

## 4. LECTURE 4. SOME RINGS OF PERIODS

**4.1.  $p$ -adic fields.** We fixed a prime number  $p$ . Consider a complete valued field  $K$  of characteristic 0 with valuation  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \infty$  such that  $v(p) = 1$ .

Let  $O_K$  denote the ring of integers in  $K$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_K$  its maximal ideal and  $k = O_K/\mathfrak{p}_K$ -its residue field of characteristic  $p$ .

We are mostly interested in the case when  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . However, sometimes it is convenient to extend the residue field  $k$  to its algebraic closure. So we adopt the following terminology.

**Definition.** A  $p$ -adic field is a field  $K$  of characteristic 0 with a discrete valuation  $v : K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cup \infty$  such that  $K$  is complete with respect to  $v$  and its residue field  $k$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ .

For  $p$ -adic field  $K$  we choose a uniformizer  $\pi = \pi_K$ , i.e. any generator of  $\mathfrak{p}_K$  as  $O_K$ -module

*Examples.* 1. Any finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a  $p$ -adic field.

2. Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field. Fix an algebraic closure of  $K$  and consider the maximal unramified extension  $L = K^{un}$  of  $K$ . This field has a discrete valuation  $v$  and its residue field  $l$  is isomorphic to the algebraic closure of the residue field  $k$  of  $K$ .

However, the field  $L$  is not complete with respect to  $v$ , so it is not a  $p$ -adic field. The completion  $L'$  of  $L$  with respect to  $v$  is a  $p$ -adic field with residue field  $l = \bar{k}$ .

*Exercise.* Let  $L$  be an algebraic field extension of a  $p$ -adic field  $K$ . Then the valuation  $v$  uniquely extends to a valuation of the field  $L$ . If  $L/K$  is a finite extension then  $L$  is a  $p$ -adic field with this valuation.

Teichmüller representatives.

$K$ - $p$ -adic field.

$$\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\pi} k = \mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{p}_K$$

$\nwarrow$   
 $\mathfrak{p}_K$

-----

For any  $x \in k$   $s_1(x)$   $s_2(x)$

Claim (a)  $s_1(x) - s_2(x) \in \mathfrak{p}_K$

claim 1 clear.

claim  $n \Rightarrow$  claim  $n+1$

$$x = y^p$$

$$s_2(y)^p = (s_1(y) + \delta)^p \quad s_2(y) = s_1(y) + \delta \quad \delta \in \mathfrak{p}_K^n$$

$$s_2(y) = s_1(y) + \delta$$

Define  $\zeta^n(x)$

$$y^{p^n} = x$$

$\bar{y}$  - lift of  $x$  defined up to  $\mathfrak{p}$

$$t^n(x) = q^{pn}$$

Choose  
uniform.  $\pi = \pi_n$

$$s(x) \in \mathbb{C} \text{ via } t^n(x).$$

Proposition Every elem.

$x \in K$  can be uniquely  
written

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} s(a_n) \pi^n$$

$$a_n = 0 \text{ for } n < 0$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a topol. group.

$B$  is  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  algebra

and  $B$  is  $\Gamma$ -module and  
continuous.

$\text{Rep}_B(\Gamma)$  - finite gener.  $B$ -mod  
with cont. action of  $\Gamma$

$$\delta(ba) = \delta(b) \delta(a)$$

$[\Gamma, B]$ -modules.

### 4.1.1. Teichmuller representatives. ♠

**Proposition 4.1.2.** *Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -adic field,  $O_K$  its ring of integers and  $p : O_K \rightarrow k$  the residue map. Then there exists unique multiplicative section  $s : k \rightarrow O_K$ .*

*Element  $s(a)$  is called the **Teichmuller representative** of an element  $a \in k$*

*Exercise. ♠*

Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{p}_K$  be a uniformizer. Then any element  $x \in K$  can be uniquely written as

$$x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} s(a_i) \pi^i$$

where  $a_i \in k$  and  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \ll 0$

*Claim. category has tensor product  
super*

$M \otimes_B N$  — again  $(B, B)$ -module.

If  $B$  is a field, then  
 $M$  trivially exists dual.

$$M \otimes_B \widehat{M} \rightarrow B \quad \text{is } \Gamma \text{ equiv.}$$

---

*Fontaine.  $K$ - $p$ -adic field,  
let  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ .*

$B$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra  
 Ring of periods. with action of  $\Gamma$   
 assume that  $K = B^\Gamma$   
 is a  $p$ -adic field.

Then we have a functor

$$D_B : \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_K$$

$$M \rightarrow B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M \hookrightarrow (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M)^\Gamma$$

Def.  $M$  is called  $B$ -admissible.

$D_B$ -admissible if

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M = \dim_K D_B(M)$$

claim. If  $B$  is a field then  
always  $\dim D_B(M) \leq \dim M$

$$\dim D_B(M) \leq \dim M$$

Examples.

1.  $B = \mathbb{F}$

claim.  $M$  is  $B$ -admissible iff  
 it is smooth.

$M = (T, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ -module

$$B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M = \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} M)$$

Example:  $\mathbb{Q} \subset K \subset \mathcal{U}$  Finite Galois ext.  
 $\mathbb{Q} \subset K$  unramified.

$$D_{\text{pro}} \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Vect}_K \quad \Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathcal{U}/\mathbb{Q})$$

Proposition any  $(T, \mathcal{A})$ -module  $M$   
 is admissible.

$$M \rightarrow M' = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} M \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \otimes_K M' \rightarrow$$

$$\rightarrow \{ \mathcal{U} \otimes_K M' \}$$

can assume  $\mathbb{Q} \subset K$

$M = (T, K)$ -module.  $\Gamma$  acts trivially

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} (\mathcal{U} \otimes M) = \dim_K M$$

1)  $M$  - vector space over  $K$

2)  $M$  has action of  $\mathcal{U}$

3)  $M$  has action of  $\Gamma$

choose a large field  
 extension  $K \subset \mathcal{U} \subset K'$

$(\Gamma, K')$ -module  $\widehat{M} = \mathcal{U} \otimes_K M$

consider  $(\Gamma, K')$ -module  $\widehat{M}$   
 is faithful in  $\Gamma$

$$N' = \underline{L}$$

$$(\underline{L}, L) \text{ module} = F(\underline{L})$$

Claim  $\text{Rep}_F(L) \cong \text{Vect}_L$

Sheaves on  $\Gamma$  over  $L$

\*  $\text{Mod}_{F(\underline{L})/K}(N, L) = D$  is  $\Gamma$ -torsion.

$$L \otimes_K M = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Delta} L \otimes_K M$$

$n(\text{mod}) = \text{solutions}$

... ..

Prp.  $\text{Repr. } M \in \text{Rep}_F(M)$  is adu

---

$\Gamma \cong \text{Gal}(F/K)$

iff  $M$  is smooth.

Reduce to finite stud.

$H \subset \Gamma$  s.t.  $H$  of finite

s.t.  $H$  acts on  $M$  trivially

replace  $K$  by  $K^H = N$

... ..

$$M \rightarrow (B \otimes_K M)^H = \text{Hom}_\Gamma(M', B)$$

$$M' = M^*$$

$$B = \bar{K}$$

If  $M'$  is not smooth

it has maximal  
smooth quotient  $Q(M')$

$$D \rightarrow K \rightarrow M' \rightarrow Q(M')$$

$$D(M) = \text{Hom}_G(M', \bar{K})$$

$$\omega: M \rightarrow \bar{K} \rightarrow Q(M)$$

Period ring  $C = C_K$ .

$$\dim D(M) \leq \dim \operatorname{Tor}(Q(M), K) \\ \leq \dim Q(M).$$

$K$ -p-adic field.

$C = C_K = \text{completion of } \bar{K}$ .

$\Gamma = \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  acts on  $\bar{K}$   
preserves valuation  
 $\Rightarrow$  acts on  $C$

What are  $C$ -admissible

Theorem 1.  $C$  is algebraically closed.

2.  $C^\Gamma \cong K$

Moreover if  $H$  is a closed  
subgr of  $\Gamma$ ,  $L$  convex  
field extension  $K \subset L \subset \bar{K}$

$C^H = \text{closure of } L$

$B = C$  - period ring

$M$   $D_D(M)$  -  $C$ -modules

Theorem (Faltings).

Let  $X$  be smooth projective over  $K$

consider  $X_{\bar{K}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(\bar{K})$

$M$  is repr. of  $\Gamma = \operatorname{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ .

$$D_D(M) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} H_{0,0}^p(X_{\bar{K}}) \quad p \in \mathbb{Z} \cap n$$

Arithmetic character



$K$   $p$ -adic field.

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$$

There exists canonical  
1-dim.  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -mod. of  $\Gamma$  over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Lemma.  $R_p = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  - abelian group.

$$\text{Then } \text{Hom}(R_p, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$P = G_m(K)$$

$$P(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$$

$$\text{Def. } T_p(G_m(K)) = \text{Hom}(R_p, G_m(K)) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \text{Hom}(R_p, G_m(K))$$

$T_p$  module  $(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ -module.

$$T(G_m) = \varprojlim_n \mu_{p^n}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

$$T = H_{\text{et}}^2(P^1(K), \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

$$T_{\text{c mod}} = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathbb{Z}_p), \mathbb{Q}_p(\mathbb{Z}_p)),$$

$$\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma).$$

$$T_p = (\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad R_n = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$$

$$T_p^n = T_p \otimes \dots \otimes T_p \quad n \geq 0$$

$$T_p^n = (T_p)^{\otimes -n} \text{ if } n \leq 0$$

$$T_p(G_m) \cong \text{Hom}(R_p = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p^*).$$

$$\text{Hom}(R_p, \mu_{p^n})$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$$