



## Note

 $m$ -ary partitions with no gaps: A characterization modulo  $m$ George E. Andrews<sup>a</sup>, Aviezri S. Fraenkel<sup>b</sup>, James A. Sellers<sup>a,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA<sup>b</sup> Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, 76100 Rehovot, Israel

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 21 April 2015

Received in revised form 16 August 2015

Accepted 17 August 2015

## Keywords:

Partition

Congruence

Generating function

## ABSTRACT

In a recent work, the authors provided the first-ever characterization of the values  $b_m(n)$  modulo  $m$  where  $b_m(n)$  is the number of (unrestricted)  $m$ -ary partitions of the integer  $n$  and  $m \geq 2$  is a fixed integer. That characterization proved to be quite elegant and relied only on the base  $m$  representation of  $n$ . Since then, the authors have been motivated to consider a specific restricted  $m$ -ary partition function, namely  $c_m(n)$ , the number of  $m$ -ary partitions of  $n$  where there are no “gaps” in the parts. (That is to say, if  $m^i$  is a part in a partition counted by  $c_m(n)$ , and  $i$  is a positive integer, then  $m^{i-1}$  must also be a part in the partition.) Using tools similar to those utilized in the aforementioned work on  $b_m(n)$ , we prove the first-ever characterization of  $c_m(n)$  modulo  $m$ . As with the work related to  $b_m(n)$  modulo  $m$ , this characterization of  $c_m(n)$  modulo  $m$  is also based solely on the base  $m$  representation of  $n$ .

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In this note, we will focus our attention on congruence properties for the partition functions which enumerate restricted integer partitions known as  $m$ -ary partitions. These are partitions of an integer  $n$  wherein each part is a power of a fixed integer  $m \geq 2$ . Throughout this note, we will let  $b_m(n)$  denote the number of  $m$ -ary partitions of  $n$ .

As an example, note that there are five 3-ary partitions of  $n = 9$ :

$$9, \quad 3 + 3 + 3, \quad 3 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1, \\ 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1, \quad 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1.$$

Thus,  $b_3(9) = 5$ .

In the late 1960s, Churchhouse [5,6] initiated the study of congruence properties of binary partitions ( $m$ -ary partitions with  $m = 2$ ). Within months, other mathematicians proved Churchhouse’s conjectures and proved natural extensions of his results. These included Rødseth [9] who extended Churchhouse’s results to include the functions  $b_p(n)$  where  $p$  is any prime as well as Andrews [1] and Gupta [7,8] who proved that corresponding results also held for  $b_m(n)$  where  $m$  could be any integer greater than 1. As part of an infinite family of results, these authors proved that, for any  $m \geq 2$  and any nonnegative integer  $n$ ,  $b_m(m(mn - 1)) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ .

Quite recently, the authors [3] provided the following mod  $m$  characterization of  $b_m(mn)$  relying solely on the base  $m$  representation of  $n$ :

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [gea1@psu.edu](mailto:gea1@psu.edu) (G.E. Andrews), [aviezri.fraenkel@weizmann.ac.il](mailto:aviezri.fraenkel@weizmann.ac.il) (A.S. Fraenkel), [sellersj@psu.edu](mailto:sellersj@psu.edu) (J.A. Sellers).

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $m \geq 2$  is a fixed integer and*

$$n = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 m + \dots + \alpha_j m^j$$

*is the base  $m$  representation of  $n$  (so that  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq m - 1$  for each  $i$ ), then*

$$b_m(mn) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^j (\alpha_i + 1) \pmod{m}.$$

In this note, we provide a similar mod  $m$  result for the values  $c_m(mn)$ , where  $c_m(n)$  is the number of  $m$ -ary partitions of  $n$  with “no gaps” in the parts. More specifically,  $c_m(n)$  counts the number of partitions of  $n$  into powers of  $m$  such that, if  $m^i$  is a part in a partition counted by  $c_m(n)$ , and  $i$  is a positive integer, then  $m^{i-1}$  must also be a part in the partition. For example, there are six such partitions counted by  $c_3(15)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &9 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1, \quad 3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1, \quad 3 + 3 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1, \\ &3 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1, \quad 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1, \\ &1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note, in particular, that  $9 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$  does not appear in the above list because it does not contain the part 3, and  $3 + 3 + 3 + 3 + 3$  is missing from the list because it does not contain the part 1.

This family of functions  $c_m(n)$  is motivated by a recent work of Bessenrodt, Olsson, and Sellers [4] in which the function  $c_2(n)$  plays a critical role.

**2. The main result**

The following theorem provides a complete characterization of  $c_m(mn)$  modulo  $m$ :

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $m \geq 2$  be a fixed integer and let*

$$n = \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \alpha_i m^i$$

*be the base  $m$  representation of  $n$  where  $1 \leq \alpha_j < m$  and  $0 \leq \alpha_i < m$  for  $i > j$ .*

(1) *If  $j$  is even, then*

$$c_m(mn) \equiv \alpha_j + (\alpha_j - 1) \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_i \pmod{m}.$$

(2) *If  $j$  is odd, then*

$$c_m(mn) \equiv 1 - \alpha_j - (\alpha_j - 1) \sum_{i=j+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_i \pmod{m}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** Note that [Lemma 2.7](#) (which appears below) implies that [Theorem 2.1](#) tells us the congruence class of  $c_m(n)$  modulo  $m$  for all  $n$ , not just those values of  $n$  which are divisible by  $m$ .

In order to prove [Theorem 2.1](#), we need a few elementary tools. We describe these tools here. First, it is important to note the generating function for  $c_m(n)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$C_m(q) := 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{1+m+m^2+\dots+m^n}}{(1-q)(1-q^m) \dots (1-q^{m^n})}.$$

**Proof.** The proof follows from a standard argument from [2, Chapter 1]. ■

Next, we wish to find the generating function for  $c_m(mn)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.**

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_m(mn)q^n = 1 + \frac{q}{1-q} C_m(q) \tag{1}$$

**Proof.** Note that  $C_m(q)$  can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} C_m(q) &= 1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m+m^2+\dots+m^n}}{(1-q^m)\dots(1-q^{m^n})} \frac{q}{1-q} \\ &= 1 + \frac{q}{1-q} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m+m^2+\dots+m^n}}{(1-q^m)\dots(1-q^{m^n})} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^j. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_m(mn)q^{mn} &= \frac{1}{1-q^m} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m+m^2+\dots+m^n}}{(1-q^m)\dots(1-q^{m^n})} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{jm} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q^m} + \frac{q^m}{1-q^m} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m+m^2+\dots+m^n}}{(1-q^m)\dots(1-q^{m^n})} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q^m} + \frac{q^m}{1-q^m} (C_m(q^m) - 1) \\ &= 1 + \frac{q^m}{1-q^m} + \frac{q^m}{1-q^m} C_m(q^m). \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by replacing  $q^m$  by  $q$ . ■

From [Lemma 2.4](#), we have the following recurrence satisfied by  $c_m(mn)$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$c_m(mn) = c_m(0) + c_m(1) + \dots + c_m(n-1).$$

**Proof.** Compare coefficients of  $q^n$  on both sides of the identity in [Lemma 2.4](#). ■

**Lemma 2.6.**

$$C_m(q) = -q^{-1} - q^{-2} - \dots - q^{-(m-1)} + (1 + q^{-1} + \dots + q^{-(m-1)}) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_m(mn)q^{mn}.$$

**Proof.** By [Lemma 2.4](#),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_m(mn)q^{mn} = 1 + \frac{q^m}{1-q^m} C_m(q^m).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} C_m(q) &= 1 + \frac{q}{1-q} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m+\dots+m^n}}{(1-q^m)\dots(1-q^{m^n})} \cdot \frac{q}{1-q} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{q}{1-q} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{m(1+m+\dots+m^n)}}{(1-q^m)\dots(1-q^{m \cdot m^n})} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{q}{1-q} C_m(q^m). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$C_m(q^m) = q^{-1} (C_m(q)(1-q) - 1)$$

and so

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_m(mn)q^{mn} = 1 + \frac{q^{m-1}}{1-q^m} (C_m(q)(1-q) - 1).$$

Solving for  $C_m(q)$  gives the desired result. ■

[Lemma 2.6](#) can now be used to prove that the values of the function  $c_m(n)$  come in  $m$ -tuples as described in the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** For all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$c_m(mn) = c_m(mn - 1) = c_m(mn - 2) = \cdots = c_m(mn - (m - 1)).$$

**Proof.** Compare coefficients of  $q^n$  on both sides of the identity in Lemma 2.6. ■

We now begin the consideration of  $c_m(mn)$  modulo  $m$  by proving the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.8.** If  $n \equiv k \pmod{m}$  where  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , then for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$c_m(mn) \equiv 1 + (k - 1)c_m(n) \pmod{m}.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.5,

$$c_m(mn) = c_m(0) + c_m(1) \cdots + c_m(n - 1).$$

Next, we write  $n = jm + k$  for some integer  $j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_m(mn) &= c_m(0) + c_m(1) + \cdots + c_m(m) + c_m(m + 1) + \cdots + c_m(2m) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + c_m((j - 1)m + 1) + \cdots + c_m((j - 1)m + m) + c_m(jm + 1) + \cdots + c_m(jm + k - 1) \\ &\equiv 1 + c_m(jm + 1) + \cdots + c_m(jm + k - 1) \pmod{m} \text{ by Lemma 2.7} \\ &\equiv 1 + (k - 1)c_m(jm + k) \pmod{m} \text{ by Lemma 2.7} \\ &= 1 + (k - 1)c_m(n). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove an additional lemma involving an “internal” congruence satisfied by  $c_m$  modulo  $m$ . It is interesting to note that a similar result holds for  $b_m(n)$ , the unrestricted  $m$ -ary partition function studied in [3,5,6].

**Lemma 2.9.** For all  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$c_m(m^3n) \equiv c_m(mn) \pmod{m}.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.8, we know

$$\begin{aligned} c_m(m^3n) &= c_m(m(m^2n)) \\ &\equiv 1 + (m - 1)c_m(m^2n) \pmod{m} \\ &= 1 + (m - 1)c_m(m(mn)) \\ &\equiv 1 + (m - 1)(1 + (m - 1)c_m(mn)) \pmod{m} \\ &\equiv c_m(mn) \pmod{m}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.9 enables a significant reduction in the number of cases which will need to be checked when we prove Theorem 2.1. This is because of the following. Given  $n$  written in  $m$ -ary notation as

$$n = \alpha m^j + \beta m^k + \cdots + \gamma m^r,$$

we see immediately that

$$mn = \alpha m^{j+1} + \beta m^{k+1} + \cdots + \gamma m^{r+1},$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma \in \{1, 2, \dots, m - 1\}$  and  $j < k < \cdots < r$ . Thus, we can divide by  $m^2$  for as many times as we wish if  $j \geq 2$  (because  $j + 1 \geq 3$ ). Therefore, we only need to consider the cases  $j = 0$  and  $j = 1$  in what follows.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.1 which provides a characterization of  $c_m(mn)$  modulo  $m$  simply based on the  $m$ -ary representation of  $n$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.9, we see that if  $j \geq 2$ , then  $m^3 \mid mn$ . This means  $c_m(mn) \equiv c_m\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) \pmod{m}$ . Thus, we may assume  $j = 0$  or  $j = 1$  without loss of generality.

Now we consider two cases (based on the parity of  $j$ ).

- Case 1:  $j$  is even, so we can assume  $j = 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} c_m(mn) &\equiv 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)c_m(n) \pmod{m} \\ &= 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)c_m(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 m + \alpha_2 m^2 + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $m > \alpha_0 \geq 1$ , we may replace  $\alpha_0$  by  $m$  (thanks to Lemma 2.7). Then the above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} c_m(mn) &\equiv 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)c_m((\alpha_1 + 1)m + \alpha_2 m^2 + \dots) \pmod{m} \\ &= 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)c_m(m((\alpha_1 + 1) + \alpha_2 m + \alpha_3 m^2 + \dots)) \\ &\equiv 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)(1 + \alpha_1 c_m((\alpha_1 + 1) + \alpha_2 m + \alpha_3 m^2 + \dots)) \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $1 \leq \alpha_1 + 1 \leq m$ , so by Lemma 2.7 we may replace  $\alpha_1 + 1$  by  $m$  in the above to obtain

$$c_m(mn) \equiv 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)(1 + \alpha_1 c_m(m(\alpha_2 + 1) + \alpha_3 m + \dots)) \pmod{m}.$$

Now  $1 \leq \alpha_2 + 1 \leq m$ , so we may apply Lemma 2.7 again, and the process continues until we hit some  $\alpha_i = 0$  at which time the process terminates. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} c_m(mn) &\equiv 1 + (\alpha_0 - 1)(1 + \alpha_1(1 + \alpha_2(1 + \alpha_3 + \dots))) \pmod{m} \\ &= \alpha_0 + (\alpha_0 - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the first case of Theorem 2.1.

- Case 2:  $j$  is odd, so we can assume  $j = 1$ . Hence,  $n \equiv m \pmod{m}$ , and by Lemma 2.8,

$$\begin{aligned} c_m(mn) &\equiv 1 - c_m(n) \pmod{m} \\ &= 1 - c_m\left(m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{j+1} m^j\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now Case 1 above is applicable to  $n' = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{j+1} m^j$  because  $1 \leq \alpha_1 < m$ . Hence, the desired result follows. ■

With the goal of demonstrating the applicability of Theorem 2.1, we compute a few examples.

- Let  $m = 4$ ,  $n = 123 = 3 + 2 \cdot 4 + 3 \cdot 4^2 + 1 \cdot 4^3$ . Then

$$c_4(4 \cdot 123) = c_4(492) = 5843 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

This is an example of the case  $j = 0$ . Theorem 2.1 asserts that

$$\begin{aligned} c_4(4 \cdot 123) &\equiv 3 + (3 - 1)(2 + 2 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 1) \pmod{4} \\ &= 3 + 2 \cdot 14 \\ &\equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{aligned}$$

as computed above.

- Let  $m = 5$ ,  $n = 485 = 2 \cdot 5 + 4 \cdot 5^2 + 3 \cdot 5^3$ . Then

$$c_5(5 \cdot 485) = c_5(2425) = 230358 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}.$$

This is an example of the case  $j = 1$ . Theorem 2.1 asserts that

$$\begin{aligned} c_5(5 \cdot 485) &\equiv 1 - 2 - (2 - 1)(4 + 4 \cdot 3) \pmod{5} \\ &= 1 - 2 - 16 \\ &= -17 \\ &\equiv 3 \pmod{5} \end{aligned}$$

as computed above.

## References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Congruence properties of the  $m$ -ary partition function, *J. Number Theory* 3 (1971) 104–110.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1976, Reprinted, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984, 1998.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, A.S. Fraenkel, J.A. Sellers, Characterizing the Number of  $m$ -ary Partitions Modulo  $m$ , *Amer. Math. Monthly* (2016) in press.
- [4] C. Bessenrodt, J.B. Olsson, J.A. Sellers, Unique path partitions: characterization and congruences, *Ann. Comb.* 17 (2013) 591–602.
- [5] R.F. Churchhouse, Congruence properties of the binary partition function, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 66 (1969) 371–376.
- [6] R.F. Churchhouse, Binary partitions, in: A.O.L. Atkin, B.J. Birch (Eds.), *Computers in Number Theory*, Academic Press, London, 1971.
- [7] H. Gupta, A simple proof of the Churchhouse conjecture concerning binary partitions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 3 (5) (1972) 791–794.
- [8] H. Gupta, On  $m$ -ary partitions, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 71 (1972) 343–345.
- [9] Ø. Rødseth, Some arithmetical properties of  $m$ -ary partitions, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 68 (1970) 447–453.