Sublinear Time and Space Algorithms 2024A – Lecture 4 Dynamic Geometric Streams and Euclidean MST*

Robert Krauthgamer

1 Dynamic Geometric Streams

Geometric stream: The input is a stream of points in \mathbb{R}^d denoted $P = \langle p_1, \ldots, p_n \rangle$. We usually think of low dimension, even d = 2, and a very long stream.

The above is an insertion-only stream, but we may allow deletions of points and then it is called dynamic.

Representation: We assume a machine word can store a point and count the number of points. We thus often restrict the points to come from an integer grid $[\Delta]^d$, and for convenience also $n \leq \Delta^d$ (e.g., the points are distinct). Thus, a word has $O(\log(\Delta^d + n)) = O(d \log \Delta)$ bits.

Problem definition: The goal could be to solve some optimization problem over P, for example in the *diameter problem*, the goal is compute $diam(P) = \max_{p,p' \in P} ||p - p'||$.

Theorem 1 (Diameter): In an insertion-only geometric streams, the diameter problem admits 2-approximation using storage of O(1) words.

Proof: The algorithm stores the first point seen p_1 , and also the point p_j farthest from it so far. It outputs the distance between these two points. This is the *radius* of P around p_1 , and clearly

 $\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam}(P) \le output \le \operatorname{diam}(P).$

Open: Similar performance, namely O(1)-approximation with storage that is polynomial in d, in dynamic streams?

2 Euclidean MST

MST problem: In the *Minimum Spanning Tree (MST) problem*, we view the points as forming a complete graph with edge weights representing Euclidean distances, and the goal is compute a tree (or connected subgraph) of minimum weight, denoted MST(P).

^{*}These notes summarize the material covered in class, usually skipping proofs, details, examples and so forth, and possibly adding some remarks, or pointers. The exercises are for self-practice and need not be handed in. In the interest of brevity, most references and credits were omitted.

We focus on approximating the value/cost (not reporting a tree).

Exer: Prove that MST(P) is also the cost of single-linkage clustering, an iterative clustering that starts with each point as its own cluster, then repeatedly connects two clusters that have closest to each other.

Theorem 2 [Indyk 2004]: There is a streaming algorithm for $O(d \log \Delta)$ -approximation of MST using storage of $(d \log \Delta)^{O(1)}$ bits.

The presentation focuses on the case d = 2 for simplicity, the generalization is straightforward.

Idea: Map the grid into a hierarchical tree, then solve MST in this tree using algorithms for the vector-frequency model.

Quadtree: Partition the grid $[\Delta]^2$ recursively, partitioning each square into 4 equal-size squares. (For general d, the word square should be replaced by cube or cell.)

This can be represented as a *quadtree*, which is 4-regular tree with $O(\log \Delta)$ levels, where each tree node at level $i = 0, \ldots, \log \Delta$ is a $2^i \times 2^i$ square. In particular, the root represents the entire grid, and each leaf represents a 1×1 square, i.e., a grid point.

We let tree edges have weights, where an edge between square at level i and its parent at level i+1 has weight 2^{i+1} . Why? This is the diameter of the smaller square (or a slight overestimate), and thus enough to travel from the "center" of the small square to the "center" of its parent square. Note that for general d, we would use $\sqrt{d}2^i$.

Lemma 3: Denote the quadtree by T, and distances in it by d_T . Recall that grid points are leaves in T. Then

 $\forall p, q \in [\Delta]^2, \qquad d_T(p,q) \ge ||p-q||.$

For intuition, assume for now that tree distances are a good approximation to the grid distances, i.e., $d_T(p,q) = \Theta(1) \cdot ||p-q||$. We will see later that the approximation is worse and holds only in expectation.

MST inside quadtree T: Let $MST_T(P)$ denote the MST cost of the points P under distances d_T , where we view P as leaves of T. It is (almost) equivalent to computing MST inside T, see the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in Lemma 6.

Lemma 4: There is a streaming algorithm that, given a stream of grid points P, viewed as leaves of T, computes $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of $MST_T(P)$ using storage of $(\varepsilon^{-1}d \log \Delta)^{O(1)}$ bits.

Algorithm QuadtreeMST:

Idea: It's enough to count how many squares are non-empty at each level

1. For each level $i = 0, \ldots, \log \Delta$, run a Distinct Elements (DE) algorithm over the squares (tree nodes) at level i, and denote its frequency vector $x^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{(\Delta/2^i)^2}$.

2. Update (insert/delete p): update the square containing p at each DE algorithm, i.e., each $x^{(i)}$.

3. Output: compute DE estimates $\tilde{z}_i \in (1 \pm \varepsilon) \|x^{(i)}\|_0$, and report $\sum_i \mathbb{1}_{\{\tilde{z}_i \geq 1.5\}} \tilde{z}_i \cdot 2^{i+1}$.

Remark: Make sure each DE algorithm has small error probability, say at most $1/(8 \log \Delta)$.

Proof of Lemma 4: Was seen in class.

Shifted quadtree: Suppose that we translate P by a random amount, namely pick uniformly random $r \in [\Delta]^d$ and add it to all of P, and then compute a partitioning/quadtree on $[2\Delta]^d$. Equivalently, compute a recursive partitioning of $[2\Delta]^d$ and translate its squares by -r.

Probabilistic tree metric: Each leaf of T still represents a 1×1 square, i.e., one grid point. Thus, for every $p, q \in P$, their tree distance $d_T(p, q)$ is a random variable.

Lemma 5: Let T be a shifted quadtree. Then Lemma 3 still holds and

 $\forall p, q \in [\Delta]^d, \qquad \mathbb{E}[d_T(p,q)] \le O(d \log \Delta) \|p - q\|.$

Proof: Was seen in class.

So far we analyzed pairwise distances (in T). Let's now analyze the MST value.

Lemma 6: $MST_T(P) \ge \frac{1}{2}MST(P)$. (For a randomly shifted quadtree, this holds with probability 1.)

Exer: Prove this lemma using what we proved before for pairs $p, q \in [\Delta]^d$. Think why the constant $\frac{1}{2}$ is needed.

Lemma 7: Let T be a randomly shifted quadtree. Then with high probability $MST_T(P) \leq O(d \log \Delta) \cdot MST(P)$.

Proof: Was seen in class, using linearity of expectation to bound $\mathbb{E}_T[MST_T(P)]$.

Proof of Theorem 2: The two lemmas above show that with high probability, $2 \operatorname{MST}_T(P)$ is an $O(d \log \Delta)$ -approximation for $\operatorname{MST}(P)$. We saw earlier how to $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximates $\operatorname{MST}_T(P)$ using storage $(\varepsilon^{-1}d \log(\Delta))^{O(1)}$ bits, and we can use it with $\varepsilon = 0.1$. Altogether, we obtain a streaming algorithm for Euclidean MST, which proves the theorem.

Exer: Verify that the algorithm extends to deletions, assuming that the DE algorithm supports deletions.

Exer: Use similar ideas for the minimum bichromatic matching problem (aka earthmover distance), where the points in P are colored, exactly half in blue and half in red, i.e., $P = R \cup B$, each point arrives with its color (and in arbitrary order), and the goal is to compute a minimum-weight perfect matching between R and B.

Hint: Reduce the problem to estimating $||x^{(i)}||_1$ for each level *i*.

Another Euclidean MST algorithm [Frahling, Indyk and Sohler, 2008]: There is a streaming algorithm for $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -approximation of MST using storage of $(\varepsilon^{-1} \log \Delta)^{O(d)}$ bits.