A natural, but wrong, conjecture is that the generating matrix of a good error correcting code has high rigidity. Zeev Dvir showed me a proof that this conjecture is wrong, and I'm presenting it below.

Theorem 1 (a generating matrix of a good code may have low rigidity): For every sufficiently small constant $\epsilon > 0$, all sufficiently large k, and every $d \in [k/4]$, there exist an n = O(k) and a k-by-n matrix M such that every non-zero linear combination of the rows of M has Hamming weight $(0.5 \pm \epsilon) \cdot n$ but the matrix M has rigidity at most O(kn/d) with respect to rank $10d \log(k/d)$.

(For example, setting d = 0.001k corresponds to rigidity O(n) and rank 0.01k, whereas setting $d = \sqrt{n}$ corresponds to rigidity $O(n^{3/2})$ and rank $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{n})$.) Let me stress that the low rigidity is a property of a specific generating matrix of the code. In contrast, every linear code of constant rate has a generating matrix of high rigidity. (This is the case because the linear space defined by such a code contains k linearly independent coordinates, and so a random matrix that spans this linear space contains a random k-by-k submatrix.)

Proof: For a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, we fix a sufficiently large k, and let $r = 10d \log(k/d)$ and m = k + r. Consider the k-by-m matrix G = [I|G'], where I is the identity matrix and G' is a k-by-r matrix such that any linear combination of at most d of its rows yields a vector of weight at least d. (Indeed, a random G' satisfies the latter condition, with overwhelmingly high probability, since $r = 10d \log(k/d) \gg d$.) It follows that the code generated by G has distance at least d (since linear combinations that take at most d rows of G are handled by G' and the other linear combinations are handled by I).

Next, fixing $c = O(\log(1/\epsilon))$ and a sufficiently large n = O(k), we consider a random *m*-by-*n* matrix *H* in which each entry is set to 1 with probability p = c/d independently of all other entries. Denoting the top *k* rows of *H* by *S* and the remaining rows by *H'* we get GH = IS + G'H' = S + G'H'. We shall show that, with overwhelmingly high probability over the choice of *H*, the matrix *GH* generates a good code in which all non-zero codewords have weight $(0.5 \pm \epsilon) \cdot n$ although *GH* does not have rigidity $2p \cdot kn = 2c \cdot kn/d$ with respect to rank $r = 10d \log(k/d)$.

The first claim is proved by observing that each non-zero linear combination of the rows of GH equals a (random) vector v that is the sum of at least d rows of H (since xGH = yH for y = xG, which has weight at least d). Now, each entry in v (i.e., each coordinate of yH) is a sum of at least d independent random variables that are each 1 with probability p = c/d, independently of all other entries in v. Hence, each entry in v is 1 with probability $0.5 \pm \exp(-\Omega(c))$, and the first claim follows (using the stochastic independence of the entries and $n = \Omega(m/\epsilon^2)$).

The second claim is proved by observing that, with overwhelmingly high probability, the matrix S has weight at most $2p \cdot kn = 2c \cdot kn/d$. On the other hand, G' is an k-by-r matrix, which implies that G'H' has rank at most $r = 10d \log(k/d)$. Hence, GH = S + G'H' does not have rigidity $2c \cdot kn/d$ with respect to rank $10d \log(k/d)$.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Avishay Tal for helpful comments regarding an earlier version of this write-up.