by $\eta_{x,H} : H \rightarrow G$ the map given by

$$\eta_{x,H}(y) := xy.$$

Corollary 8.1.4. There exists a polynomial $\alpha_\eta \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any

- standard torus $A < T$,
- $a \in A$,
- $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and
- $x \in G_i^{ad}$

we have:

$$\eta_{x,V_a}^{-1}(G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G))) \subset G_{\alpha_\eta(i)}.$$

Proof. Take $\alpha_\eta(i) = \alpha_{int}(2i)$. By Corollary 8.1.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{x,V_a}^{-1}(G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G))) &= (x^{-1}G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G))) \cap V_a \subset \\ &(G_{2i}^{ad}(A/Z(G))) \cap V_a \subset G_{\alpha_{int}(2i)} = G_{\alpha_\eta(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 8.1.5. There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{cusp} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any

- standard torus $A < T$,
- $a \in A$,
- $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (A, i) -adapted $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$,
- $x \in G_i^{ad}$, and
- a compact $\Omega \subset V_a$ such that $V_a \cap G_{\alpha_{cusp}(i)}^{ad} \subset \Omega$

we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} f(xu) du = 0,$$

where the integral is taken w.r.t. a Haar measure on V_a .

Proof. Take $\alpha_{cusp} = \alpha_\eta$. By the assumption and the last corollary (Corollary 8.1.4) we have

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Supp}(\eta_{x,V_a}^*(f)) &= \eta_{x,V_a}^{-1}(\text{Supp}(f)) \subset \eta_{x,V_a}^{-1}(G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G))) \\ &\subset V_a \cap G_{\alpha_{cusp}(i)}^{ad} \subset \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\Omega} f(xu)du = - \left(\int_{V_a} f(xu)du - \int_{\Omega} f(xu)du \right) = - \int_{V_a \setminus \Omega} f(xu)du = \int_{V_a \setminus \Omega} \eta_{x,V_a}^*(f)(u)du \stackrel{(8.2)}{=} 0$$

□

8.2. Conjugation of congruence subgroups. Here we study the behavior of $aK_i a^{-1}$ when a is large.

Lemma 8.2.1. *For any $a \in T$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$ we have*

$$a^{-1}K_i a = (a^{-1}K_i a \cap K_0)(a^{-1}K_i a \cap V_a)$$

Proof. From Iwahori decomposition of K_i we get

$$K_i = (K_i \cap P_{a^{-1}})(K_i \cap V_a).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-1}K_i a &= a^{-1}(K_i \cap P_{a^{-1}})aa^{-1}(K_i \cap V_a)a \subset (a^{-1}K_i a \cap K_i)(a^{-1}K_i a \cap V_a) \subset \\ &\subset (a^{-1}K_i a \cap K_0)(a^{-1}K_i a \cap V_a) \end{aligned}$$

The opposite inclusion is obvious.

□

Lemma 8.2.2. *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{\text{conj}} : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in G_i$ we have:*

$$K_{\alpha_{\text{conj}}(i)} \subset y^{-1}K_i y$$

Proof. Take $\alpha_{\text{conj}}(i) = (n+2)i$ using Cartan decomposition write $y = k_1 a k_2$ where $k_i \in K_0$ and $a \in T \cap G_i$. We have

$$y^{-1}K_i y = k_2^{-1}a^{-1}k_1^{-1}K_i k_1 a k_2 = k_2^{-1}a^{-1}K_i a k_2 \supset k_2^{-1}K_{\alpha_{\text{conj}}(i)}k_2 = K_{\alpha_{\text{conj}}(i)}$$

□

Definition 8.2.3. *Let $A < T$ be a standard torus.*

- (1) *Recall that $\|\cdot\|_{G/A} := pr_*(\|\cdot\|_G)$ where $pr : G \rightarrow G/A$ is the projection.*
- (2) *Denote by $\mathfrak{X}(A)$ the set of all proper standard subtori of A .*
- (3) *For $x \in G$ define $\text{depth}_A(x) := \min_{A' \in \mathfrak{X}(A)} \text{ov}_{G/A'}([x])$.*

The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 8.2.4. *For any*

- *integer i ,*
- *composition λ of n ,*
- *$a \in T_\lambda$ with $\text{depth}_A(a) > ni$, and*

- $j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ lying in different parts of the composition λ . we have $ov_{F^\times}(a_{j_1}/a_{j_2}) > i$ where a_i is the i -th component of the diagonal matrix a .

Corollary 8.2.5. *For any*

- $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- standard torus $A < T$, and
- $a \in A$ such that $\text{depth}_A(a) > ni$

we have

$$a^{-1}K_i a \supset V_a \cap G_i.$$

8.3. Vanishing of the integral on $xK_i y$. In this subsection we prove vanishing of the integral on $xK_i y$, see [Proposition 8.3.4](#) below.

Lemma 8.3.1. *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{\text{deep}} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any*

- standard tori $A' < A < T$,
- $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$,
- (A, i) -adapted function $f \in C^\infty(G^{\text{ad}})$, and
- $x \in G_i^{\text{ad}}(A'/Z(G))$ with $\text{depth}_{A'}(x) > \alpha_{\text{deep}}(i)$

we have

$$\int_{K_i^{\text{ad}}} f(xk) dk = 0,$$

where the integral is taken w.r.t. a Haar measure on K_i^{ad} .

Proof. Take $\alpha_{\text{deep}}(i) = n^2 \alpha_{\text{cusp}}(i) + i$. Let A, A', i, f, x be as in the Lemma. Write $x = ga$ with $g \in G_i^{\text{ad}}$ and $a \in A'/Z(G)$. We have:

$$\text{depth}_{A'}(a) \geq n^2 \alpha_{\text{cusp}}(i)$$

and hence

$$\text{depth}_{A'}(a^{-1}) \geq n \alpha_{\text{cusp}}(i).$$

Thus, by [Corollary 8.2.5](#)

$$aK_i a^{-1} \cap V_{a^{-1}} \supset V_{a^{-1}} \cap G_{\alpha_{\text{cusp}}(i)}.$$

Therefore, by [Corollary 8.1.5](#), for any $h \in G_i^{\text{ad}}$ we have

$$(8.3) \quad \int_{aK_i^{\text{ad}} a^{-1} \cap V_{a^{-1}}} f(hu) du = 0.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_i^{\text{ad}}} f(xk) dk &= \int_{K_i^{\text{ad}}} f(gak) dk = \int_{K_i^{\text{ad}}} f(gaka^{-1}) dk = \\ &= \int_{aK_i^{\text{ad}} a^{-1}} f(gk_1) dk_1 \stackrel{\text{Lem 8.2.1}}{=} \int_{(aK_i^{\text{ad}} a^{-1} \cap K_0)(aK_i^{\text{ad}} a^{-1} \cap V_{a^{-1}})} f(gk_1) dk_1 = \\ &= \int_{aK_i^{\text{ad}} a^{-1} \cap K_0} \int_{aK_i^{\text{ad}} a^{-1} \cap V_{a^{-1}}} f(gk_2 u) du dk_2 \stackrel{(8.3)}{=} 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here dk, dk_1, dk_2, du are appropriate Haar measures. \square

Lemma 8.3.2. *Let $A' < A < T$ be standard tori. Then there exists a polynomial $\alpha_{van0}^{A',A} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any*

- $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$,
- an (A, i) -adapted function $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$, and
- $x \in G_i^{ad}(A'/Z(G))$ with

$$ov_{G^{ad}}(x) > \alpha_{van0}^{A',A}(i),$$

we have

$$\int_{K_i^{ad}} f(xk) dk = 0,$$

where the integral is taken w.r.t. a Haar measure on K_i^{ad} .

Proof. Define recursively:

$$\alpha_{van0}^{A',A} = i + \sum_{A'' \in \mathfrak{X}(A')} \alpha_{van0}^{A'',A} \circ \alpha_{deep}.$$

We will prove the lemma by induction on A' w.r.t. the inclusion order. The base of the induction $A' = Z(G)$ is obvious. So we will now fix A' and assume the statement for any $A'' \in \mathfrak{X}(A')$. Let i, f, x be as in the Lemma. We will show the required vanishing by analyzing 2 cases:

Case 1. $depth_{A'}(x) > \alpha_{deep}(i)$.

This case follows immediately from the previous lemma (Lemma 8.3.1)

Case 2. $depth_{A'}(x) \leq \alpha_{deep}(i)$.

In this case one can find $A'' \in \mathfrak{X}(A')$ such that $x \in G_{\alpha_{deep}(i)}(A''/Z(G))$.

The assertion follows now from the induction hypothesis. \square

Corollary 8.3.3 (A version of [HC70, Theorem 10]). *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{van0} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any*

- standard torus $A < T$,
- $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$,
- an (A, i) -adapted function $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$, and
- $x \in G_i^{ad}$ with

$$ov_{G^{ad}}(x) > \alpha_{van0}(i),$$

we have

$$\int_{K_i^{ad}} f(xk) dk = 0,$$

where the integral is taken w.r.t. a Haar measure on K_i^{ad} .

Proof. Take

$$\alpha_{van0} = \max_A \alpha_{van0}^{A,A}$$

where the maximum is over all standard tori. Let A, i, f, x be as in the lemma.

If $xK_i^{ad} \cap G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G)) = \emptyset$, we are done. Otherwise we can assume without loss of generality that $x \in G_i^{ad}(A/Z(G))$. In this case the assertion follows from the previous lemma (Lemma 8.3.2). \square

Proposition 8.3.4 (A version of [HC70, Theorem 20]). *There exists a polynomial $\alpha_{van} \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any*

- standard torus $A < T$,
- $i \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$,
- $y \in G_i^{ad}$,
- (A, i) -adapted function $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$, and
- $x \in G^{ad}$ such that

$$ov_{G^{ad}}(x) > \alpha_{van}(i)$$

we have

$$\int_{K_i^{ad}} f(xky) dk = 0,$$

where the integral is taken w.r.t. a Haar measure on K_i^{ad} .

Proof. We take

$$\alpha_{van}(i) := \alpha_{van_0}(i + \alpha_{conj}(\alpha_{conj}(i))).$$

Fix:

- A, i, y, f as above.
- $x \in G^{ad}$ such that

$$ov_{G^{ad}}(x) > \alpha_{van}(i)$$

Denote $i' = \alpha_{conj}(i)$, $i'' = \alpha_{conj}(i')$. By Lemma 8.2.2, we have

$$K_{i''}^{ad} \subset y^{-1}K_{i'}^{ad}y \subset K_i^{ad}.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{K_i^{ad}} f(xky) dk &= \sum_{[z] \in K_i^{ad}/K_{i'}^{ad}} \int_{K_{i'}^{ad}} f(xzk_1y) dk_1 = \\ &= \sum_{[z] \in K_i^{ad}/K_{i'}^{ad}} \int_{K_{i'}^{ad}} f(xzyy^{-1}k_1y) dk_1 = \\ &= \sum_{[z] \in K_i^{ad}/K_{i'}^{ad}} \int_{y^{-1}K_{i'}^{ad}y} f(xzyk_2) dk_2 = \\ &= \sum_{[z] \in K_i^{ad}/K_{i'}^{ad}} \left(\sum_{[w] \in (y^{-1}K_{i'}^{ad}y)/K_{i''}^{ad}} \int_{K_{i''}^{ad}} f(xzywk_3) dk_3 \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here dk, dk_1, dk_2, dk_3 are appropriate Haar measures, and the last equality follows from Corollary 8.3.3. \square

8.4. Proof of Theorem 8.0.3. Take $\alpha_{stab} := \alpha_{van}$. Fix

- a standard torus $A < T$,
- an integer $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $y \in G_i^{ad}$,
- an (A, i) -adapted function $f \in C^\infty(G^{ad})$, and
- $j > j_0 := \alpha_{stab}(i)$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G_j^{ad}} f(xy)dx - \int_{G_{j_0}^{ad}} f(xy)dx \\ &= \int_{G_j^{ad} \setminus G_{j_0}^{ad}} f(xy)dx = \sum_{[z] \in (G_j^{ad} \setminus G_{j_0}^{ad})/K_1^{ad}} \int_{K_1^{ad}} f(zky)dk = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality follows from [Proposition 8.3.4](#).

9. PROOF OF THEOREMS C AND B

Lemma 9.0.1. *Let $M < G$ be a Levi subgroup. Let $x \in M \cap G^{rss}$. Let $A := Z(M)$ and $a \in A$. Then:*

- (1) *For any $u \in V_a$ we have $uxu^{-1}x^{-1} \in V_a$.*
- (2) *The map $C_x : V_a \rightarrow V_a$ defined by*

$$C_x(u) := uxu^{-1}x^{-1}$$

is a homeomorphism that maps a Haar measure to a Haar measure.

Proof. Item (1) is obvious. Let us prove item (2). Consider the natural filtration V_a^k on the unipotent group V_a . The quotient V_a^k/V_a^{k+1} has a natural structure of a linear space. It is easy to see that C_x preserves this filtration and acts as an invertible linear operator on each V_a^k/V_a^{k+1} . This implies the assertion. \square

Lemma 9.0.2. *Let $f \in C^\infty(G)$ be a cuspidal function. Let $M < G$ be a Levi subgroup. Let $x \in M \cap G^{rss}$. Let $A := Z(M)$ and $a \in A$. Recall that $\phi_x : G^{ad} \rightarrow G$ is defined by $\phi_x([g]) = gxg^{-1}$. Then $\phi_x^*(f)$ is A -cuspidal (and A -right-invariant).*

Proof. Let $a \in A$ and $g \in G$. Let $[g]$ be the class of g in G^{ad} . For $u \in V_a$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x([g]u) &= guxu^{-1}g^{-1} = guxu^{-1}x^{-1}g^{-1}gxg^{-1} = gC_x(u)g^{-1}gxg^{-1} = \\ &= (Ad(g) \circ C_x)(u)gxg^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$\int_{V_a} \phi_x^*(f)([g]u)du = \int_{V_a} f((Ad(g) \circ C_x)(u)gxg^{-1})du = \int_{Ad(g)(V_a)} f(vgxg^{-1})dv,$$

where du is a Haar measure on U and $dv = (Ad(g) \circ C_x)_*(du)$. By the previous Lemma ([Lemma 9.0.1](#)) dv is a Haar measure on $Ad(g)(U)$. Since f is cuspidal, we get

$$\int_{V_a} \phi_x^*(f)([g]u)du = 0$$

as required. \square

Proof of Theorem C. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\text{Supp}(m) \subset G_N Z(G)$. For any standard Levi $M < G$ let $a_M : G \times (M \cap G^{rss}) \rightarrow G^{rss}$ be the action map. By Lemma 5.1.2 we can find c such that for any standard Levi $M < G$ we have

$$(9.1) \quad (a_M)_*(\|\cdot\|_G \times \|\cdot\|_{M \cap G^{rss}}) < c(\|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}}|_{\text{Im}(a_M)})^c.$$

Also, since $M \cap G^{rss}$ is a closed subset of G^{rss} , by Corollary 4.0.4, we have d such that for any standard Levi $M < G$ we have

$$(9.2) \quad \|\cdot\|_{G^{rss}} < d(\|\cdot\|_{M \cap G^{rss}})^d.$$

We take

$$\alpha_{ad-stab}^m(i) := \alpha_{stab}(\alpha_{pull}(N + cd + d + cdi) + c + ci).$$

Fix $x \in G^{rss}$ and $i > i_0 := \alpha_{ad-stab}^m(\text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x))$. We have to show that $\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x) = \mathcal{A}_{i_0}(m)(x)$.

Let $M < G$ be a standard Levi such that we have an element $x_0 \in M^{el} \cap G^{rss}$ which is conjugate to x . Let $A = Z(M)$. By (9.1), we can find $x_1 \in M, y \in G$ such that

- (a) $yx_1y^{-1} = x$
- (b) $\|x_1\|_{M \cap G^{rss}} < c(\|x\|_{G^{rss}})^c$
- (c) $\|y\|_G < c(\|x\|_{G^{rss}})^c$

It is easy to see that $x_1 \in M^{el}$. By (9.2), we also have:

$$\|x_1\|_{G^{rss}} < d(\|x_1\|_{M \cap G^{rss}})^d$$

and thus:

$$(9.3) \quad \|x_1\|_{G^{rss}} < c^d d(\|x\|_{G^{rss}})^{cd}.$$

By Lemma 7.0.3, we have

$$\phi_{x_1}^{-1}(G_N Z(G)) = \phi_{x_1}^{-1}(G_N) \subset G_{\alpha_{pull}(N + \text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x_1))}^{ad}(A/Z(G)).$$

Denote $i_1 := \alpha_{pull}(N + \text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x_1))$. By Lemma 9.0.2, this implies that $\phi_{x_1}^*(m)$ is (A, i_1) -adapted. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have:

$$A_j(m)(x) = \int_{G_j^{ad}} m(Ad(g)(x))dg \stackrel{(a)}{=} \int_{G_j^{ad}} m(Ad(g)(yx_1y^{-1}))dg = \int_{G_j^{ad}} \phi_{x_1}^*(m)(g[y])dg,$$

where $[y] \in G^{ad}$ is the class of y . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} i_0 &= \alpha_{ad-stab}^m(\text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x)) = \alpha_{stab}(\alpha_{pull}(N + cd + d + cd(\text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x))) + c + c \cdot \text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x)) \stackrel{(9.3)}{\geq} \\ &\geq \alpha_{stab}(\alpha_{pull}(N + \text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x_1)) + c + c \cdot \text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x)) \stackrel{(c)}{\geq} \\ &\geq \alpha_{stab}(\alpha_{pull}(N + \text{ov}_{G^{rss}}(x_1)) + \text{ov}_G(y)) \geq \alpha_{stab}(i_1 + \text{ov}_{G^{ad}}([y])). \end{aligned}$$

Let $i_2 := i_1 + \text{ov}_{G^{rss}}[y]$. Note that $\phi_{x_1}^*(m)$ is (A, i_2) -adapted and $[y] \in G_{i_2}^{ad}$. So, by Theorem 8.0.3,

$$\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x) = \int_{G_i^{ad}} \phi_{x_1}^*(m)(g[y])dg = \int_{G_{i_0}^{ad}} \phi_{x_1}^*(m)(g[y])dg = \mathcal{A}_{i_0}(m)(x)$$

as required. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Take $\alpha^m(i) = \alpha_{av}(\alpha_{ad-stab}^m(i) + i)$. For any $x \in G^{rss}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)| &\stackrel{\text{Thm C}}{\leq} \max_{k \leq \alpha_{ad-stab}^m(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))} |\mathcal{A}_k(m)(x)| \leq \\ &\stackrel{\text{Thm D}}{\leq} \max_{k \leq \alpha_{ad-stab}^m(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))} \alpha_{av}(k + ov_{G^{rss}}(x))\Omega(|m|)(x) = \\ &= \alpha_{av}(\alpha_{ad-stab}^m(ov_{G^{rss}}(x)) + ov_{G^{rss}}(x))\Omega(|m|)(x) = \alpha^m(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))\Omega(|m|)(x). \end{aligned}$$

\square

10. LIE ALGEBRA VERSIONS OF THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we formulate Lie algebra versions of the main results and explain how one can modify the proof of the main results in order to prove the Lie algebra versions.

Definition 10.0.1. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$.

- We say that f is *cuspidal* if for any nilpotent radical \mathfrak{u} of a proper parabolic subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ the function $h : \mathfrak{u} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $h(u) := f(x + u)$ is compactly supported and

$$\int h \mu_{\mathfrak{u}} = 0,$$

where $\mu_{\mathfrak{u}}$ is a Haar measure on \mathfrak{u} .

- We denote the collection of cuspidal functions on \mathfrak{g} by $C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})^{cusp}$.

The proof of Theorem B also gives:

Theorem B'. For any $m \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})^{cusp}$ which has compact support modulo the center, there exists a polynomial $\alpha^m \in \mathbb{N}[t]$ such that for any $x \in G^{rss}$ we have

$$|\mathcal{A}_i(m)(x)| \leq \alpha^m(ov_{G^{rss}}(x))\Omega(|m|)(x).$$

Here we extend the definition of \mathcal{A}_i given in Definition 1.4.1 to functions on \mathfrak{g} in the natural way.

To be more precise one has to modify the proof of Theorem B as follows: In Lemma 9.0.1, replace $C_x(u)$ with $D_x(u) := uxu^{-1} - x$. This allows to modify Lemma 9.0.2 to work for a cuspidal function $f \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$. The rest of the proof works with the obvious modifications.

Theorem A' follows from Theorem B' and the fact that $\hat{\mu}_x|_B = \lim \mathcal{A}_i(m)|_B$ for some cuspidal $m \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g})$. This is proven exactly as in characteristic zero case, see [HC99, Lemma 1.19].

INDEX

$(G^{ad})_i$, 4	α_{stab} , 29
$<$, 9	α_{van0} , 33
A -cuspidal, 28	α_{van} , 34
C , 9	α_{vol} , 25
$C^\infty(G)^{cusp}$, 4	\bullet_i , 11
$C^{-\infty}$, 2	χ_ρ , 2
C^{el} , 10	ℓ , 2
C^{rss} , 9	$\eta_{x,H}$, 30
F , 2	\mathbf{Com}^{rss} , 13
F -analytic manifold, 8	\mathbf{C} , 9
G , 2	\mathbf{C}^{rss} , 9
G^{ad} , 4	\mathbf{G} , 2
G^{el} , 9	\mathbf{G}^{ad} , 9
G^{rss} , 9	$\mathbf{G}^{r,v}$, 15
G_i , 4	\mathbf{G}^{rss} , 9
K_0 , 9	\mathbf{P}_k , 16
K_i , 9	\mathbf{S} , 16
K_i^{ad} , 9	\mathbf{S}^\times , 19
M^{el} , 9	\mathbf{S}_k , 16
O_F , 9	\mathbf{T} , 9
P_a , 28	\mathbf{T}_λ , 9
S , 16	\mathcal{A}_i , 4
S^\times , 19	$\mathcal{A}_i(f)(x)$, 4
$S^{el,\times}$, 19	$\mathfrak{X}(A)$, 31
S^{el} , 16	μ_C , 9
S_k^{el} , 16	μ_C , 9
V_a , 28	$\mu_{G \cdot x}$, 2
$Z(G)$, 4	$\mu_{G^{ad}}$, 4
$Z(\cdot)$, 9	μ_{G_x} , 2
Δ , 2, 9	μ_G , 2
Δ_C , 10	$\mu_{Z(G)}$, 4
$\Omega(f)$, 2	ϕ , 13
$\alpha_{van0}^{A',A}$, 33	$\phi^*(\ \cdot\)$, 11
$\alpha^{\rho,m}$, 3	$\phi_*(\ \cdot\)$, 11
$\alpha_{ad-stab}^m$, 5	ϕ_x , 5
α^m , 4	\prec , 11
α_{av} , 6	\sim , 11
α_{av}^Γ , 6	\square , 8
α_{conj} , 31	$\ (\cdot, \cdot)\ _{S^\times}^R$, 20
α_{cusp} , 30	$\ \cdot\ _{Com^{rss}}'$, 13
α_{deep} , 32	$\ \cdot\ _{G_x}'$, 13
α_{ell} , 27	$\ \cdot\ _{S^\times}'$, 20
α_{int} , 29	$\ \cdot\ _{S^{el,\times}}'$, 20
α_{pull} , 27	$\ \cdot\ _{S^{el}}'$, 17
α_{push} , 26	$\ \cdot\ _{S_k}'$, 17

$\ \cdot\ _{\lambda S^\times}^1$	22	$chin_\lambda$	22
$\ \cdot\ _{\lambda S^{cop,\times}}^1$	22	$depth_A(x)$	31
$\ \cdot\ _{Com^{rss}}^R$	13	$inv_{l,k}$	21
$\ \cdot\ _{Sel,\times}^R$	20	m_λ^{Crss}	21
$\ \cdot\ _{Sel}^R$	17	m_λ^S	21
$\ \cdot\ _{S_k}^R$	16	mod_λ	21
$\ \cdot\ _{\lambda S^{cop,\times}}^R$	22	$mod_{l,k}$	21
$\ \cdot\ _{\lambda S^\times}^R$	22	n	2
$\ \cdot\ _C^{\delta-root}$	16	ov	11
$\ \cdot\ _C^{root}$	16	ov_{Grss}	3
$\ \cdot\ _F$	12	ov_G	2
$\ \cdot\ _{(F[t]/f)}$	16	p	9
$\ \cdot\ _{C^{ad}}$	13	p^{rss}	10
$\ \cdot\ _C$	12	$(k_1, k_2)\mathbf{G}$	9
$\ \cdot\ _{F^n}$	12	${}^k\mathbf{C}$	8
$\ \cdot\ _{G/A}$	13	${}^k\mathbf{G}$	8
$\ \cdot\ _{G^{ad}}$	12	${}^k\mathbf{S}$	8
$\ \cdot\ _{Grss}$	12	abstract norm	11
$\ \cdot\ _G$	12	adapted	28
$\ \cdot\ _{Sel,\times}$	20	cuspidal function	4
$\ \cdot\ _{Sel}$	17	NDP	11
$\ \cdot\ _V$	13	Norm Descent Property	11
$\ \cdot\ _{Z_1} \times \ \cdot\ _{Z_2}$	11	standard Levi subgroup	9
${}^\lambda\mathbf{C}^{cop}$	20	standard torus	9
${}^\lambda\mathbf{C}^{rss,cop}$	21	variety	8
${}^\lambda\mathbf{S}^{\times,cop}$	21		
${}^\lambda\mathbf{S}^{cop}$	21		
$chin_\lambda^\times$	22		

REFERENCES

- [AGKS] Avraham Aizenbud, Dmitry Gourevitch, David Kazhdan, and Eitan Sayag. On Harish-Chandra’s integrability theorem in positive characteristic. Preprint available at <https://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~dimagur/>.
- [Del76] Pierre Deligne. Le support du caractère d’une représentation supercuspidale. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 283(4):Aii, A155–A157, 1976.
- [HC70] Harish-Chandra. *Harmonic analysis on reductive p -adic groups*, volume Vol. 162 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. Notes by G. van Dijk.
- [HC99] Harish-Chandra. *Admissible invariant distributions on reductive p -adic groups*, volume 16 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. With a preface and notes by Stephen DeBacker and Paul J. Sally, Jr.
- [Kot05] Robert E. Kottwitz. Harmonic analysis on reductive p -adic groups and Lie algebras. In *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, volume 4 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 393–522. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

- [Ser92] J. P. Serre. *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, volume 1500 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. 1964 lectures given at Harvard University, reprinted with corrections.
- [Sta25] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2025.

AVRAHAM AIZENBUD, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, 76100 REHOVOT, ISRAEL

Email address: aizenr@gmail.com

URL: <https://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~aizenr/>

DMITRY GOUREVITCH, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, WEIZMANN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, 76100 REHOVOT, ISRAEL

Email address: dimagur@weizmann.ac.il

URL: <https://www.wisdom.weizmann.ac.il/~dimagur/>

DAVID KAZHDAN, EINSTEIN INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, EDMOND J. SAFRA CAMPUS, GIVAAT RAM THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904, ISRAEL

Email address: david.kazhdan@mail.huji.ac.il

URL: <https://math.huji.ac.il/~kazhdan/>

EITAN SAYAG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P.O.B. 653, BE'ER SHEVA 84105, ISRAEL

Email address: eitan.sayag@gmail.com

URL: www.math.bgu.ac.il/~sayage