D-modules-Lecture-10

Monday, 7 June 2021 10:08



65

10. Lecture 10. Ramarks on RH Correspondence and its corollaries

10.1. Remarks on the Theorem de Finitude. Why this is called finiteness theorem.

The idea is that $D_{con}(X)$ can be considered as a collection if **finite** objects in $D(Sh(X_{top}))$.

- (i) They can be described by finite amount of data.
- (ii) Analogy with the category of vector spaces.

We have a Verdier duality $\mathbb{D}: D(Sh(X_{top})) \to D(Sh(X_{top}))$ and a morphism of functors $Id \to \mathbb{D} \circ \mathbb{D}$.

This morphism is an identity on the subcategory $D_{con}(X)$.

66

10.1.1. Proof of the reduction claim in The Theorem de Finitude.

Definition. Let X be an algebraic variety, $W \subset X$ its non-empty closed subvariety and $i: W \to X$ the corresponding inclusion. We say that W is a **retract** of X if

there exists a morphism $p: X \to W$ such that $p \circ i = Id_W$ (this morphism p is called a retraction morphism).

General informal idea is that locally such morphism should exist, i.e. there should exist a non-empty open subset $W_0 \subset W$ and its neighborhood $X_0 \subset X$ such that W_0 is a retract of X_0 .

This is correct in analytic situation, and clearly wrong in Zariski topology. However if we pass to etale topology, then this becomes correct.

Proposition 10.1.2. Let $W \subset X$ as before. Then there exists an open subset $U \subset X$, an unramified finite morphism $\nu: Y \to U$ and a non-empty closed subset $W_Y \subset Y$ such

- (i) the variety W_Y is a retract of Y and
- (ii) the morphism ν defines an isomorphism ν : $W_Y \to W_U = W \cap U \subset W$.

Using this proposition we can reduce study of many problems for pair (X, W) to pair (U, W_U) and then to retract pair (Y, W_Y) .

67

Proof of the Proposition.

- (i) We can assume that X and hence W are affine.
- (ii) Consider a finite epimorphism $\pi:W\to V$, where V is an affine space. Extend this t a morphism $\pi:X\to V$
- (iii) Passing to an open subset $V' \subset V$ (and taking its preimages) we can assume that we have a morphism $\pi: X \to V'$ that is finite and unramified on W.
- (iv) Denote by Y the fibered product $Y = W \times_{V'} X$ and denote by W_Y the image of the diagonal embedding of W.

When we have a retraction morphism $p:X\to W$ we can further simplify the situation.

For example, we can replace X by $X' = X \times W$, consider X as a subvariety of X' identifying it with the graph of the retraction morphism p. Then we reduce our problem with the case when $X = W \times Z$ and p is the projection.

If Z is an affine space we can reduce to the situation to the case of the projection $p:X=W\times Z\to W$ where $i:W\to X$ is a coordinate embedding

After this we can replace the affine space Z by the corresponding projective space \mathbb{P} .

: W -> N+2 -> W

: (non = 10. i (no)

a: W+2 =>

a(w.2) = (no. 2 -) (w)

if 2 is a vector spece

;': w +> (no. 0)

we can except 2 < cop

y> w+1P = W

w +no. o)

69

10.2. Analytic corollaries of RH.

10.2.1. Solution functor. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} . Consider an arbitrary sheaf R of D_{an} -modules on the complex variety X_{an} .

Examples 10.2.2. (i) $R = O_{an}$ (ii) $R = O_{x,an}$ for some point $x \in X$. (iii) $\mathbf{n} = \mathcal{O}_{x,for}$ for some point $x \in \Lambda$

- (iv) Suppose X has a real structure and $R = C^{\infty}(X_{\mathbb{R}})$ the sheaf of smooth functions on the manifold of real points of X.
 - (v) X has real structure and $R = \mathbf{Gen\text{-}Func}(X_{\mathbb{R}})$

Given a coherent \mathcal{D}_{X} -complex M we define a complex of sheaves Sol(M, R) on the topological space X_{top} by $Sol(M, R) := Hom_{D_{an}}(M_{an}, R)$ (morphisms in the derived category)

As a special case we set $Sol(M) := Sol(M, O_{an})$. This functor is closely related to the functor Ω . Namely,

$$Sol(M) = \Omega(\mathbb{D}(M))[-dimX]$$

70

10.2.3. Analytic properties of RS systems.

Proposition 10.2.4. Let M be an RS \mathcal{D}_X -complex.

(i) For every point $x \in X$ we have $M, O_{x,an} = Sol(\mathbb{R}, O_{x,for})$

(ii) Suppose X has a real structure and $Y = X_{\mathbb{R}}$.

 $Then \, Sol(M, Gen-Fun(Y)) = Sol(M, Hyperfunctions(Y))$

In other words, any hyperfunction solution of this system is in fact a generalized function.

(i) 26 X

Sol (M, 0, on) = Sol (M, 0x, an)

N=D(M)

Sol (M, 0, on) = MDM) = = = [[[L(N)]]

Sol (M, 0, on) = in (D (L(N))

10.3. Perverse sheaves and t-structures. We have shown that the categories $D_{RS}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ and $D_{con}(X)$ are canonically equivalent.

Another point of view on this is that there exists some category D(X) and we deal with two realizations of this category.

This realizations have rather different shape since they have different truncation structures.

Dean (x)

Dean (x)

Dean (x)

Dean (x)

Dean (x)

Dean (x)

Dean (x, Q)

Con (x, Q)

Con (x, Q)

Perv (x, Q)

Ruextion- Describe tre

colegary Perv (x, Q)

directly we trank

The Ranguage of tunking

Host to answer tre source

quertin in Drs (x) DRS (8)

D'as (x) < Des (H), that

somich of completes

with adone is 20 dayour,

M - as - module tran

in 1/H at every Jose x 460

Movemen for about a a

poster z if Milio is

class. Let $H \in D_{-}^{+}(x)$

72

Then for xex we have

(i) Partiide of ending

(ii) Cookse of ending

(ii) Outride of ending

Si (M) & DEN-1 (M)

OCX FOR TEU

ii'(M) & DEN-2 (M)

M INE (M,U) ->