Sublinear Time and Space Algorithms 2024A – Lecture 7 Streaming of Graphs and Connectivity in Dynamic Graphs^{*}

Robert Krauthgamer

1 Streaming of Graphs

Basic model: Consider an input stream that represents a graph G = (V, E) as a sequence of edges on the vertex set V = [n]. Denote m = |E|.

It can be viewed as an insertion-only stream of edges. We may allow deletions of edges, and then it is called a dynamic graph stream.

Semi-streaming: The usual aim is space requirement O(n), which can generally be much smaller than the trivial bound O(m) of storing the current graph explicitly (but without extra workspace an algorithm may need).

For many problems, $\Omega(n)$ storage is required (even to get approximate answers).

Connectivity: Determine whether the graph G is connected (or even which pairs $u, v \in V$ are connected).

In the insertions-only model, it can be solved with storage requirement O(n) words, by maintaining a spanning forest...

Distances: Compute the distances in the graph, i.e., given as query $u, v \in V$ report their distance.

Theorem: All distances can be computed within approximation 2k - 1 (for integer $k \ge 1$) in insertions-only streams with storage requirement $O(n^{1+1/k})$ words.

The idea is to use a greedy spanner construction by [Althofer, Das, Dobkin, Joseph and Soares, 1993].

Proof: Create and store a subgraph G' as follows. Initially G' is empty. When an edge (u, v) arrives, check if currently the distance between its endpoints in G' is $d_{G'}(u, v) \leq 2k - 1$. If it is not, then add the edge to G' (otherwise, do nothing).

It is not difficult to verify that eventually

 $\forall u, v \in V, \quad d_G(u, v) \le d_{G'}(u, v) \le (2k - 1)d_G(u, v).$

^{*}These notes summarize the material covered in class, usually skipping proofs, details, examples and so forth, and possibly adding some remarks, or pointers. The exercises are for self-practice and need not be handed in. In the interest of brevity, most references and credits were omitted.

The number of edges in G' is bounded by $O(n^{1+1/k})$ by a theorem from extremal graph theory, because its girth (length of shortest cycle) is $g \ge 2k + 1$.

Exer: Show how to 2-approximate maximum matching and vertex-cover using space of O(n) words.

2 Connectivity in Dynamic Graphs

Dynamic graph model: The input stream contains insertions and deletions of edges to G. Recall that we assume V = [n].

The tool of choice is linear sketching, where decrements are supported by definition.

Motivations:

a) updates to the graph like removing hyperlinks or un-friending

b) the graph is distributed (each site contains a subset of the edges), and their linear sketches can be easily combined

Theorem [Ahn, Guha and McGregor, 2012]: There is a streaming algorithm with storage $\tilde{O}(n)$ that determines whp whether the graph is connected (In fact, it computes a spanning forest and can determine which pairs of vertices are connected.)

Idea: To grow (increase) connected components, we need to find an outgoing edge from each current component. Using ℓ_0 -sampling and especially its linear-sketch form, we can pick an outgoing edge from an arbitrary set. Informally, if we already have a connected component $Q \subset V$, then we will use a method where edges inside Q get canceled, and outgoing edges survive.

Notation: Let $N = \binom{n}{2}$. For each vertex v define a vector $x^v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ where coordinate $\{i, j\}$ for i < j is given by

$$x_{\{i,j\}}^v = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (i,j) \in E \text{ and } v = i \\ -1 & \text{if } (i,j) \in E \text{ and } v = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The above defines n frequency vectors, where each x^v is affected only by edges incident to v. Given $Q \subset V$, we can find a nonzero coordinate of $\sum_{v \in Q} x^v$ by relying on a linear sketch of this vector.

Algorithm AGM:

Update (on a stream/dynamic graph G):

1. for each vertex $v \in V$, create a virtual stream for $x^v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and maintain an ℓ_0 -sampler for this x^v (using the same coins for all v, as these are linear sketches that may be added).

2. repeat the above log n times independently (i.e., log n "levels" of samplers for each $v \in V$).

Output (to determine connectivity):

3. initialize a partition $\Pi = \{\{1\}, \ldots, \{n\}\}$ where each vertex is in a separate connected component.

4. repeat for $l = 1, \ldots, \log n$:

5. for each connected component $Q \in \Pi$, sum the level l samplers for all $v \in Q$, to obtain a sampler for $\sum_{v \in Q} x^v$. Then activate the sampler to obtain a coordinate from [N] (which we will see is a random outgoing from Q).

6. Use the $|\Pi|$ sampled edges to merge connected components and update Π

7. Output "connected" if $|\Pi| = 1$ (all vertices are in one connected component).

Analysis: To simplify the analysis, we assume henceforth that G is connected (see below), and that the samplers are perfect (i.e. ignore their polynomially-small error probability).

Exer: Extend the analysis to the case that G is not connected, to determine whether $s, t \in V$ given at query time, are connected.

Claim 1: If the number of connected components at the beginning of an iteration is k > 1 (and the samplers succeed in producing outgoing edges), then their number at the end of the iteration is at most k/2.

Exer: prove this claim.

Claim 2: Fix a set $Q \subset V$. Then $\sum_{v \in Q} x^v$ is nonzero only in coordinates $\{i, j\}$ corresponding to an edge outgoing from Q, i.e., $|Q \cap \{i, j\}| = 1$.

Proof: Was seen in class.

Corollary 3: Fix a set $Q \subset V$. Then summing ℓ_0 -samplers of x^v over all $v \in Q$ (assuming these samplers use a linear sketch and same coins) creates an ℓ_0 -sampler for $\sum_{v \in Q} x^v$ that reports an outgoing edge from Q.

Storage: The main storage is for ℓ_0 -samplers for each of the *n* vertices and each of the log *n* levels. Each sampler requires $O(\log^4 n)$ bits (in the construction seen in class). Thus the total storage is $O(n \log^5 n)$ bits.