

p-adic-Lecture-5.pdf

Monday, 23 November 2020 14:09



p-adic-
Lecture-

36

5. LECTURE 5. SOME LINEAR AND SEMI-LINEAR ALGEBRA

5.1. Abstract semi-linear algebra, Period rings and admissible representations. It is always easier to solve purely algebraic problems that do not deal with topology. So consider the following abstract situation.

Setup Fix a field F and an group Γ . We denote by $Rep_F(\Gamma)$ the category that consists of pairs (ρ, V) , where V is a finite dimensional vector space over F and ρ is an F linear action of Γ on V .

We do not consider any topology here.

$Rep_F(\Gamma)$ is a symmetric tensor category over the field F , i.e on this category we have the natural tensor product $\otimes = \otimes_F$. Here $\mathbf{I}_F = (Id, F)$ is a unital object and for any object (ρ, V) we can define the dual object (ρ^*, V^*) .

It turns out that a good way to study this category and some of its interesting subcategories is to consider its semi-linear generalization.

Namely, suppose we have a commutative F -algebra B with 1 and an action r of the group Γ on the F -algebra B .

Consider the category $Rep_B(\Gamma)$ that consists of pairs (ρ, M) , where M is a finitely generated B -module and ρ is a semi-linear action of Γ on M , i.e. it satisfies $\rho(g)(bm) = r(g)(b)\rho(m)$.

This is also a tensor category. Moreover, we have a natural tensor functor $E_B : Rep_F(\Gamma) \rightarrow Rep_B(\Gamma)$ given

37

by $E_B(V) = B \otimes_F V$. The object $\mathbf{I}_B = E_B(\mathbb{F})$ is the unital object in this category.

38

Let $K := B^\Gamma$ be the ring of Γ -invariants in B . In fact it is better to define the ring K in categorical terms, namely

$$K = \text{End}(\mathbf{I}_B)$$

Assumption 1. We assume that K is a field.

We define the functor $D_B : \text{Rep}_F(|\text{Gam}) \rightarrow \text{Vect}(K)$ by $D_B(V) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{I}_B, E_B(V)) = E_B(V)^\Gamma$.

Note, that we have a canonical morphism $\alpha_V : D_B(V) \otimes_K \mathbf{I}_B \rightarrow E_B(V)$

5.2. Case of a field B .

First consider the case when the algebra B is a field. Later we will also consider some rings that are not fields but have good properties

Proposition 5.2.1. *Suppose B is a field. then for any V the morphism $\alpha_V : D_B(V) \times_K \mathbf{I}_B \rightarrow E_B(V)$ is injective.*

Definition. An object $V \in \text{Rep}_F(\Gamma)$ is called B -admissible (or simply admissible) if the morphism $\alpha_V : D_B \otimes_K \mathbf{I}_B \rightarrow E_B(V)$ is an isomorphism.

Theorem 5.3. *Fix a field B as before.*

Consider the category $\text{Rep}_F(\Gamma)$ of F -representations of the group Γ and distinguish inside it the full subcategory $\text{Adm}_F(\Gamma)$ of B -admissible modules. Then

- (i) The category $\text{Adm}_F(\Gamma)$ is an abelian subcategory closed with respect to subquotients (but not extensions)*
- (ii) This is a tensor subcategory, closed with respect to tensor product, symmetric and exterior powers and duality.*

Proof of the proposition

- (i) Abelian K -linear category.
- Finite length.
- Socle of M , notation $\text{Soc}(M)$

Claim. *(i) Socle(M) is isomorphic to a direct sum of*

simple objects.

(ii) For any simple object L we have $\text{Hom}(L, \text{Soc}(M)) = \text{Hom}(L, M)$

Now let us consider any K linear category and let I be a simple object such that $K = \text{End}(I)$ I claim that for any object M the natural morphism $\text{Hom}(I, M) \otimes_K I \rightarrow M$ is an injection.

Enough to check this for the submodule $\text{Soc}(M)$. In this case this is obvious.

41

Proof of the Theorem.

Admissibility condition for V can be written as

$$\dim(D_B(V)) = \dim(V)$$

(i) Subquotients

(ii) Let W be admissible and V be any representation.

I claim that we have a natural isomorphism

$$D_B(V) \otimes_K D_B(W) \approx D_B(V \otimes W)$$

Indeed, we have an isomorphism

$$D_W(B) \otimes \mathbf{I}_B \rightarrow W \otimes_B \mathbf{I}_B.$$

Hence

$$E_B(V \otimes W) \approx V \otimes W \otimes \mathbf{I}_B \approx V \otimes (E_B(W)) \approx E_B(V) \otimes D_B(W)$$

this implies that

$$D_B(V) \otimes_K D_B(W) \approx D_B(V \otimes W)$$

(iii) This implies compatibility with symmetric and exterior powers.

(iv) If L is one dimensional admissible, then L^* is also admissible.

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\otimes n} &\longrightarrow D_B(V)^{\wedge n} \\ \mathrm{Sym}^n(V) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^n D_B(V) \\ \wedge^n(V) &\longrightarrow \wedge^n D_B(V) \end{aligned}$$

(v) Let $\dim V = d$. Consider $L = \Lambda^d(V)$. Then L is one dimensional admissible G -module and hence L^* is

is one dimensional admissible \mathcal{O} -module, and hence L is admissible.

Now we see that $W^* \approx L^* \otimes \Lambda^{d-1}(V)$ is also admissible.

5.4. Regular period rings. Now consider more general case. Let B be an F -algebra with an action of the groups Γ . Let us assume the following conditions

Assumption 1. B is a domain.

We denote by C the field of fractions of B .

Assumption 2. $K = B^\Gamma$ coincides with C^Γ . In particular, it is a field.

Assumption 3. Let L be any one dimensional representation of Γ . Then any nonzero morphism $\beta : \mathbf{I}_B \rightarrow E_B(L)$ is an isomorphism.

Definition. The algebra B satisfying conditions 1-3 is called **regular**

Theorem 5.5. Suppose B is a regular algebra. Then all the statements from theorem above hold for the functor D_B

5.6. Hodge-Tate representations. Let us come back to the field $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$.

Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Rep}_F(\Gamma)$ be the Tate module. For any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ we introduce q -twisting by

$$V(q); = V \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes q}$$

Consider the ring of periods $B := C_K$.

A representation $V \in \text{Rep}_F(\Gamma)$ is called **Hodge-Tate** if $D_B(V)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of modules of type $\mathbf{I}_B(q)$.

Proof of 5.5.

Intuitively,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_B(V) \otimes \mathbf{I}_B & \rightarrow & E_B(V) \text{ is injective.} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_C(V) \otimes \mathbf{I}_C & \rightarrow & E_C(V) \end{array}$$

~ ~ ~ ~ ~

44

We have seen that by Faltings' theorem all cohomology groups of bprojective smooth varieties are of this type. In fact it is possible to describe the category of Hodge-Tate modules as the category of admissible modules with respect to some algebra B_{HT} .

This is based on the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7. $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{I}_B(q), \mathbf{I}_B(r)) = 0$ if $q \neq r$ and equals K if $q = r$

$\text{Ext}^1(\mathbf{I}_B(q), \mathbf{I}_B(r)) = 0$ if $q \neq r$ and is one dimensional over the field K if $q = r$

X/K - alg. variety, p_X - smooth
 $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_K) = \Gamma$ -module over \mathbb{F}_p
 $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K)$

$$L_{\mathbb{F}}^{\psi} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathbb{F}} I_{\mathbb{F}}(q)$$

$$B = C_K$$

$$I_3$$

\mathbb{Z} - T_K module

$$q \in \mathbb{Z} \quad I(q) = I \oplus I \oplus I$$

$$\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q / \mathbb{F}_q)$$

$$\dim(C_K, C_K(q)) = 0 \text{ when } q \neq 0$$

$$C(q)^{\Gamma} = 0 \quad q \neq 0$$

$$C^{\Gamma} = \mathbb{F} \quad q = 0$$

45

Algebra $B_{HT} = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} I_B(q)$, where $B = C_K \simeq C_K[t, t^{-1}] = B_{HT}$

Claim. Hodge-Tate modules are modules admissible for the algebra B_{HT} .

B_{HT} is regular algebra.

$$\overline{\dim}(\text{Hodge-Tate})$$

$$I(q)^{\Gamma} = 0 \quad q \neq 0$$

$$= \mathbb{F} \quad q = 0$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_p$$